

# Scottsdale, AZ

Community Livability Report

2018



2955 Valmont Road Suite 300 Boulder, Colorado 80301 n-r-c.com • 303-444-7863



777 North Capitol Street NE Suite 500 Washington, DC 20002 icma.org • 800-745-8780

# Contents

About	. 1
Quality of Life in Scottsdale	. 2
Community Characteristics	. 3
Governance	. 5
Participation	. 7
Special Topics	. 9
Conclusions 1	3



The National Citizen Survey™ © 2001-2018 National Research Center, Inc.

The NCS<sup>m</sup> is presented by NRC in collaboration with ICMA.

NRC is a charter member of the AAPOR Transparency Initiative, providing clear disclosure of our sound and ethical survey research practices.

### About

The National Citizen Survey<sup>TM</sup> (The NCS) report is about the "livability" of Scottsdale. The phrase "livable community" is used here to evoke a place that is not simply habitable, but that is desirable. It is not only where people do live, but where they want to live.

Great communities are partnerships of the government, private sector, community-based organizations and residents, all geographically connected. The NCS captures residents' opinions within the three pillars of a community (Community Characteristics, Governance and Participation) across eight central facets of community (Safety, Mobility, Natural Environment, Built Environment, Economy, Recreation and Wellness, Education and Enrichment and Community Engagement).

The Community Livability Report provides the opinions of a representative sample of 305 residents of the City of Scottsdale. The margin of error around any reported percentage is 6% for all respondents. The full description of methods used to garner these opinions can be found in the *Technical Appendices* provided under separate cover.



# **Quality of Life in Scottsdale**

Almost all residents rated the quality of life in Scottsdale as excellent or good. This rating was higher than the national comparison (see Appendix B of the *Technical Appendices* provided under separate cover).

Shown below are the eight facets of community. The color of each community facet summarizes how residents rated it across the three sections of the survey that represent the pillars of a community – Community Characteristics, Governance and Participation. When most ratings across the three pillars were higher than the benchmark, the color for that facet is the darkest shade; when most ratings were lower than the benchmark, the color is the lightest shade. A mix of ratings (higher and lower than the benchmark) results in a color between the extremes.



In addition to a summary of ratings, the image below includes one or more stars to indicate which community facets were the most important focus areas for the community. Residents identified Safety, Natural Environment and Economy as priorities for the Scottsdale community in the coming two years. The facets of Economy and Recreation and Wellness received ratings that were higher than the national benchmarks. All other facets had evaluations that were positive and similar to the national averages. This overview of the key aspects of community quality provides a quick summary of where residents see exceptionally strong performance and where performance offers the greatest opportunity for improvement. Linking quality to importance offers community members and leaders a view into the characteristics of the community that matter most and that seem to be working best.

Details that support these findings are contained in the remainder of this Livability Report, starting with the ratings for Community Characteristics, Governance and Participation and ending with results for Scottsdale's unique questions.



# **Community Characteristics**

#### What makes a community livable, attractive and a place where people want to be?

Overall quality of community life represents the natural ambience, services and amenities that make for an attractive community. How residents rate their overall quality of life is an indicator of the overall health of a community. In the case of Scottsdale, almost all citizens rated the city as an excellent or good place to live. Respondents' reviews of Scottsdale as a place to live were higher than observed in other communities across the nation.

In addition to rating the city as a place to live, respondents rated several aspects of community quality including Scottsdale as a place to raise children and to retire, their neighborhood as a place to live, the overall image or reputation of Scottsdale and its overall appearance. Nine in 10 residents gave favorable marks to the overall image or reputation of Scottsdale, their neighborhood as a place to live, Scottsdale as a place to retire and the City's overall appearance; these ratings were higher than the national averages. Ratings for Scottsdale as a place to retire were exceptional and were among the highest ratings ever recorded in NRC's benchmarking database

Delving deeper into Community Characteristics, survey respondents rated over 40 features of the community within the eight facets of community livability. Evaluations of Economy were remarkably high, with scores higher than the national benchmark for overall economic health and overall quality of business and service establishments, and scores much higher than the national benchmark for vibrant downtown/commercial area,



shopping and employment opportunities, Scottsdale as a place to visit and Scottsdale as a place to work. Ratings for shopping opportunities were ranked 1<sup>st</sup> among all comparison communities.

All of the ratings for Recreation and Wellness were strong and higher than observed across the nation. Health and wellness opportunities received marks much higher than the national benchmark.

Residents gave above-average marks to their overall feelings of safety in Scottsdale, as well as to the overall natural environment and the overall built environment. Several other aspects received high marks, including the availability

paths and walking trails, overall quality of new development in Scottsdale, overall opportunities for education and enrichment and opportunities to participate in social events and activities.

When compared to 2016, ratings in 2018 decreased for the availability of affordable quality housing, K-12 education, the availability of affordable quality child care/preschool and adult educational opportunities (for more information see the *Trends over Time* report under separate cover). All aspects of Community Characteristics remained stable from 2016 to 2018.



Figure 1: Aspects of Community Characteristics



### Governance

#### How well does the government of Scottsdale meet the needs and expectations of its residents?

The overall quality of the services provided by Scottsdale as well as the manner in which these services are provided is a key component of how residents rate their quality of life. About 4 in 5 residents gave excellent or good ratings to the overall quality of City services, which was above-average. About one-third of respondents gave positive reviews to the Federal Government; this rating was on par with comparison communities nationwide.

Survey respondents also rated various aspects of Scottsdale's leadership and governance. The overall customer service provided by Scottsdale employees received the highest marks, with 79% of respondents rating this aspect as excellent or good; however this rating decreased from 2016 to 2018. A majority of residents gave excellent or good ratings to all remaining aspects of government performance and these were similar to national averages.

Respondents evaluated over 30 individual services and amenities available in Scottsdale. All services related to Safety were positive and similar to the national benchmarks. Around 9 in 10 residents gave favorable marks to police services, fire services and ambulance or emergency medical services. Assessments of emergency preparedness decreased from 2016 to 2018.

Evaluations of Mobility were positive, with marks higher than the national averages for street repair, street cleaning and sidewalk maintenance. Assessments of traffic enforcement, street lighting, traffic signal timing and bus or transit services were similar to the national benchmarks.





Scores for aspects of Natural Environment and Recreation and Wellness were favorable, with above-average ratings for yard waste pick-up, preservation of natural areas, open space (Scottsdale McDowell Sonoran Preserve), recreation programs or classes and recreation centers or facilities. Open space reviews were much higher than the national average. Assessments of yard waste pick-up improved from 2016 to 2018.

Ratings for code enforcement and public library services were strong and higher than the benchmarks. However, assessments of street cleaning and land use, planning and zoning declined from 2016 to 2018.



#### Figure 2: Aspects of Governance



# **Participation**

#### Are the residents of Scottsdale connected to the community and each other?

An engaged community harnesses its most valuable resource, its residents. The connections and trust among residents, government, businesses and other organizations help to create a sense of community, a shared sense of membership, belonging and history. About half of Scottsdale residents gave high marks to the overall sense of community. This assessment was similar to what was observed in comparison communities across the nation.

Almost all residents were likely to recommend living in Scottsdale to someone who asked, which was higher than the national benchmark. About 9 in 10 residents planned to remain in Scottsdale for the next five years. About one-third of residents surveyed had contacted Scottsdale employees to ask for help or information in the 12 months prior to the survey; this rate of contact lower than the national averages and declined from 2016 to 2018.

The survey included over 30 activities and behaviors for which respondents indicated how often they participated in or performed each, if at all. Participation rates within Scottsdale varied widely, making the comparison to the benchmarks useful for interpreting the results. Overall, levels of Participation in Scottsdale were on par with the national benchmarks. Fewer residents reported stocking supplies in preparation for an emergency in Scottsdale than in other communities.





#### Figure 3: Aspects of Participation

Percent rating positively	SAFETY	]	
(e.g., yes, more than	Stocked supplies for an emergency	21%	
once a month, always/sometimes)	Did NOT report a crime	-	
	Was NOT the victim of a crime	-	
Comparison to national	MOBILITY	-	
benchmark Used pu	blic transportation instead of driving	19%	
■ Higher	Carpooled instead of driving alone		
■Similar	Walked or biked instead of driving	-	
	NATURAL ENVIRONMENT	-	
Lower	Conserved water	-	
	Made home more energy efficient		
	Recycled at home		
	BUILT ENVIRONMENT	-	
	Did NOT observe a code violation	-	
	NOT under housing cost stress	-	
	ECONOMY	-	
Purch	nased goods or services in Scottsdale	-	
Economy	y will have positive impact on income	-	3
	Work in Scottsdale	-	
	RECREATION AND WELLNESS	-	
	Used Scottsdale recreation centers	-	
	Visited a City park	-	
A	te 5 portions of fruits and vegetables	-	
Participated in n	noderate or vigorous physical activity	-	
	In very good to excellent health	-	
	EDUCATION AND ENRICHMENT	-	
	Used Scottsdale public libraries	-	
Participa	ated in religious or spiritual activities	-	
	Attended a City-sponsored event	-	
	COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT		
Campai	gned for an issue, cause or candidate	-	34%
(	Contacted Scottsdale elected officials	17%	
	Volunteered	-	359
	Participated in a club		32%
	Talked to or visited with neighbors		
	Done a favor for a neighbor		
	Attended a local public meeting	18%	
	Watched a local public meeting	22%	
	Read or watched local news		
	Voted in local elections		
		_	



# **Special Topics**

The City of Scottsdale included four questions of special interest on The NCS. Scottsdale residents rated the importance of City initiatives, their level of agreement regarding water conservation topics, reported their use of Scottsdale McDowell Sonoran Preserve and sources of City information.

Community members rated how important it was for the City to invest in various initiatives over the next 10 years. About 8 in 10 community members felt it was essential or very important that the City repave roads and improve roadside appearance and upgrade outdated City technology such as the 911 dispatch and building permit systems. The initiative assessed as least important was building new public parking garages and improving event spaces downtown, with 4 in 10 residents saying this was essential or very important and 1 in 10 residents saying this was not at all important.

#### Figure 4: Importance of City Initiatives

Please rate how important, if at all, you think it is for the City of Scottsdale to invest in each of the following over the next 10 years:

	Essential	i very importa	nt S	omewnat important	INO.	t at all impo	rtant
Repave roads and improve roadside appearance		43%		37%		18%	6 1%
Upgrade outdated City technology such as the 911 dispatch and building permit systems		38%		38%		22%	1%
Build structures to better manage storm-related flooding and run-off	309	6		40%		25%	5%
Repair or replace aging parks, libraries and arts facilities	25%			43%		30%	1%
Remodel fire and police stations and training facilities to meet current safety and operational standards	31'	%		37%		26%	7%
Build new public parking garages and improve event spaces downtown	16%	27%		45%			12%

■Essential ■Very important ■Somewhat important ■Not at all important

Respondents were asked how often they used the Scottsdale McDowell Sonoran Preserve for different activities. The most common activities reported were walking or hiking in the Preserve and visiting a Preserve trailhead, with over half of residents using the Preserve for these activities in the 12 months prior to the survey. The least common activities reported were riding a horse and rock climbing in the Preserve.

#### Figure 5: Activities in Scottsdale McDowell Sonoran Preserve

Please indicate how often, if at all, you have done each of the following in the last 12 months in the Scottsdale McDowell Sonoran Preserve.



■ 2 times a week or more ■ 2-4 times a month ■ Once a month or less ■ Not at all

Residents expressed their agreement or disagreement regarding water conservation topics. About 8 in 10 residents agreed that the City of Scottsdale should do more to promote water conservation among residents. About 7 in 10 residents agreed that their neighbors and their households could do more to conserve water.

#### Figure 6: Resident Opinion of Water Conservation Efforts *To what extent do you agree or disagree with each of the following statements?*



Strongly agree Somewhat agree Neither agree or disagree Somewhat disagree Strongly disagree

When asked about sources used for obtaining information about Scottsdale, the most common sources of information were word of mouth and local newspapers, television or radio news; about 8 in 10 residents used these as a major or minor source. The least common sources of City information were Twitter and YouTube, with about 8 in 10 residents saying these were not at all a source.

#### Figure 7: Sources of City Information

Please indicate if each of the following is a major source, minor source or not a source of information for you about the City of Scottsdale and its activities:



## Conclusions

#### Residents continue to enjoy a high quality of life and strong sense of safety.

Almost all community members gave high marks to the overall quality of life in Scottsdale and Scottsdale as a place to live. About 9 in 10 residents positively rated the overall image or reputation of Scottsdale, their neighborhood as a place to live and its overall appearance; ratings higher than the national averages. Evaluations of Scottsdale as a place to retire were exceptional and among the highest ever recorded in NRC's benchmarking database. The proportion of residents who were likely to recommend living in Scottsdale to someone who asked was above-average. About 9 in 10 residents planned to remain in Scottsdale for the next five years and about half positively rated the sense of community in the city. Safety was a top priority for residents. About 9 in 10 residents positively rated the overall feeling of safety in Scottsdale and felt safe in their neighborhoods and the downtown/commercial area. Evaluations of the overall feeling of safety were higher than observed elsewhere in the country. Assessments of emergency preparedness declined from 2016 to 2018 and residents' reported levels of stocking supplies in preparation for an emergency were lower than the national benchmark; highlighting a possible area of opportunity for Scottsdale.

#### Economy is a priority and residents applaud shopping and employment opportunities.

The economy was also identified as a priority for the community in the coming two years. Assessments within the facet of Economy were outstanding, with scores higher than the national averages for overall economic health, overall quality of business and service establishments and economic development. Residents' ratings of vibrant downtown/commercial area, shopping and employment opportunities, Scottsdale as a place to visit and Scottsdale as a place to work were much higher than what was observed elsewhere in the country. Additionally, reviews of shopping opportunities were ranked 1<sup>st</sup> among all comparison communities. About 4 in 10 residents believed the economy would have a positive impact on income, which was on par with other communities in the nation. Affordability was an asset in Scottsdale, with residents giving marks higher than the national benchmarks to availability of affordable quality food, health care and mental health care. About 4 in 10 community members gave high marks to cost of living, availability of affordable quality child care/preschool and availability of affordable quality child care/preschool and housing declined from 2016 to 2018.

#### Scottsdale residents are pleased with health and wellness opportunities in their city.

Evaluations of Recreation and Wellness in Scottsdale were very strong. Assessments of availability of preventive health services, recreational opportunities and fitness opportunities were higher than the national benchmarks. About 9 in 10 community members gave high marks to recreation programs or classes and recreation centers or facilities; these scores were also above-average. Ratings for health and wellness opportunities were much higher than the national averages and were ranked 3<sup>rd</sup> among comparison communities. About half of respondents had visited and walked or hiked in Scottsdale McDowell Sonoran Preserve in the 12 months prior to the survey. Yet, about 9 in 10 had not used the Preserve at all for mountain biking, horseback riding and rock climbing.



# Scottsdale, AZ

Dashboard Summary of Findings

2018



2955 Valmont Road Suite 300 Boulder, Colorado 80301 n-r-c.com • 303-444-7863



777 North Capitol Street NE Suite 500 Washington, DC 20002 icma.org • 800-745-8780

# Summary

The National Citizen Survey<sup>™</sup> (The NCS<sup>™</sup>) is a collaborative effort between National Research Center, Inc. (NRC) and the International City/County Management Association (ICMA). The survey and its administration are standardized to assure high quality research methods and directly comparable results across The NCS communities. The NCS captures residents' opinions within the three pillars of a community (Community Characteristics, Governance and Participation) across eight central facets of community (Safety, Mobility, Natural Environment, Built Environment, Economy, Recreation and Wellness, Education and Enrichment and Community Engagement). This report summarizes Scottsdale's performance in the eight facets of community livability with the "General" rating as a summary of results from the overarching questions not shown within any of the eight facets. The "Overall" represents the community pillar in its entirety (the eight facets and general).

By summarizing resident ratings across the eight facets and three pillars of a livable community, a picture of Scottsdale's community livability emerges. Below, the color of each community facet summarizes how residents rated each of the pillars that support it – Community Characteristics, Governance and Participation. When most ratings were higher than the benchmark, the color is the darkest shade; when most ratings were lower than the benchmark, the color is the lightest shade. A mix of ratings (higher and lower than the benchmark) results in a color between the extremes.

General aspects of Community Characteristics tended to be higher than the national benchmarks. Other facets of Community Characteristics that were higher than observed in other communities in the country were Natural Environment, Built Environment, Economy and Recreation and Wellness. In Governance, evaluations of Natural Environment, Economy, Recreation and Wellness and Education and Enrichment tended to be higher than the national averages. Levels of Participation tended to be positive and similar to comparison communities. This information can be helpful in identifying the areas that merit more attention.

	Comm	unity Characte	eristics		Governance			Participation	
	Higher	Similar	Lower	Higher	Similar	Lower	Higher	Similar	Lower
Overall	30	22	0	12	31	0	1	33	2
General	6	1	0	1	2	0	1	1	1
Safety	1	2	0	0	7	0	0	2	1
Mobility	1	7	0	3	4	0	0	3	0
Natural Environment	2	1	0	3	3	0	0	3	0
Built Environment	3	2	0	1	5	0	0	2	0
Economy	7	1	0	1	0	0	0	3	0
Recreation and Wellness	7	0	0	2	1	0	0	5	0
Education and Enrichment	2	4	0	1	1	0	0	3	0
Community Engagement	1	4	0	0	8	0	0	11	0

#### Figure 1: Dashboard Summary

National Benchn	nark
	Higher
	Similar
	Lower

#### Figure 2: Detailed Dashboard

	Community Characteristics	Trend	Benchmark	Percent positive	Governance	Trend	Benchmark	Percent positive	Participation	Trend	Benchmark	Percent positive
	Overall appearance	$\leftrightarrow$	1	90%	Customer service	↓	$\leftrightarrow$	79%	Recommend Scottsdale	$\leftrightarrow$	↑	96%
	Overall quality of life	$\leftrightarrow$	↑ (	96%	Services provided by Scottsdale	$\leftrightarrow$	↑ (	86%	Remain in Scottsdale	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	90%
General	Place to retire	$\leftrightarrow$	↑↑	90%	Services provided by the Federal Government	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	38%	Contacted Scottsdale employees	Ļ	Ļ	34%
Ger	Place to raise children	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	86%								
	Place to live	$\leftrightarrow$	↑	97%								
	Neighborhood	$\leftrightarrow$	<b>↑</b>	95%								
	Overall image	$\leftrightarrow$	1	90%								
	Overall feeling of safety	$\leftrightarrow$	↑	95%	Police	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	90%	Was NOT the victim of a crime	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	92%
	Safe in neighborhood	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	98%	Crime prevention	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	84%	Did NOT report a crime	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	83%
Safety	Safe downtown/commercial area	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	<b>9</b> 5%	Fire	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	95%	Stocked supplies for an emergency	$\leftrightarrow$	Ļ	21%
Safe					Fire prevention	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	88%				
0,					Ambulance/EMS	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	95%				
					Emergency preparedness	Ļ	$\leftrightarrow$	61%				
					Animal control	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	75%				
	Traffic flow	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	56%	Traffic enforcement	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	69%	Carpooled instead of driving alone	↓	$\leftrightarrow$	42%
	Travel by car	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	71%	Street repair	$\leftrightarrow$	↑ (	67%	Walked or biked instead of driving	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	61%
Mobility	Travel by bicycle	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	63%	Street cleaning	Ļ	↑ (	77%	Used public transportation instead of driving	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	19%
Β	Ease of walking	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	75%	Street lighting	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	72%				
	Travel by public transportation	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	43%	Sidewalk maintenance	$\leftrightarrow$	↑	76%				
	Overall ease travel	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	82%	Traffic signal timing	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	58%				
	Public parking	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	66%	Bus or transit services	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	66%				
	Paths and walking trails	$\leftrightarrow$	↑	83%								
	Overall natural environment	$\leftrightarrow$	<u>↑</u>	91%	Garbage collection	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	91%	Recycled at home	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	90%
Ŧ	Air quality	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	64%	Recycling	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	82%	Conserved water	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	84%
Natural Environment	Cleanliness	$\leftrightarrow$	1	88%	Yard waste pick-up	1	↑ (	84%	Made home more energy efficient	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	74%
vat iro					Drinking water	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	61%				
Env					Scottsdale McDowell Sonoran Preserve	$\leftrightarrow$	↑↑	88%				
					Natural areas preservation	$\leftrightarrow$	↑	74%				
÷	New development in Scottsdale	$\leftrightarrow$	↑ (	71%	Sewer services	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	80%	NOT experiencing housing cost stress	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	69%
Built Environment	Affordable quality housing	Ļ	$\leftrightarrow$	36%	Storm drainage	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	72%	Did NOT observe a code violation	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	60%
virc	Housing options	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	64%	Utility billing	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	73%				
ilt En	Overall built environment	$\leftrightarrow$	↑ (	79%	Land use, planning and zoning	Ļ	$\leftrightarrow$	51%				
Bu	Public places	$\leftrightarrow$	↑ (	85%	Code enforcement	$\leftrightarrow$	↑ ↑	61%				
	·		1		Cable television	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	57%		1		

#### Legend

↑↑ Much higher

↑ Higher

↔ Similar

↓ Lower

\* Not available

2

 $\downarrow\downarrow$  Much lower

	Community Characteristics	Trend	Benchmark	Percent positive	Governance	Trend	Benchmark	Percent positive	Participation	Trend	Benchmark	Percent positive
	Overall economic health	$\leftrightarrow$	↑ (	88%	Economic development	$\leftrightarrow$	1	77%	Economy will have positive impact on income	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	38%
	Shopping opportunities	$\leftrightarrow$	↑↑	96%					Purchased goods or services in Scottsdale	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	98%
лу	Employment opportunities	$\leftrightarrow$	↑↑	71%					Work in Scottsdale	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	44%
Economy	Place to visit	$\leftrightarrow$	↑↑	96%								
S	Cost of living	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	43%								
	Vibrant downtown/commercial area	$\leftrightarrow$	↑↑	84%								
	Place to work	$\leftrightarrow$	<u>↑</u> ↑	90%								
	Business and services	$\leftrightarrow$	1	86%								
(0	Fitness opportunities	$\leftrightarrow$	↑	86%	City parks	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	90%	In very good to excellent health	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	71%
ellnes	Recreational opportunities	$\leftrightarrow$	↑ 1	84%	Recreation centers	$\leftrightarrow$	1	87%	Used Scottsdale recreation centers	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	61%
Š	Health care	$\leftrightarrow$	↑	77%	Recreation programs	$\leftrightarrow$	↑	86%	Visited a City park	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	83%
n and	Food	$\leftrightarrow$	↑ (	78%					Ate 5 portions of fruits and vegetables	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	85%
Recreation and Wellness	Mental health care	$\leftrightarrow$	1	64%					Participated in moderate or vigorous physical activity	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	89%
Geci	Health and wellness	$\leftrightarrow$	↑↑	90%								
Ľ.	Preventive health services	$\leftrightarrow$	↑	83%								
	K-12 education	Ļ	$\leftrightarrow$	66%	Public libraries	$\leftrightarrow$	↑	93%	Used Scottsdale public libraries	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	59%
nd t	Cultural/arts/music activities	$\leftrightarrow$	↑ (	83%	Special events	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	82%	Participated in religious or spiritual activities	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	47%
n a nen	Child care/preschool	Ļ	$\leftrightarrow$	52%					Attended a City-sponsored event	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	51%
Education and Enrichment	Religious or spiritual events and activities	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	84%								
Ēdt	Adult education	$\downarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	66%								
	Overall education and enrichment	$\leftrightarrow$	↑ (	83%								
	Opportunities to participate in community matters	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	68%	Public information	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	78%	Sense of community	Ļ	$\leftrightarrow$	53%
	Opportunities to volunteer	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	83%	Overall direction	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	65%	Voted in local elections	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	92%
÷-	Openness and acceptance	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	56%	Value of services for taxes paid	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	64%	Talked to or visited with neighbors	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	90%
emen	Social events and activities	$\leftrightarrow$	↑ ↑	81%	Welcoming citizen involvement	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	56%	Attended a local public meeting	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	18%
Engag	Neighborliness	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	57%	Confidence in City government	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	58%	Watched a local public meeting	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	22%
Community Engagement					Acting in the best interest of Scottsdale	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	58%	Volunteered	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	35%
Ĩ					Being honest	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	52%	Participated in a club	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	32%
Соп					Treating all residents fairly	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	56%	Campaigned for an issue, cause or candidate	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	34%
									Contacted Scottsdale elected officials	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	17%
									Read or watched local news	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	89%
									Done a favor for a neighbor	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	78%

#### Legend

↑↑ Much higher

↑ Higher ↔ Similar

↓ Lower

↓↓ Much lower

\* Not available

3



# Scottsdale, AZ

Trends over Time

2018



2955 Valmont Road Suite 300 Boulder, Colorado 80301 n-r-c.com • 303-444-7863



777 North Capitol Street NE Suite 500 Washington, DC 20002 icma.org • 800-745-8780

# Summary

The National Citizen Survey<sup>™</sup> (The NCS<sup>™</sup>) is a collaborative effort between National Research Center, Inc. (NRC) and the International City/County Management Association (ICMA). The survey and its administration are standardized to assure high quality research methods and directly comparable results across The NCS communities. The NCS captures residents' opinions within the three pillars of a community (Community Characteristics, Governance and Participation) across eight central facets of community (Safety, Mobility, Natural Environment, Built Environment, Economy, Recreation and Wellness, Education and Enrichment and Community Engagement). This report discusses trends over time, comparing the 2018 ratings for the City of Scottsdale to its previous survey results in 2003, 2004, 2006, 2010, 2013 and 2016. Additional reports and technical appendices are available under separate cover.

Trend data for Scottsdale represent important comparison data and should be examined for improvements or declines. Deviations from stable trends over time, especially, represent opportunities for understanding how local policies, programs or public information may have affected residents' opinions.

Meaningful differences between survey years have been noted within the following tables as being "higher" or "lower" if the differences are greater than eight percentage points between the 2016 and 2018 surveys, otherwise the comparisons between 2016 and 2018 are noted as being "similar." Additionally, benchmark comparisons for all survey years are presented for reference. Changes in the benchmark comparison over time can be impacted by various trends, including varying survey cycles for the individual communities that comprise the benchmarks, regional and national economic or other events, as well as emerging survey methodologies.

Overall, ratings in Scottsdale for 2018 generally remained stable. Of the 131 items for which comparisons were available, 119 items were rated similarly in 2016 and 2018, 11 items showed a decrease in ratings and one item showed an increase in ratings. Notable trends over time included the following:

- Within the pillar of Community Characteristics, most ratings remained stable from 2016 to 2018. Most ratings decreases were concentrated in the facet of Education and Enrichment, with K-12 education, the availability of affordable quality child care/preschool and adult educational opportunities receiving lower marks. The availability of affordable quality housing also received less positive ratings in 2018 compared to 2016.
- In Governance, assessments of yard waste pick-up improved from 2016 to 2018. There were four aspects for which ratings decreased, including emergency preparedness; land use, planning and zoning, street cleaning, and overall customer service by Scottsdale employees.
- From 2016 to 2018, levels of Participation for most items remained stable in Scottsdale. Fewer residents reported they had carpooled or had contacted the City of Scottsdale for help or information. Community members' evaluations of the sense of community in Scottsdale also decreased.

#### Table 1: Community Characteristics General

	Pe	rcent rat	ting posi	tively (e.	g., exce	llent/goo	od)	2018 rating			Compa	rison to ben	chmark		
	2003	2004	2006	2010	2013	2016	2018	compared to 2016	2003	2004	2006	2010	2013	2016	2018
Overall quality of life	91%	93%	90%	94%	98%	96%	96%	Similar	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Higher	Higher	Higher
Overall image	NA	NA	NA	92%	91%	89%	90%	Similar	NA	NA	NA	Much higher	Higher	Higher	Higher
Place to live	94%	95%	94%	96%	98%	98%	97%	Similar	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Higher	Higher	Higher
Neighborhood	87%	89%	90%	91%	93%	93%	95%	Similar	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Higher	Higher	Higher
Place to raise children	80%	81%	78%	85%	92%	89%	86%	Similar	Higher	Higher	Similar	Much higher	Similar	Similar	Similar
Place to retire	82%	86%	81%	91%	91%	92%	90%	Similar	Much higher						
Overall appearance	89%	90%	87%	94%	96%	93%	90%	Similar	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Higher	Higher	Higher

#### Table 2: Community Characteristics by Facet

		Pe	ercent ra		tively (e. omewha		llent/goo	od,	2018 rating compared			Compar	rison to be	nchmark		
		2003	2004	2006	2010	2013	2016	2018	to 2016	2003	2004	2006	2010	2013	2016	2018
	Overall feeling of safety	NA	NA	NA	NA	94%	92%	95%	Similar	NA	NA	NA	NA	Higher	Similar	Higher
	Safe in neighborhood	95%	95%	96%	98%	97%	97%	98%	Similar	Higher	Higher	Higher	Much higher	Similar	Similar	Similar
Safety	Safe downtown/commercial area	92%	93%	91%	91%	97%	94%	<b>9</b> 5%	Similar	Much Iower	Much higher	Higher	Higher	Similar	Similar	Similar
	Overall ease of travel	NA	NA	NA	NA	87%	76%	82%	Similar	NA	NA	NA	NA	Similar	Similar	Similar
	Paths and walking trails	NA	NA	NA	82%	89%	84%	83%	Similar	NA	NA	NA	Much higher	Much higher	Higher	Higher
	Ease of walking	NA	NA	68%	73%	85%	78%	75%	Similar	NA	NA	Much higher	Much higher	Higher	Similar	Similar
	Travel by bicycle	54%	59%	55%	68%	69%	65%	63%	Similar	Similar	Higher	Higher	Much higher	Higher	Similar	Similar
	Travel by public transportation	NA	NA	12%	NA	40%	39%	43%	Similar	NA	NA	Much Iower	NA	Similar	Similar	Similar
	Travel by car	52%	51%	40%	69%	76%	70%	71%	Similar	Similar	Similar	Much Iower	Much higher	Similar	Similar	Similar
	Public parking	NA	NA	NA	NA	65%	65%	66%	Similar	NA	NA	NA	NA	Similar	Similar	Similar
Mobility	Traffic flow	29%	22%	19%	57%	62%	55%	56%	Similar	NA	NA	NA	Much higher	Similar	Similar	Similar

		Pe	ercent ra	01	itively (e omewha	.g., exce it safe)	llent/goo	d,	2018 rating compared			Compar	ison to be	nchmark		
		2003	2004	2006	2010	2013	2016	2018	to 2016	2003	2004	2006	2010	2013	2016	2018
	Overall natural environment	NA	NA	NA	82%	89%	88%	91%	Similar	NA	NA	NA	Higher	Higher	Similar	Higher
Natural	Cleanliness	NA	NA	NA	93%	91%	92%	88%	Similar	NA	NA	NA	Much higher	Higher	Higher	Highei
Environment	Air quality	NA	NA	NA	60%	63%	69%	64%	Similar	NA	NA	NA	Lower	Similar	Similar	Similar
	Overall built environment	NA	NA	NA	NA	81%	81%	79%	Similar	NA	NA	NA	NA	Higher	Higher	Highe
	New development in Scottsdale	NA	NA	NA	76%	78%	71%	71%	Similar	NA	NA	NA	Much higher	Higher	Higher	Highe
	Affordable quality housing	NA	NA	NA	NA	49%	45%	36%	Lower	NA	NA	NA	NA	Similar	Similar	Simila
Built	Housing options	NA	NA	NA	70%	71%	72%	64%	Similar	NA	NA	NA	Much higher	Higher	Higher	Similar
Environment	Public places	NA	NA	NA	NA	88%	88%	85%	Similar	NA	NA	NA	NA	Higher	Higher	Higher
	Overall economic health	NA	NA	NA	NA	82%	85%	88%	Similar	NA	NA	NA	NA	Higher	Higher	Higher
	Vibrant downtown/commercial area	NA	NA	NA	NA	85%	86%	84%	Similar	NA	NA	NA	NA	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher
	Business and services	NA	NA	NA	89%	89%	88%	86%	Similar	NA	NA	NA	Much higher	Higher	Higher	Higher
	Cost of living	NA	NA	NA	NA	52%	48%	43%	Similar	NA	NA	NA	NA	Similar	Similar	Simila
	Shopping opportunities	94%	95%	95%	93%	96%	94%	96%	Similar	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Much highei
	Employment opportunities	47%	53%	62%	51%	67%	66%	71%	Similar	Higher	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Higher	Much highei
	Place to visit	NA	NA	NA	NA	94%	95%	96%	Similar	NA	NA	NA	NA	Much higher	Much higher	Much highei
Economy	Place to work	NA	NA	84%	88%	86%	92%	90%	Similar	NA	NA	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher
	Health and wellness	NA	NA	NA	NA	92%	92%	90%	Similar	NA	NA	NA	NA	Higher	Higher	Much higher
	Mental health care	NA	NA	NA	NA	61%	68%	64%	Similar	NA	NA	NA	NA	Higher	Higher	Highe
	Preventive health services	NA	NA	NA	NA	83%	85%	83%	Similar	NA	NA	NA	NA	Higher	Higher	Highe
	Health care	NA	NA	NA	NA	78%	80%	77%	Similar	NA	NA	NA	NA	Higher	Higher	Highe
	Food	NA	NA	NA	NA	81%	84%	78%	Similar	NA	NA	NA	NA	Higher	Higher	Highe
Recreation	Recreational opportunities	81%	83%	85%	86%	89%	89%	84%	Similar	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Higher	Higher	Highe
and Wellness	Fitness opportunities	NA	NA	NA	NA	89%	90%	86%	Similar	NA	NA	NA	NA	Higher	Higher	Highe
Education and	Education and enrichment opportunities	NA	NA	NA	NA	82%	86%	83%	Similar	NA	NA	NA	NA	Higher	Higher	Highei

		Pe	ercent ra	01	itively (e omewha	0	llent/goo	od,	2018 rating compared			Compar	rison to be	nchmark		
		2003	2004	2006	2010	2013	2016	2018	to 2016	2003	2004	2006	2010	2013	2016	2018
Enrichment	Religious or spiritual events and activities	NA	NA	NA	88%	92%	90%	84%	Similar	NA	NA	NA	Higher	Higher	Similar	Similar
	Cultural/arts/music activities	79%	77%	77%	80%	88%	85%	83%	Similar	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Higher	Higher
	Adult education	NA	NA	NA	NA	76%	77%	66%	Lower	NA	NA	NA	NA	Higher	Similar	Similar
	K-12 education	NA	NA	NA	78%	77%	81%	66%	Lower	NA	NA	NA	Higher	Similar	Similar	Similar
	Child care/preschool	NA	NA	NA	NA	63%	65%	52%	Lower	NA	NA	NA	NA	Higher	Similar	Similar
	Social events and activities	NA	NA	NA	79%	81%	76%	81%	Similar	NA	NA	NA	Much higher	Higher	Similar	Higher
	Neighborliness	NA	NA	NA	NA	58%	57%	57%	Similar	NA	NA	NA	NA	Similar	Similar	Similar
	Openness and acceptance	NA	NA	NA	55%	58%	58%	56%	Similar	NA	NA	NA	Lower	Similar	Similar	Similar
	Opportunities to participate in community matters	NA	NA	NA	67%	66%	66%	68%	Similar	NA	NA	NA	Similar	Similar	Similar	Similar
Community Engagement	Opportunities to volunteer	NA	NA	NA	77%	79%	77%	83%	Similar	NA	NA	NA	Higher	Similar	Similar	Similar

#### Table 3: Governance General

	Pe	rcent rat	ting posi	tively (e.	.g., exce	llent/goo	od)	2018 rating			Comparis	on to benchr	nark		
	2003	2004	2006	2010	2013	2016	2018	compared to 2016	2003	2004	2006	2010	2013	2016	2018
Services provided by Scottsdale	83%	88%	81%	88%	87%	89%	86%	Similar	Higher	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Similar	Higher	Higher
Customer service	80%	80%	76%	83%	80%	90%	79%	Lower	Higher	Similar	Similar	Much	Similar	Higher	Similar
Value of services for taxes paid	NA	NA	69%	74%	70%	71%	64%	Similar	NA	NA	Much higher	Much higher	Higher	Higher	Similar
Overall direction	51%	67%	57%	64%	72%	70%	65%	Similar	Similar	Much higher	Similar	Higher	Similar	Similar	Similar
Welcoming citizen involvement	54%	63%	51%	55%	52%	61%	56%	Similar	Similar	Higher	Similar	Similar	Similar	Similar	Similar
Confidence in City government	NA	NA	NA	NA	59%	63%	58%	Similar	NA	NA	NA	NA	Similar	Similar	Similar
Acting in the best interest of Scottsdale	NA	NA	NA	NA	60%	64%	58%	Similar	NA	NA	NA	NA	Similar	Similar	Similar
Being honest	NA	NA	NA	NA	53%	59%	52%	Similar	NA	NA	NA	NA	Similar	Similar	Similar
Treating all residents fairly	NA	NA	NA	NA	57%	63%	56%	Similar	NA	NA	NA	NA	Similar	Similar	Similar
Services provided by the Federal Government	41%	47%	37%	43%	34%	40%	38%	Similar	Similar	Similar	Similar	Similar	Similar	Similar	Similar

Table 4: Governance by Facet

		Pe	rcent ra	ting posi	tively (e	.g., exce	ellent/goo	od)	2018 rating			Compa	rison to ber	nchmark		
		2003	2004	2006	2010	2013	2016	2018	compared to 2016	2003	2004	2006	2010	2013	2016	2018
	Police	83%	87%	82%	90%	90%	91%	90%	Similar	Similar	Higher	Much higher	Much higher	Similar	Higher	Similar
	Fire	91%	94%	96%	96%	97%	<b>9</b> 8%	95%	Similar	Similar	Similar	Much higher	Higher	Similar	Similar	Similar
	Ambulance/EMS	91%	93%	92%	95%	97%	98%	<b>9</b> 5%	Similar	Similar	Similar	Higher	Much higher	Similar	Similar	Similar
	Crime prevention	NA	NA	NA	84%	87%	85%	84%	Similar	NA	NA	NA	Much higher	Higher	Higher	Similar
	Fire prevention	NA	NA	NA	88%	88%	87%	88%	Similar	NA	NA	NA	Much higher	Similar	Similar	Similar
	Animal control	70%	73%	73%	72%	79%	80%	75%	Similar	Similar	Higher	Much higher	Much higher	Similar	Higher	Similar
Safety	Emergency preparedness	NA	NA	NA	60%	71%	71%	61%	Lower	NA	NA	NA	Similar	Similar	Similar	Similar
	Traffic enforcement	61%	63%	58%	71%	76%	73%	69%	Similar	Similar	Similar	Similar	Higher	Similar	Similar	Similar
	Street repair	63%	63%	60%	67%	66%	69%	67%	Similar	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Higher	Higher	Higher
	Street cleaning	75%	76%	70%	82%	76%	85%	77%	Lower	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Higher	Higher	Higher
	Street lighting	NA	NA	NA	75%	77%	77%	72%	Similar	NA	NA	NA	Much higher	Higher	Higher	Similar
	Sidewalk maintenance	70%	74%	66%	78%	75%	80%	76%	Similar	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Higher	Higher	Higher
	Traffic signal timing	53%	54%	48%	57%	60%	62%	58%	Similar	Higher	Similar	Similar	Higher	Similar	Similar	Similar
Mobility	Bus or transit services	38%	35%	37%	57%	56%	64%	66%	Similar	Much lower	Much Iower	Much Iower	Similar	Similar	Similar	Similar
	Garbage collection	91%	89%	88%	92%	89%	<b>9</b> 5%	91%	Similar	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Similar	Higher	Similar
	Recycling	80%	82%	77%	87%	81%	87%	82%	Similar	Similar	Higher	Higher	Much higher	Similar	Similar	Similar
	Yard waste pick-up	76%	78%	75%	86%	76%	76%	84%	Higher	Much lower	Higher	Much higher	Much higher	Similar	Similar	Higher
	Drinking water	40%	39%	42%	52%	5 <b>9</b> %	56%	61%	Similar	Much lower	Much Iower	Much lower	Much Iower	Similar	Lower	Similar
	Natural areas preservation	NA	NA	NA	78%	78%	77%	74%	Similar	NA	NA	NA	Much higher	Higher	Higher	Higher
Natural Environment	Scottsdale McDowell Sonoran	NA	NA	NA	NA	95%	89%	88%	Similar	NA	NA	NA	NA	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher

		Pe	rcent ra	ting posi	tively (e.	g., exce	llent/goo	od)	2018 rating			Compar	ison to bei	nchmark		
		2003	2004	2006	2010	2013	2016	2018	compared to 2016	2003	2004	2006	2010	2013	2016	2018
	Preserve															
	Storm drainage	53%	51%	48%	70%	69%	69%	72%	Similar	Similar	Similar	Similar	Much higher	Similar	Similar	Similar
	Sewer services	NA	NA	NA	83%	84%	83%	80%	Similar	NA	NA	NA	Much higher	Similar	Similar	Similar
	Utility billing	NA	NA	NA	NA	79%	70%	73%	Similar	NA	NA	NA	NA	Similar	Similar	Similar
	Land use, planning and zoning	40%	52%	48%	60%	70%	61%	51%	Lower	Lower	Higher	Higher	Much higher	Higher	Similar	Similar
	Code enforcement	57%	5 <b>9</b> %	5 <b>9</b> %	72%	74%	68%	61%	Similar	Higher	Higher	Much higher	Much higher	Higher	Higher	Higher
Built Environment	Cable television	NA	NA	NA	68%	64%	58%	57%	Similar	NA	NA	NA	Much higher	Similar	Similar	Similar
Economy	Economic development	57%	65%	72%	60%	72%	79%	77%	Similar	Higher	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Higher	Higher	Higher
	City parks	90%	89%	84%	93%	95%	90%	90%	Similar	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Higher	Similar	Similar
	Recreation	88%	84%	80%	85%	91%	89%	86%	Similar	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Higher	Higher	Higher
Recreation and Wellness	Recreation centers	NA	NA	NA	86%	93%	87%	87%	Similar	NA	NA	NA	Much higher	Higher	Higher	Higher
	Special events	NA	NA	NA	NA	81%	80%	82%	Similar	NA	NA	NA	NA	Similar	Similar	Similar
Education and Enrichment	Public libraries	90%	91%	88%	93%	94%	95%	93%	Similar	Higher	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Similar	Higher	Higher
Community Engagement	Public information	74%	77%	73%	77%	82%	80%	78%	Similar	Much lower	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Similar	Higher	Similar

#### Table 5: Participation General

	Percent	rating pos	itively (e.g	., always/s	sometimes	, more tha	in once a								
	month, yes)							2018 rating			Compa	arison to ben	chmark		
	2003	2004	2006	2010	2013	2016	2018	compared to 2016	2003	2004	2006	2010	2013	2016	2018
Sense of community	53%	57%	54%	59%	54%	62%	53%	Lower	Similar	Similar	Lower	Similar	Similar	Similar	Similar
Recommend												Much			
Scottsdale	NA	NA	NA	96%	96%	94%	96%	Similar	NA	NA	NA	higher	Higher	Similar	Higher
												Much			
Remain in Scottsdale	NA	NA	NA	92%	94%	94%	90%	Similar	NA	NA	NA	higher	Higher	Higher	Similar
Contacted Scottsdale															
employees	54%	53%	54%	50%	39%	54%	34%	Lower	NA	NA	NA	Lower	Lower	Similar	Lower

#### Table 6: Participation by Facet

		Percen	t rating p		(e.g., alw e a month	ays/some 1, yes)	times, mo	re than	2018 rating compared to			Com	parison to	benchmarl	K	
		2003	2004	2006	2010	2013	2016	2018	2016	2003	2004	2006	2010	2013	2016	2018
	Stocked supplies for an emergency	NA	NA	NA	NA	18%	26%	21%	Similar	NA	NA	NA	NA	Lower	Lower	Lower
	Did NOT report a crime	NA	NA	NA	NA	85%	85%	83%	Similar	NA	NA	NA	NA	Similar	Similar	Simila
Safety	Was NOT the victim of a crime	88%	87%	88%	11%	90%	86%	92%	Similar	NA	NA	NA	Similar	Similar	Similar	Similar
	Used public transportation instead of driving	NA	NA	NA	NA	18%	13%	19%	Similar	NA	NA	NA	NA	Lower	Lower	Similar
	Carpooled instead of driving alone	NA	NA	NA	NA	45%	50%	42%	Lower	NA	NA	NA	NA	Similar	Similar	Similar
Mobility	Walked or biked instead of driving	NA	NA	NA	NA	55%	5 <b>9</b> %	61%	Similar	NA	NA	NA	NA	Similar	Similar	Similar
	Conserved water	NA	NA	NA	NA	85%	83%	84%	Similar	NA	NA	NA	NA	Similar	Similar	Similar
Natural	Made home more energy efficient	NA	NA	NA	NA	79%	78%	74%	Similar	NA	NA	NA	NA	Similar	Similar	Similar
Environment	Recycled at home	80%	79%	84%	86%	89%	94%	90%	Similar	NA	NA	NA	Higher	Similar	Similar	Similar
	Did NOT observe a code violation	NA	NA	NA	NA	58%	67%	60%	Similar	NA	NA	NA	NA	Similar	Higher	Similar
Built Environment	NOT under housing cost stress	NA	NA	NA	33%	74%	71%	69%	Similar	NA	NA	NA	Similar	Similar	Similar	Similar
	Purchased goods or services in Scottsdale	NA	NA	NA	NA	100%	97%	98%	Similar	NA	NA	NA	NA	Similar	Similar	Similar
	Economy will have positive impact on income	29%	38%	39%	15%	34%	38%	38%	Similar	NA	NA	NA	Similar	Higher	Higher	Similar
Economy	Work in Scottsdale	NA	NA	NA	NA	41%	36%	44%	Similar	NA	NA	NA	NA	Similar	Similar	Similar
	Used Scottsdale recreation centers	56%	61%	59%	58%	66%	63%	61%	Similar	NA	NA	NA	Similar	Similar	Similar	Similar
	Visited a City park	84%	86%	82%	83%	82%	86%	83%	Similar	NA	NA	NA	Similar	Similar	Similar	Similar
	Ate 5 portions of fruits and vegetables	NA	NA	NA	NA	86%	87%	85%	Similar	NA	NA	NA	NA	Similar	Similar	Similar
	Participated in moderate or vigorous physical activity	NA	NA	NA	NA	90%	89%	89%	Similar	NA	NA	NA	NA	Similar	Similar	Similar
Recreation and Wellness	In very good to excellent health	NA	NA	NA	NA	72%	78%	71%	Similar	NA	NA	NA	NA	Similar	Similar	Similar
Education and Enrichment	Used Scottsdale public libraries	73%	73%	76%	73%	60%	62%	59%	Similar	NA	NA	NA	Similar	Lower	Similar	Similar

		Percen	t rating p	5	(e.g., alw e a month	ays/some , yes)	times, mo	ore than	2018 rating compared to			Com	parison to I	penchmark	(	
		2003	2004	2006	2010	2013	2016	2018	2016	2003	2004	2006	2010	2013	2016	2018
	Participated in religious or spiritual activities	NA	NA	NA	52%	42%	44%	47%	Similar	NA	NA	NA	Similar	Similar	Similar	Similar
	Attended a City- sponsored event	NA	NA	NA	NA	46%	46%	51%	Similar	NA	NA	NA	NA	Similar	Similar	Similar
	Campaigned for an issue, cause or candidate	NA	NA	NA	NA	21%	29%	34%	Similar	NA	NA	NA	NA	Similar	Similar	Similar
	Contacted Scottsdale elected officials	NA	NA	NA	NA	11%	19%	17%	Similar	NA	NA	NA	NA	Similar	Similar	Similar
	Volunteered	39%	40%	41%	47%	37%	36%	35%	Similar	NA	NA	NA	Similar	Similar	Similar	Similar
	Participated in a club	NA	NA	NA	35%	31%	30%	32%	Similar	NA	NA	NA	Higher	Similar	Similar	Similar
	Talked to or visited with neighbors	NA	NA	NA	NA	88%	93%	90%	Similar	NA	NA	NA	NA	Similar	Similar	Similar
	Done a favor for a neighbor	NA	NA	NA	NA	76%	82%	78%	Similar	NA	NA	NA	NA	Similar	Similar	Similar
	Attended a local public meeting	22%	23%	25%	20%	15%	17%	18%	Similar	NA	NA	NA	Much Iower	Lower	Similar	Similar
	Watched a local public meeting	48%	47%	54%	42%	20%	21%	22%	Similar	NA	NA	NA	Similar	Lower	Similar	Similar
Community	Read or watched local news	NA	NA	NA	NA	82%	86%	89%	Similar	NA	NA	NA	NA	Similar	Similar	Similar
Engagement	Voted in local elections	66%	79%	76%	76%	84%	89%	92%	Similar	NA	NA	NA	Similar	Similar	Similar	Similar



## Scottsdale, AZ

Comparisons by Demographic Subgroups

2018



2955 Valmont Road Suite 300 Boulder, Colorado 80301 n-r-c.com • 303-444-7863



777 North Capitol Street NE Suite 500 Washington, DC 20002 icma.org • 800-745-8780

## About

The National Citizen Survey<sup>™</sup> (The NCS<sup>™</sup>) is a collaborative effort between National Research Center, Inc. (NRC) and the International City/County Management Association (ICMA). The survey and its administration are standardized to assure high quality research methods and directly comparable results across The NCS communities. Communities conducting The NCS can choose from a number of optional services to customize the reporting of survey results. Scottsdale's Comparisons by Demographic Subgroups is part of a larger project for the City and additional reports are available under separate cover. This report discusses differences in opinion of survey respondents by age, sex, housing tenure, housing unit type and race and ethnicity.

### **Understanding the Tables**

For most of the questions, one number appears for each question. Responses have been summarized to show only the proportion of respondents giving a certain answer; for example, the percent of respondents who rated the quality of life as "excellent" or "good," or the percent of respondents who participated in an activity at least once. It should be noted that when a table that does include all responses (not a single number) for a question that only permitted a single response does not total to exactly 100%, it is due to the common practice of percentages being rounded to the nearest whole number.

The subgroup comparison tables contain the crosstabulations of survey questions by selected respondent characteristics. Chi-square or ANOVA tests of significance were applied to these breakdowns of survey questions. A "p-value" of 0.05 or less indicates that there is less than a 5% probability that differences observed between groups are due to chance; or in other words, a greater than 95% probability that the differences observed in the selected categories of the sample represent "real" differences among those populations. As subgroups vary in size and each group (and each comparison to another group) has a unique margin of error, statistical testing is used to determine whether differences between subgroups are statistically significant. Statistical testing was not performed on multiple response questions.

Each column in the following tables is labeled with a letter for each subgroup being compared. The "Overall" column, which shows the ratings for all respondents, also has a column designation of "(A)", but no statistical tests were done for the overall rating.

For each pair of subgroups ratings within a row (a single question item) that has a statistically significant difference, an upper case letter denoting significance is shown in the cell with the larger column proportion. The letter denotes the subgroup with the smaller column proportion from which it is statistically different. Subgroups that have no upper case letter denotation in their column and that are also not referred to in any other column were not statistically different.

For example, in Table A below, respondents age 55 and over (C) gave significantly higher rating to the overall quality of life than those age 18 to 34 (A) and 35 to 54 (B), as denoted by the "A B" listed in the cell of the ratings for those 55+. This was also true of women (A) over men (B); people who were white alone, not Hispanic (A) over those who were Hispanic and/or other race (B); homeowners (B) over renters (A); and those living in detached housing (A) over those living in attached housing (B).

								Hou	sing			
		Age		Se	x	Race/e	thnicity	ten	2	Housing	unit type	Overall
	18-	35-				White alone, not	Hispanic and/or other					
Percent rating positively (e.g.,	34	54	55+	Female	Male	Hispanic	race	Rent	Own	Detached	Attached	
excellent/good)	(A)	(B)	(C)	(A)	(B)	(A)	(B)	(A)	(B)	(A)	(B)	(A)
The overall quality of life in ABC	76%	78%	82%	79%	77%	81%	71%	73%	81%	79%	76%	78%
		A	A B	В		В			Α	В		
Overall image or reputation of ABC	69%	69%	73%	71%	69%	72%	64%	68%	71%	69%	71%	70%
			A B	В		В			Α		A	
ABC as a place to live	81%	84%	87%	85%	83%	86%	78%	79%	86%	85%	82%	84%
·		A	A B	В		В			А	В		

Figure 1: Community Characteristics – General (Example Only)

### Findings

Notable differences between demographic subgroups included the following:

- Community members under the age of 35 tended to give higher ratings to several aspects of the Scottsdale government, including the overall direction that Scottsdale is taking, overall confidence in Scottsdale government and generally acting in the best interest of the community. Residents younger than 35 were also more likely than their counterparts to positively rate several Safety-related services. Community members aged 35 or older were more likely to participate in many aspects of Community Engagement.
- Few differences in opinion by gender were observed. Where differences were noted, female residents tended to give higher ratings than male residents to aspects of Community Characteristics and Governance.
- Residents living in detached homes tended to give more positive ratings than those who lived in attached homes to general aspects of Community Characteristics (such as the overall quality of life in Scottsdale) and to Natural Environment-related services. However, residents living in attached housing were more likely than others to favorably rate aspects of public trust (such as overall confidence in City government and being honest).
- Residents who rented their homes gave higher marks than those who owned their homes to several general
  aspects of livability such as the overall ease of travel, quality of the overall natural environment and overall
  built environment. Homeowners tended to give more positive marks to Natural Environment-related services
  such as garbage collection and drinking water. Homeowners were also more likely than renters to have
  participated in aspects of Community Engagement such as contacting elected officials, interacting with
  neighbors or attending local public meetings.
- Residents who were white alone, not Hispanic were more likely to award positive ratings to services related to the Built Environment such as sewer services, utility billing and code enforcement, than residents who were Hispanic and/or another race. Other patterns in resident responses by race and ethnicity did not emerge.

#### Table 1: Community Characteristics - General

		A = 10		C e u					sing	Da sa /s	4 h	Otterrall
		Age 35-		Sex	x I	Housing	unit type	ten	ure		thnicity	Overall
Percent rating positively (e.g.,	18-34	35- 54	55+	Female	Male	Detached	Attached	Rent	Own	White alone, not Hispanic	Hispanic and/or other race	
excellent/good)	(A)	(B)	(C)	(A)	(B)	(A)	(B)	(A)	(B)	(A)	(B)	(A)
The overall quality of life in Scottsdale	100%	95%	95%	96%	96%	98% B	91%	92%	97% A	96%	94%	96%
Overall image or reputation of Scottsdale	92%	86%	93%	92%	88%	90%	91%	93%	89%	90%	87%	90%
Scottsdale as a place to live	100%	95%	98%	96%	98%	99% B	95%	95%	98%	98%	94%	97%
Your neighborhood as a place to live	97%	93%	96%	93%	97%	98% B	90%	91%	96%	95%	92%	95%
Scottsdale as a place to raise children	94%	86%	85%	85%	89%	88%	86%	84%	88%	86%	90%	86%
Scottsdale as a place to retire	97% B	82%	93% B	88%	93%	90%	91%	90%	90%	91% B	80%	90%
Overall appearance of Scottsdale	94%	86%	92%	92%	89%	92%	87%	90%	90%	91%	85%	90%

#### Table 2: Community Characteristics - Safety

								Hous	sing			
		Age		Sex	K	Housing	unit type	tenu	ıre	Race/e	thnicity	Overall
		35-								White alone, not	Hispanic and/or	
Percent rating positively (e.g., excellent/good,	18-34	54	55+	Female	Male	Detached	Attached	Rent	Own	Hispanic	other race	
very/somewhat safe)	(A)	(B)	(C)	(A)	(B)	(A)	(B)	(A)	(B)	(A)	(B)	(A)
Overall feeling of safety in Scottsdale	97%	96%	<b>9</b> 4%	96%	<b>9</b> 5%	94%	96%	97%	94%	96%	<b>9</b> 5%	95%
In your neighborhood during the day	100%	98%	<b>9</b> 7%	97%	99%	<b>99</b> %	97%	98%	98%	98%	100%	98%
In Scottsdale's downtown/commercial area	95%	97%	94%	94%	96%	94%	98%	100%	93%	94%	100%	95%
during the day								В				

#### Table 3: Community Characteristics - Mobility

								Hou	ising			
		Age		Sex	K	Housing	unit type	ten	ure	Race/e	thnicity	Overall
	18-	35-								White alone, not	Hispanic and/or	
Percent rating positively (e.g., excellent/good,	34	54	55+	Female	Male	Detached	Attached	Rent	Own	Hispanic	other race	
very/somewhat safe)	(A)	(B)	(C)	(A)	(B)	(A)	(B)	(A)	(B)	(A)	(B)	(A)
Overall ease of getting to the places you usually have to visit	87%	85%	81%	82%	85%	82%	84%	91% B	80%	82%	91%	82%
Traffic flow on major streets	48%	51%	65% A B	58%	53%	56%	56%	58%	55%	54%	63%	56%
Ease of public parking	78% B	5 <b>9</b> %	68%	68%	64%	64%	68%	72%	63%	64%	76%	66%

		Age		Sex	<	Housing	unit type		sing ure	Race/e	thnicity	Overall
Percent rating positively (e.g., excellent/good,	18- 34	35- 54	55+	Female	Male	Detached	Attached	Rent	Own	White alone, not Hispanic	Hispanic and/or other race	
very/somewhat safe)	(A)	(B)	(C)	(A)	(B)	(A)	(B)	(A)	(B)	(A)	(B)	(A)
Ease of travel by car in Scottsdale	77%	68%	72%	70%	72%	74%	67%	71%	71%	68%	86% A	71%
Ease of travel by public transportation in Scottsdale	47%	35%	46%	43%	42%	38%	47%	42%	42%	38%	60% A	43%
Ease of travel by bicycle in Scottsdale	62%	61%	65%	66%	59%	65%	58%	52%	66%	58%	84% A	63%
Ease of walking in Scottsdale	69%	69%	83% B	80% B	69%	71%	80%	73%	75%	75%	68%	75%
Availability of paths and walking trails	84%	79%	86%	84%	82%	81%	86%	89%	81%	83%	78%	83%

#### Table 4: Community Characteristics - Natural Environment

								Hou	sing			
		Age		Sex	ĸ	Housing	unit type	ten	ure	Race/e	thnicity	Overall
	18-	35-								White alone, not	Hispanic and/or	
Percent rating positively (e.g., excellent/good,	34	54	55+	Female	Male	Detached	Attached	Rent	Own	Hispanic	other race	
very/somewhat safe)	(A)	(B)	(C)	(A)	(B)	(A)	(B)	(A)	(B)	(A)	(B)	(A)
Quality of overall natural environment in	97%	93%	90%	92%	92%	90%	93%	97%	89%	92%	89%	91%
Scottsdale								В				
Air quality	75%	63%	60%	60%	67%	66%	59%	62%	64%	65%	60%	64%
	C											
Cleanliness of Scottsdale	94%	85%	89%	88%	89%	90%	86%	89%	88%	89%	84%	88%

#### Table 5: Community Characteristics - Built Environment

		٨٩٥		- Con		Housing	unit tuno		sing	Dece/e	theisity	Quarall
		Age		Sex	K.	Housing	unit type	ten	ure	1	thnicity	Overall
		35-								White alone,	Hispanic and/or	
Percent rating positively (e.g., excellent/good,	18-34	54	55+	Female	Male	Detached	Attached	Rent	Own	not Hispanic	other race	
very/somewhat safe)	(A)	(B)	(C)	(A)	(B)	(A)	(B)	(A)	(B)	(A)	(B)	(A)
Overall "built environment" of Scottsdale (including	100%	75%	75%	81%	79%	80%	79%	87%	76%	78%	91%	79%
overall design, buildings, parks and transportation systems)	ВC							В			A	
Public places where people want to spend time	91%	82%	87%	85%	87%	86%	85%	85%	86%	86%	78%	85%
Variety of housing options	63%	64%	65%	60%	67%	63%	65%	66%	63%	65%	56%	64%
Availability of affordable quality housing	30%	34%	43%	31%	42%	37%	35%	40%	34%	37%	28%	36%
Overall quality of new development in Scottsdale	89%	64%	67%	69%	73%	69%	73%	80%	67%	70%	76%	71%
	BC							В				

#### Table 6: Community Characteristics - Economy

		_		Sex				sing				
		Age	ŀ			Housing	unit type	tenure		Race/e	Overall	
		35-								White alone, not	Hispanic and/or	
Percent rating positively (e.g., excellent/good,	18-34	54	55+	Female	Male	Detached	Attached	Rent	Own	Hispanic	other race	
very/somewhat safe)	(A)	(B)	(C)	(A)	(B)	(A)	(B)	(A)	(B)	(A)	(B)	(A)
Overall economic health of Scottsdale	97% C	88%	84%	88%	89%	90%	86%	94%	86%	89%	87%	88%
Scottsdale as a place to work	94%	91%	88%	89%	92%	88%	92%	92%	89%	90%	94%	90%
Scottsdale as a place to visit	100%	<b>9</b> 5%	<b>9</b> 5%	98%	<b>9</b> 5%	98%	94%	97%	96%	96%	96%	96%
Employment opportunities	72%	76%	69%	74%	70%	72%	71%	74%	70%	73%	61%	71%
Shopping opportunities	97%	96%	<b>9</b> 5%	96%	<b>9</b> 5%	95%	97%	<b>99</b> %	94%	97%	92%	96%
Cost of living in Scottsdale	40%	38%	48%	40%	45%	44%	41%	35%	46%	45%	30%	43%
Overall quality of business and service establishments in Scottsdale	89%	85%	87%	88%	85%	90%	82%	85%	88%	86%	89%	86%
Vibrant downtown/commercial area	88%	82%	85%	83%	84%	88% B	78%	80%	85%	83%	91%	84%

#### Table 7: Community Characteristics - Recreation and Wellness

	Age		Sex		Housing	Housing tenure		Race/ethnicity		Overall		
Percent rating positively (e.g., excellent/good,	18- 34	35- 54	55+	Female	Male	Detached	Attached	Rent	Own	White alone, not Hispanic	Hispanic and/or other race	
very/somewhat safe)	(A)	(B)	(C)	(A)	(B)	(A)	(B)	(A)	(B)	(A)	(B)	(A)
Health and wellness opportunities in Scottsdale	94%	88%	92%	91%	90%	90%	91%	98% B	87%	91%	82%	90%
Fitness opportunities (including exercise classes and paths or trails, etc.)	88%	88%	86%	89%	83%	87%	86%	88%	86%	87%	86%	86%
Recreational opportunities	83%	84%	86%	86%	83%	84%	84%	88%	82%	84%	80%	84%
Availability of affordable quality food	67%	77%	85% A	76%	80%	82% B	72%	81%	76%	80%	66%	78%
Availability of affordable quality health care	83% B	65%	84% B	73%	81%	76%	78%	77%	77%	79% B	60%	77%
Availability of preventive health services	88%	79%	84%	80%	85%	81%	85%	83%	82%	86% B	65%	83%
Availability of affordable quality mental health care	82% B C	49%	63%	61%	67%	61%	66%	60%	65%	66%	48%	64%

#### Table 8: Community Characteristics - Education and Enrichment

							Hou	sing				
		Age		Sex		Housing	tenure		Race/ethnicity		Overall	
Percent rating positively (e.g., excellent/good,	18- 34	35- 54	55+	Female	Male	Detached	Attached	Rent	Own	White alone, not Hispanic	Hispanic and/or other race	
very/somewhat safe)	(A)	(B)	(C)	(A)	(B)	(A)	(B)	(A)	(B)	(A)	(B)	(A)
Overall opportunities for education and enrichment	94% B	75%	85% B	82%	85%	85%	79%	83%	83%	84%	78%	83%
Availability of affordable quality child care/preschool	47%	57%	53%	44%	61%	54%	50%	42%	54%	56%	38%	52%
K-12 education	63%	67%	71%	67%	66%	65%	69%	65%	66%	70% B	48%	66%
Adult educational opportunities	60%	54%	76% B	74% B	58%	63%	70%	67%	65%	69%	53%	66%
Opportunities to attend cultural/arts/music activities	75%	84%	87% A	85%	81%	82%	85%	89%	81%	85%	74%	83%
Opportunities to participate in religious or spiritual events and activities	69%	83%	90% A	88%	79%	85%	83%	78%	87%	87% B	60%	84%

#### Table 9: Community Characteristics - Community Engagement

								Hou	sing		Overall	
		Age		Sex		Housing unit type		tenure		Race/e		
	18-	35-								White alone, not	Hispanic and/or	
Percent rating positively (e.g., excellent/good,	34	54	55+	Female	Male	Detached	Attached	Rent	Own	Hispanic	other race	
very/somewhat safe)	(A)	(B)	(C)	(A)	(B)	(A)	(B)	(A)	(B)	(A)	(B)	(A)
Opportunities to participate in social events and	91%	74%	84%	88%	75%	76%	89%	92%	77%	81%	80%	81%
activities	В			В			A	В				
Opportunities to volunteer	89%	78%	86%	85%	83%	82%	87%	87%	83%	88%	59%	83%
										В		
Opportunities to participate in community matters	61%	65%	76%	67%	71%	67%	73%	68%	69%	72%	55%	68%
Openness and acceptance of the community toward	53%	50%	60%	52%	59%	56%	56%	46%	60%	56%	44%	56%
people of diverse backgrounds									Α			
Neighborliness of residents in Scottsdale	60%	42%	67%	62%	50%	53%	63%	63%	54%	56%	60%	57%
	В		В	В								
Helping new residents feel connected and	47%	30%	52%	48%	37%	33%	58%	48%	41%	44%	30%	43%
integrated	В		В				A					
Demonstrating respect for residents of different	52%	50%	58%	57%	48%	53%	55%	40%	5 <b>9</b> %	56%	31%	54%
cultures and belief systems									А	В		

#### Table 10: Governance - General

				_				sing ure				
	Age		Sez	Sex		Housing unit type			Race/ethnicity		Overall	
	18- 34	35- 54	55+	Female	Male	Detached	Attached	Rent	Own	White alone, not Hispanic	Hispanic and/or other race	
Percent rating positively (e.g., excellent/good)	(A)	(B)	(C)	(A)	(B)	(A)	(B)	(A)	(B)	(A)	(B)	(A)
The City of Scottsdale	90%	82%	87%	88%	83%	84%	89%	85%	86%	85%	89%	86%
The value of services for the taxes paid to Scottsdale	59%	59%	72%	59%	69%	64%	65%	57%	67%	65%	55%	64%
The overall direction that Scottsdale is taking	78% B C	59%	63%	63%	68%	63%	68%	69%	63%	64%	72%	65%
The job Scottsdale government does at welcoming citizen involvement	65%	48%	59%	58%	55%	50%	65% A	60%	54%	56%	57%	56%
Overall confidence in Scottsdale government	78% B C	48%	58%	58%	58%	50%	70% A	67% B	54%	58%	59%	58%
Generally acting in the best interest of the community	81% B C	48%	56%	58%	59%	55%	62%	68% B	54%	58%	59%	58%
Being honest	67% B	39%	55% B	49%	55%	46%	60% A	52%	52%	52%	48%	52%
Treating all residents fairly	69% B	50%	55%	54%	60%	52%	63%	57%	56%	56%	58%	56%
Overall customer service by Scottsdale employees (police, receptionists, planners, etc.)	74%	79%	82%	80%	78%	84% B	71%	76%	80%	80%	71%	79%
The Federal Government	43%	33%	40%	36%	40%	37%	39%	30%	40%	38%	36%	38%
The State Government	51%	46%	48%	49%	46%	48%	48%	40%	50%	46%	51%	48%
Maricopa County Government	43%	51%	53%	53%	48%	55%	44%	34%	56% A	52%	37%	50%
Law enforcement treating all residents fairly	62%	69%	71%	67%	70%	68%	70%	60%	72%	68%	66%	68%

#### Table 11: Governance - Safety

		Age			Sex		Housing unit type			Race/ethnicity		Overall
	18-34	35- 54	55+	Female	Male	Detached	Attached	Rent	Own	White alone, not Hispanic	Hispanic and/or other race	
Percent rating positively (e.g., excellent/good)	(A)	(B)	(C)	(A)	(B)	(A)	(B)	(A)	(B)	(A)	(B)	(A)
Police services	85%	94%	90%	92%	88%	90%	90%	90%	90%	92% B	78%	90%
Fire services	78%	97% A	99% A	98% B	92%	92%	100% A	95%	95%	97% B	83%	95%
Ambulance or emergency medical services	85%	94%	97% A	95%	94%	92%	98% A	97%	93%	95%	88%	95%
Crime prevention	100% B C	81%	81%	85%	84%	83%	86%	85%	84%	83%	93%	84%
Fire prevention and education	94%	86%	87%	89%	89%	87%	90%	88%	88%	88%	83%	88%
		Age		Sex	ĸ	Housing	unit type		sing ure	Race/e	thnicity	Overall
--	------------	-----	----------	-----	------	----------	-----------	----------	-------------	------------------------------	----------------------------	---------
	18-34				Male	Detached	Attached	Rent	Own	White alone, not Hispanic	Hispanic and/or other race	
Percent rating positively (e.g., excellent/good)	(A)	(B)	(C)	(A)	(B)	(A)	(B)	(A)	(B)	(A)	(B)	(A)
Animal control	89% B C	72%	70%	71%	80%	77%	72%	86% B	70%	77%	67%	75%
Emergency preparedness (services that prepare the community for natural disasters or other emergency situations)	87% B C	35%	65% B	61%	61%	59%	63%	56%	62%	60%	55%	61%

#### Table 12: Governance - Mobility

								Hou	sing			
		Age		Sez	х	Housing	unit type	ten	ure	Race/e	ethnicity	Overall
Percent rating positively (e.g.,	18- 34	35- 54	55+	Female	Male	Detached	Attached	Rent	Own	White alone, not Hispanic	Hispanic and/or other race	
excellent/good)	(A)	(B)	(C)	(A)	(B)	(A)	(B)	(A)	(B)	(A)	(B)	(A)
Traffic enforcement	82% B	66%	68%	79% B	62%	68%	71%	77%	66%	69%	77%	69%
Street repair	78% C	70%	60%	68%	66%	68%	66%	72%	65%	65%	77%	67%
Street cleaning	80%	76%	78%	76%	79%	80%	74%	77%	77%	76%	79%	77%
Street lighting	67%	70%	75%	73%	70%	75%	66%	68%	73%	72%	70%	72%
Sidewalk maintenance	92% B C	70%	75%	78%	75%	75%	78%	83%	73%	77%	72%	76%
Traffic signal timing	69% B	48%	61%	60%	56%	56%	60%	61%	56%	55%	72%	58%
Bus or transit services	72%	66%	64%	63%	70%	62%	70%	70%	63%	62%	85% A	66%

#### Table 13: Governance - Natural Environment

		Age		Sex	ĸ	Housing	unit type		sing ure	Race/e	thnicity	Overall
	18- 34	34 54 55+ F		Female	Male	Detached	Attached	Rent	Own	White alone, not Hispanic	Hispanic and/or other race	
Percent rating positively (e.g., excellent/good)	(A)	(B)	(C)	(A)	(B)	(A)	(B)	(A)	(B)	(A)	(B)	(A)
Garbage collection	91%	92%	91%	90%	91%	94% B	85%	82%	94% A	91%	91%	91%
Recycling	80%	78%	86%	80%	84%	90% B	66%	64%	88% A	83%	73%	82%
Yard waste pick-up	79%	86%	86%	92% B	77%	84%	86%	72%	87% A	86%	77%	84%

		Age		Sex	x	Housing	unit type	Hou ten	sing ure	Race/e	thnicity	Overall
	18- 34	18- 35- 34 54 55+ F		Female	Male	Detached	Attached	Rent	Own	White alone, not Hispanic	Hispanic and/or other race	
Percent rating positively (e.g., excellent/good)	(A)	(B)	(C)	(A)	(B)	(A)	(B)	(A)	(B)	(A)	(B)	(A)
Drinking water	64%	60%	61%	59%	64%	67% B	52%	48%	66% A	62%	47%	61%
Preservation of natural areas such as open space, farmlands and greenbelts	81%	72%	75%	73%	77%	78%	68%	80%	72%	75%	74%	74%
Scottsdale McDowell Sonoran Preserve	80%	89%	92% A	93% B	83%	87%	90%	90%	87%	88%	88%	88%

#### Table 14: Governance - Built Environment

		Age		Se	x	Housing	unit type		sing ure	Race/e	thnicity	Overall
Percent rating positively (e.g.,	18- 34	35- 54	55+	Female	Male	Detached	Attached	Rent	Own	White alone, not Hispanic	Hispanic and/or other race	
excellent/good)	(A)	(B)	(C)	(A)	(B)	(A)	(B)	(A)	(B)	(A)	(B)	(A)
Storm drainage	68%	76%	72%	77%	67%	77% B	65%	60%	77% A	72%	78%	72%
Sewer services	81%	77%	82%	83%	76%	81%	78%	76%	81%	83% B	61%	80%
Utility billing	66%	72%	79%	74%	73%	75%	71%	72%	74%	75% B	59%	73%
Land use, planning and zoning	57%	50%	51%	56%	46%	49%	54%	53%	50%	54% B	31%	51%
Code enforcement (weeds, abandoned buildings, etc.)	65%	63%	58%	57%	64%	64%	54%	64%	5 <b>9</b> %	66% B	38%	61%
Cable television	65%	52%	59%	56%	59%	59%	54%	62%	54%	57%	63%	57%

#### Table 15: Governance - Economy

		Age		Sex	(	Housing	unit type	Hou ten	sing ure	Race/e	thnicity	Overall
Percent rating positively (e.g.,	18- 34	35- 54	55+	Female	Male	Detached	Attached	Rent	Own	White alone, not Hispanic	Hispanic and/or other race	
excellent/good)	(A)	(B)	(C)	(A)	(B)	(A)	(B)	(A)	(B)	(A)	(B)	(A)
Economic development	80%	82%	73%	79%	75%	76%	79%	83%	75%	76%	85%	77%

#### Table 16: Governance - Recreation and Wellness

								Hou	sing			
		Age		Sex	(	Housing	unit type	ten	ure	Race/e	ethnicity	Overall
	18-	35-								White alone, not	Hispanic and/or other	
Percent rating positively (e.g.,	34	54	55+	Female	Male	Detached	Attached	Rent	Own	Hispanic	race	
excellent/good)	(A)	(B)	(C)	(A)	(B)	(A)	(B)	(A)	(B)	(A)	(B)	(A)
City parks	88%	90%	92%	95%	85%	90%	90%	88%	91%	90%	87%	90%
				В								
Recreation programs or classes	93%	84%	85%	86%	87%	86%	86%	81%	87%	88%	70%	86%
										В		
Recreation centers or facilities	95%	88%	83%	86%	90%	89%	85%	84%	89%	89%	80%	87%

#### Table 17: Governance - Education and Enrichment

		Age		Sex	ĸ	Housing	unit type	Hou ten	sing ure	Race/e	ethnicity	Overall
	18- 35- 34 54 55+		Famala	Mala	Detechad	Attached	Damb	0	White alone, not	Hispanic and/or other		
Percent rating positively (e.g., excellent/good)	(A)	54 (B)	(C)	Female (A)	Male (B)	Detached (A)	Attached (B)	Rent (A)	Own (B)	Hispanic (A)	(B)	(A)
Public library services	95%	98%	91%	92%	95%	93%	93%	96%	92%	93%	93%	93%
City-sponsored special events	96% B C	79%	78%	86%	78%	80%	83%	77%	83%	80%	91%	82%
Senior services	90%	70%	79%	77%	80%	80%	76%	72%	80%	81%	63%	78%

#### Table 18: Governance - Community Engagement

								Hou	sing			
		Age		Sex	ĸ	Housing	unit type	ten	ure	Race/e	ethnicity	Overall
		35- 19-24 E4 EE For								White alone, not	Hispanic and/or other	
Percent rating positively (e.g.,			Female	Male	Detached	Attached	Rent	Own	Hispanic	race		
excellent/good)	(A) (B) (C)		(A)	(B)	(A)	(B)	(A)	(B)	(A)	(B)	(A)	
Public information services	(A) (B) (C)   89% 76% 75%		78%	77%	78%	78%	84%	75%	79%	76%	78%	
Scottsdale website (www.ScottsdaleAZ.gov)	72%	73%	81%	76%	76%	76%	75%	74%	76%	74%	82%	76%
City Cable Channel 11 or Scottsdale Video	100%	45%	71%	68%	70%	69%	67%	64%	69%	65%	89%	69%
Network	ВC		В									

#### Table 19: Participation General

		Age						Hou	sing			
		Age		Sex	(	Housing	unit type	ten	ure	Race/e	thnicity	Overall
	18-	35-								White alone, not	Hispanic and/or	
Percent rating positively (e.g., always/sometimes,	34	54	55+	Female	Male	Detached	Attached	Rent	Own	Hispanic	other race	
more than once a month, yes)	(A)	(B)	(C)	(A)	(B)	(A)	(B)	(A)	(B)	(A)	(B)	(A)
Sense of community	50%	44%	64%	56%	51%	53%	55%	43%	58%	55%	46%	53%
			В						А			
Recommend living in Scottsdale to someone who	<b>9</b> 5%	97%	97%	94%	<b>99</b> %	96%	96%	97%	<b>9</b> 5%	96%	97%	96%
asks					A							
Remain in Scottsdale for the next five years	87%	88%	94%	92%	88%	93%	86%	81%	94%	89%	91%	90%
									Α			
Contacted the City of Scottsdale (in-person, phone,	5%	39%	45%	30%	39%	43%	22%	20%	41%	36%	26%	34%
email or web) for help or information		A	A			В			Α			

#### Table 20: Participation - Safety

								Hou	sing			
		Age		Sex	(	Housing	unit type	ten	ure	Race/e	thnicity	Overall
	18-									White alone, not	Hispanic and/or	
Percent rating positively (e.g., always/sometimes,	34	54	55+	Female	Male	Detached	Attached	Rent	Own	Hispanic	other race	
more than once a month, yes)	(A)	(B)	(C)	(A)	(B)	(A)	(B)	(A)	(B)	(A)	(B)	(A)
Was NOT the victim of a crime	96%	89%	<b>9</b> 1%	90%	93%	93%	90%	90%	93%	91%	94%	92%
Did NOT report a crime	75%	84%	87%	87%	79%	84%	81%	78%	85%	85%	77%	83%
			Α									
Stocked supplies in preparation for an emergency	24%	20%	18%	13%	27%	18%	24%	18%	21%	18%	27%	21%
					Α							

#### Table 21: Participation - Mobility

		Age		Sex	(	Housing	unit type	Hou ten	sing ure	Race/e	thnicity	Overall
Percent rating positively (e.g., always/sometimes,	18- 34	35- 54	55+	Female	Male	Detached	Attached	Rent	Own	White alone, not Hispanic	Hispanic and/or other race	
more than once a month, yes)	(A)	(B)	(C)	(A)	(B)	(A)	(B)	(A)	(B)	(A)	(B)	(A)
Walked or biked instead of driving	72% C	68% C	50%	59%	63%	59%	65%	70% B	58%	61%	66%	61%
Carpooled with other adults or children instead of driving alone	51% C	44%	36%	42%	44%	41%	43%	37%	43%	42%	47%	42%
Used bus or other public transportation instead of driving	19%	21%	17%	16%	22%	10%	31% A	27% B	15%	18%	29%	19%

#### Table 22: Participation - Natural Environment

									ising			
		Age		Sex	(	Housing	unit type	ten	ure	Race/e	thnicity	Overall
	18-	35-								White alone, not	Hispanic and/or	
Percent rating positively (e.g., always/sometimes,	34	54	55+	Female	Male	Detached	Attached	Rent	Own	Hispanic	other race	
more than once a month, yes)	(A)	(B)	(C)	(A)	(B)	(A)	(B)	(A)	(B)	(A)	(B)	(A)
Recycle at home	81%	92%	93%	86%	<b>9</b> 4%	100%	78%	78%	96%	91%	84%	90%
		А	Α		Α	В			A			
Made efforts to make your home more energy efficient	65%	75%	77%	76%	70%	78%	68%	56%	81% A	73%	76%	74%
Made efforts to conserve water	69%	87%	90%	90%	78%	88%	79%	73%	89%	87%	64%	84%
		А	Α	В		В			A	В		
Water services provided by Scottsdale Water	85%	78%	74%	76%	78%	83%	69%	71%	80%	78%	69%	77%
Department						В						
Drinking water taste	53%	44%	45%	48%	47%	54%	38%	34%	53%	47%	43%	47%
						В			A			

#### Table 23: Participation - Built Environment

									sing			
		Age		Sex	(	Housing	unit type	ten	ure	Race/e	thnicity	Overall
	18-	35-								White alone, not	Hispanic and/or	
Percent rating positively (e.g., always/sometimes,	34	54	55+	Female	Male	Detached	Attached	Rent	Own	Hispanic	other race	
more than once a month, yes)	(A)	(B)	(C)	(A)	(B)	(A)	(B)	(A)	(B)	(A)	(B)	(A)
NOT under housing cost stress	66%	79%	62%	67%	71%	79%	56%	64%	72%	73%	50%	69%
		С				В				В		
Did NOT observe a code violation	63%	55%	60%	65%	54%	58%	63%	66%	58%	59%	64%	60%

#### Table 24: Participation - Economy

		Age						Ηοι	using			
		Age		Se	х	Housing	unit type	ter	nure	Race/e	thnicity	Overall
Percent rating positively (e.g., always/sometimes,	18-34	35-54	55+	Female	Male	Detached	Attached	Rent	Own	White alone, not Hispanic	Hispanic and/or other race	
more than once a month, yes)	(A)	(B)	(C)	(A)	(B)	(A)	(B)	(A)	(B)	(A)	(B)	(A)
Purchase goods or services from a business located in Scottsdale	94%	100% A	98% A	96%	100% A	100% B	95%	93%	100% A	99% B	91%	98%
Economy will have positive impact on income	38%	47% C	32%	43%	32%	39%	35%	28%	42% A	38%	35%	38%
Work in Scottsdale	54% C	53% C	29%	42%	46%	47%	38%	51%	41%	43%	51%	44%
Visited downtown for shopping, dining or to attend an event	100% B C	89%	90%	94%	89%	91%	92%	95%	90%	92%	91%	92%

#### Table 25: Participation - Recreation and Wellness

		A = 10		C e u	_				sing	Deee (e	4 h i a i 4	Otranall
	10	Age		Sex	<b>K</b>	Housing	unit type	ten	ure		thnicity	Overall
	18- 34	35- 54	55+	Female	Male	Detached	Attached	Rent	Own	White alone, not Hispanic	Hispanic and/or other race	
Percent rating positively (e.g., always/sometimes,									-	•		(1)
more than once a month, yes)	(A)	(B)	(C)	(A)	(B)	(A)	(B)	(A)	(B)	(A)	(B)	(A)
Used Scottsdale recreation centers or their services	69%	68%	55%	62%	63%	63%	58%	65%	5 <b>9</b> %	61%	68%	61%
		С										
Visited a neighborhood park or City park	86%	95%	73%	81%	84%	85%	80%	80%	84%	82%	93%	83%
	C	С										
Eat at least 5 portions of fruits and vegetables a	91%	85%	81%	91%	77%	86%	84%	82%	86%	86%	78%	85%
day				В								
Participate in moderate or vigorous physical activity	91%	97%	82%	89%	89%	91%	87%	88%	89%	91%	79%	89%
	C	С								В		
Reported being in "very good" or "excellent" health	81%	80%	59%	72%	69%	74%	66%	66%	73%	73%	67%	71%
	C	С										
Visited or used a City pool	16%	22%	7%	13%	16%	18%	9%	15%	13%	10%	43%	14%
		С				В					А	
Used a City fitness facility	15%	30%	14%	20%	19%	21%	19%	30%	16%	16%	45%	20%
		ΑC						В			А	

#### Table 26: Participation - Education and Enrichment

		Age		Sex	κ	Housing	unit type		sing ure	Race/e	thnicity	Overall
Percent rating positively (e.g., always/sometimes,	18- 34	35- 54	55+	Female	Male	Detached	Attached	Rent	Own	White alone, not Hispanic	Hispanic and/or other race	
more than once a month, yes)	(A)	(B)	(C)	(A)	(B)	(A)	(B)	(A)	(B)	(A)	(B)	(A)
Used Scottsdale public libraries or their services	44%	64% A	61% A	61%	58%	64% B	52%	51%	62%	59%	64%	59%
Participated in religious or spiritual activities in Scottsdale	45%	39%	54% B	51%	42%	49%	43%	44%	48%	50% B	28%	47%
Attended a City-sponsored event	47%	61% C	44%	47%	53%	54%	46%	56%	48%	52%	48%	51%

#### Table 27: Participation - Community Engagement

								Hou	sing			
		Age		Sez	ĸ	Housing	unit type	ten	ure	Race/e	thnicity	Overall
	18-	35-								White alone, not	Hispanic and/or	
Percent rating positively (e.g., always/sometimes,	34	54	55+	Female	Male	Detached	Attached	Rent	Own	Hispanic	other race	_
more than once a month, yes)	(A)	(B)	(C)	(A)	(B)	(A)	(B)	(A)	(B)	(A)	(B)	(A)
Campaigned or advocated for an issue, cause or candidate	31%	34%	33%	35%	32%	41% B	24%	27%	37%	37% B	14%	34%
Contacted Scottsdale elected officials (in-person, phone, email or web) to express your opinion	4%	20% A	18% A	14%	19%	23% B	8%	5%	21% A	17%	9%	17%
Volunteered your time to some group/activity in Scottsdale	37%	30%	37%	36%	33%	37%	31%	31%	36%	34%	31%	35%
Participated in a club	22%	29%	38% A	26%	38% A	32%	30%	26%	33%	31%	31%	32%
Talked to or visited with your immediate neighbors	80%	91% A	93% A	86%	92%	91%	87%	82%	92% A	91% B	77%	90%
Done a favor for a neighbor	81%	72%	81%	80%	75%	82%	73%	65%	83% A	81% B	59%	78%
Attended a local public meeting	4%	20% A	26% A	16%	21%	21%	15%	3%	25% A	20%	8%	18%
Watched (online or on television) a local public meeting	10%	29% A	24% A	24%	21%	23%	19%	16%	24%	21%	22%	22%
Read or watch local news (via television, paper, computer, etc.)	83%	92%	90%	92%	87%	89%	89%	87%	90%	92% B	73%	89%
Vote in local elections	82%	97% A	93% A	90%	95%	99% B	83%	84%	96% A	94% B	85%	92%

#### Table 28: Community Focus Areas

		Age		Sez	¢	Housing	unit type	Hous	3	Race/e	thnicity	Overall
	18-	35-								White alone,	Hispanic and/or	
	34	54	55+	Female	Male	Detached	Attached	Rent	Own	not Hispanic	other race	
Percent rating positively (e.g., essential/very important)	(A)	(B)	(C)	(A)	(B)	(A)	(B)	(A)	(B)	(A)	(B)	(A)
Overall feeling of safety in Scottsdale	96%	92%	97%	97% B	92%	94%	96%	100% B	93%	94%	100%	95%
Overall ease of getting to the places you usually have to visit	89%	92%	92%	92%	91%	93%	89%	89%	92%	92%	83%	91%
Quality of overall natural environment in Scottsdale	80%	94% A	89% A	88%	89%	90%	87%	86%	90%	90%	82%	89%
Overall "built environment" of Scottsdale (including overall design, buildings, parks and transportation systems)	74%	91% A	89% A	84%	88%	88%	84%	82%	88%	88% B	75%	86%

								Hous	sing			
		Age		Sex	x	Housing	unit type	teni	ure	Race/e	thnicity	Overall
	18-									White alone,	Hispanic and/or	
	34	54	55+	Female	Male	Detached	Attached	Rent	Own	not Hispanic	other race	
Percent rating positively (e.g., essential/very important)	(A)	(B)	(C)	(A)	(B)	(A)	(B)	(A)	(B)	(A)	(B)	(A)
Health and wellness opportunities in Scottsdale	79%	74%	89%	80%	82%	80%	83%	82%	80%	81%	75%	81%
			В									
Overall opportunities for education and enrichment	95%	79%	84%	87%	81%	82%	86%	86%	83%	84%	81%	84%
	ВC											
Overall economic health of Scottsdale	97%	87%	94%	91%	93%	91%	94%	94%	92%	91%	95%	92%
	В		В									
Sense of community	89%	87%	84%	82%	89%	86%	85%	91%	83%	85%	92%	85%

#### Table 29: Importance of City Investments

		Age		Sex	<	Housing	unit type		sing ure	Race/e	thnicity	Overall
Percent rating positively (e.g., essential/very	18- 34	35- 54	55+	Female	Male	Detached	Attached	Rent	Own	White alone, not Hispanic	Hispanic and/or other race	
important)	(A)	(B)	(C)	(A)	(B)	(A)	(B)	(A)	(B)	(A)	(B)	(A)
Repair or replace aging parks, libraries and arts facilities	67%	68%	71%	64%	75%	68%	69%	76%	66%	67%	82% A	69%
Repave roads and improve roadside appearance	69%	82% A	88% A	75%	87% A	82%	78%	72%	84% A	83%	70%	81%
Build new public parking garages and improve event spaces downtown	38%	38%	49%	44%	42%	39%	48%	46%	40%	45% B	26%	43%
Upgrade outdated City technology such as the 911 dispatch and building permit systems	75%	71%	82%	80%	74%	69%	87% A	80%	74%	75%	81%	76%
Build structures to better manage storm-related flooding and run-off	65%	67%	75%	72%	69%	68%	72%	72%	69%	71%	64%	70%
Remodel fire and police stations and training facilities to meet current safety and operational standards	69%	62%	71%	69%	65%	60%	78% A	78% B	63%	66%	69%	68%

#### Table 30: Use of Scottsdale McDowell Sonoran Preserve

								Hou	sing			
		Age		Sez	K	Housing	unit type	ten	ure	Race/e	thnicity	Overall
	18-	35-								White alone, not	Hispanic and/or	
Percent rating positively (e.g., 2 times a week or	34	54	55+	Female	Male	Detached	Attached	Rent	Own	Hispanic	other race	
more/2-4 times a month/once a month or less).	(A)	(B)	(C)	(A)	(B)	(A)	(B)	(A)	(B)	(A)	(B)	(A)
Walked or hiked in the Preserve	67% C	76% C	40%	60%	56%	62%	55%	55%	61%	63% B	37%	59%
Rode a mountain bike in the Preserve	25%	19%	7%	10%	21%	14%	17%	15%	15%	17%	4%	16%
	C	С			A					В		
Rock climbed in the Preserve	5%	9%	5%	9%	4%	7%	7%	4%	8%	8%	1%	7%

		٨٩٥		- Con		Housing	unit tuno		sing	Dece/o	theisity	Overall
		Age		Sex	(	Housing	unit type	ten	ure	Race/e	thnicity	Overall
	18-	35-								White alone, not	Hispanic and/or	
Percent rating positively (e.g., 2 times a week or	34	54	55+	Female	Male	Detached	Attached	Rent	Own	Hispanic	other race	
more/2-4 times a month/once a month or less).	(A)	(B)	(C)	(A)	(B)	(A)	(B)	(A)	(B)	(A)	(B)	(A)
Ridden a horse in the Preserve	13%	6%	2%	5%	8%	7%	6%	6%	6%	7%	2%	7%
	С											
Visited a Preserve trailhead	50%	70%	38%	56%	47%	59%	43%	47%	55%	56%	34%	53%
		AC				В				В		
Taken out of town visitors on any of these activities in	57%	52%	36%	54%	38%	49%	42%	45%	46%	49%	32%	46%
the Preserve	С	С		В						В		

#### Table 31: Water Conservation

		Age			(	Housing	unit type	Hou ten	sing ure	Race/e	thnicity	Overall
Percent rating positively (e.g., strongly	18- 34	35- 54	55+	Female	Male	Detached	Attached	Rent	Own	White alone, not Hispanic	Hispanic and/or other race	
agree/somewhat agree)	(A)	(B)	(C)	(A)	(B)	(A)	(B)	(A)	(B)	(A)	(B)	(A)
The City of Scottsdale should do more to promote water conservation among residents	88%	83%	80%	82%	82%	80%	84%	91% B	77%	81%	84%	81%
My household could do more to conserve water	67%	70%	61%	64%	65%	69% B	57%	68%	62%	64%	69%	64%
My neighbors could do more to conserve water	62%	72%	68%	76% B	59%	69%	63%	73%	64%	69%	60%	67%

#### Table 32: Sources of City Information

		Age		Sex	K	Housing	unit type		sing ure	Race/e	thnicity	Overall
Percent rating positively (e.g., major source/minor	18- 34	35- 54	55+	Female	Male	Detached	Attached	Rent	Own	White alone, not Hispanic	Hispanic and/or other race	
source)	(A)	(B)	(C)	(A)	(B)	(A)	(B)	(A)	(B)	(A)	(B)	(A)
Scottsdale Update utility bill newsletter	27%	57% A	65% A	56%	51%	69% B	33%	24%	66% A	56% B	36%	54%
Scottsdale Life (Parks, Recreation, Library and Human Services newsletter)	43%	51%	59% A	62% B	42%	51%	53%	54%	51%	51%	58%	52%
City website (ScottsdaleAZ.gov)	64%	71%	59%	67%	62%	66%	59%	62%	63%	65%	57%	63%
Scottsdale Update weekly email newsletter (or other City email newsletters)	38%	34%	47%	41%	39%	42%	37%	34%	42%	40%	40%	40%
City Cable Channel 11 or Scottsdale Video Network	26%	24%	37% B	34%	26%	33%	26%	29%	30%	28%	34%	30%
Local newspapers, television or radio news	69%	71%	85% A B	86% B	66%	78%	75%	67%	81% A	80% B	60%	77%

		Age		Sex	ĸ	Housing	unit type		sing ure	Race/e	thnicity	Overall
	18-	35-								White alone, not	Hispanic and/or	
Percent rating positively (e.g., major source/minor	34	54	55+	Female	Male	Detached	Attached	Rent	Own	Hispanic	other race	
source)	(A)	(B)	(C)	(A)	(B)	(A)	(B)	(A)	(B)	(A)	(B)	(A)
Facebook	62%	40%	27%	40%	38%	34%	44%	51%	32%	37%	47%	38%
	B C	С						В				
Twitter	34%	21%	14%	23%	19%	18%	24%	19%	21%	17%	41%	21%
	B C										А	
Next Door	33%	45%	36%	43%	33%	45%	28%	23%	44%	40%	29%	38%
						В			A			
YouTube	32%	11%	15%	18%	17%	15%	20%	14%	18%	16%	23%	18%
	BC											
Word of mouth	92%	76%	80%	80%	82%	78%	83%	88%	77%	81%	85%	81%
	В							В				



# Scottsdale, AZ

Comparisons by Geographic Subgroups

2018



2955 Valmont Road Suite 300 Boulder, Colorado 80301 n-r-c.com • 303-444-7863



777 North Capitol Street NE Suite 500 Washington, DC 20002 icma.org • 800-745-8780

# Summary

The National Citizen Survey<sup>™</sup> (The NCS<sup>™</sup>) is a collaborative effort between National Research Center, Inc. (NRC) and the International City/County Management Association (ICMA). The survey and its administration are standardized to assure high quality research methods and directly comparable results across The NCS communities. Communities conducting The NCS can choose from a number of optional services to customize the reporting of survey results. Scottsdale's Comparisons by Geographic Subgroups is part of a larger project for the City and additional reports are available under separate cover. This report discusses differences in opinion of survey respondents by geographic subarea (South of Indian Bend Road, North of Indian Bend Road and South or West of CAP Canal, and North or East of CAP Canal).

# **Understanding the Tables**

For most of the questions, one number appears for each question. Responses have been summarized to show only the proportion of respondents giving a certain answer; for example, the percent of respondents who rated the quality of life as "excellent" or "good," or the percent of respondents who participated in an activity at least once. It should be noted that when a table that does include all responses (not a single number) for a question that only permitted a single response does not total to exactly 100%, it is due to the common practice of percentages being rounded to the nearest whole number.

The subgroup comparison tables contain the crosstabulations of survey questions by geographic subarea. Chisquare or ANOVA tests of significance were applied to these breakdowns of survey questions. A "p-value" of 0.05 or less indicates that there is less than a 5% probability that differences observed between groups are due to chance; or in other words, a greater than 95% probability that the differences observed in the selected categories of the sample represent "real" differences among those populations. As subgroups vary in size and each group (and each comparison to another group) has a unique margin of error, statistical testing is used to determine whether differences between subgroups are statistically significant. Statistical testing was not performed on multiple response questions.

Each column in the following tables is labeled with a letter for each subgroup being compared. The "Overall" column, which shows the ratings for all respondents, also has a column designation of "(A)", but no statistical tests were done for the overall rating.

For each pair of subgroup ratings within a row (a single question item) that has a statistically significant difference, an upper case letter denoting significance is shown in the cell with the larger column proportion. The letter denotes the subgroup with the smaller column proportion from which it is statistically different. Subgroups that have no upper case letter denotation in their column and that are also not referred to in any other column were not statistically different.

For example, in Table A below, respondents in Districts 1 (A) and 2 (B) gave significantly lower ratings to overall quality of life than respondents in Districts 3 (C) and 4 (D), as denoted by the "A B" listed in the cell of the ratings for Districts 3 and 4. The overall quality of life rating in District 4 (D) also was significantly lower than that of District 3 (C) (as indicated by the "D" in the rating for District 3).

		District				
Percent rating positively (e.g.,	District 1	District 2	District 3	District 4		
excellent/good)	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(A)	
The overall quality of life in ABC	73%	74%	79% A B D	76% A B	78%	
Overall image or reputation of ABC	65%	66%	69% A B	71% A B C	70%	
ABC as a place to live	80%	81%	85% A B D	82% A B	84%	

Figure 1: Community Characteristics – General (Example Only)

Three geographic subareas were tracked for comparison and the number of completed surveys for each are in the figure below.

Figure 2: Geographic Areas

Area	Number of Completed Surveys
South of Indian Bend Road	117
North of Indian Bend Road and South or West of CAP Canal	92
North or East of CAP Canal	96

# **Findings**

Notable differences between geographic subareas included the following:

- **Resid**ents living South of Indian Bend Road tended to give higher marks to ease of travel by public transportation in Scottsdale than residents living in other areas.
- Community members living South of Indian Bend Road tended to give lower ratings to the overall appearance of Scottsdale, quality of overall natural environment and several City services (including police, animal control and sewer services) compared to residents living elsewhere.
- Residents living South of Indian Bend Road were less likely than others to give positive ratings to drinking water services and drinking water taste, and also less likely to have made efforts to conserve water in the past 12 months. They were also less likely than respondents who lived in other areas to believe that their household could do more to conserve water.
- Respondents who lived South of Indian Bend Road were less likely than their counterparts to have visited a public library or Scottsdale recreation centers and more likely to have observed a code violation.
- Residents living North or East of CAP Canal tended to rate ease of travel by bicycle and their feelings of safety in Scottsdale's downtown/commercial area during the day less favorably than other residents. They were also less likely to have reported using bus or other public transportation instead of driving.
- Compared to residents in other areas, residents living North or East of CAP Canal were more likely to have done several activities in Scottsdale McDowell Sonoran Preserve, including riding a mountain bike, rock climbing and riding a horse.

#### Table 1: Community Characteristics - General

	Region					
	South of Indian Bend Road	North of Indian Bend Road and South or West of CAP Canal	North or East of CAP Canal			
Percent rating positively (e.g., excellent/good)	(A)	(B)	(C)	(A)		
The overall quality of life in Scottsdale	92%	97%	99%	96%		
			Α			
Overall image or reputation of Scottsdale	88%	87%	95%	90%		
Scottsdale as a place to live	97%	98%	97%	97%		
Your neighborhood as a place to live	92%	98%	96%	95%		
		А				
Scottsdale as a place to raise children	90%	82%	86%	86%		
Scottsdale as a place to retire	87%	88%	97%	90%		
			Α			
Overall appearance of Scottsdale	84%	95%	94%	90%		
		А	Α			

#### Table 2: Community Characteristics - Safety

	Region				
	South of Indian Bend	North of Indian Bend Road and South or West of CAP	North or East of CAP		
Percent rating positively (e.g., excellent/good, very/somewhat	Road	Canal	Canal		
safe)	(A)	(B)	(C)	(A)	
Overall feeling of safety in Scottsdale	91%	96%	98%	95%	
			Α		
In your neighborhood during the day	96%	99%	99%	98%	
In Scottsdale's downtown/commercial area during the day	98%	98%	89%	95%	
	С	C			

#### Table 3: Community Characteristics - Mobility

		Region					
Percent rating positively (e.g., excellent/good, very/somewhat	South of Indian Bend Road	North of Indian Bend Road and South or West of CAP Canal	North or East of CAP Canal				
safe)	(A)	(B)	(C)	(A)			
Overall ease of getting to the places you usually have to visit	84%	79%	83%	82%			
Traffic flow on major streets	55%	50%	62%	56%			
Ease of public parking	67%	64%	67%	66%			
Ease of travel by car in Scottsdale	77% B	62%	72%	71%			
Ease of travel by public transportation in Scottsdale	55% B C	28%	32%	43%			
Ease of travel by bicycle in Scottsdale	71% C	67% C	44%	63%			

		Region					
	South of Indian Bend	North of Indian Bend Road and South or West of CAP	North or East of CAP				
Percent rating positively (e.g., excellent/good, very/somewhat	Road	Canal	Canal				
safe)	(A)	(B)	(C)	(A)			
Ease of walking in Scottsdale	82%	75%	64%	75%			
	C						
Availability of paths and walking trails	87%	82%	78%	83%			

#### Table 4: Community Characteristics - Natural Environment

	Region					
	South of Indian Bend	North of Indian Bend Road and South or West of CAP	North or East of CAP			
Percent rating positively (e.g., excellent/good, very/somewhat	Road	Canal	Canal			
safe)	(A)	(B)	(C)	(A)		
Quality of overall natural environment in Scottsdale	84%	95%	98%	91%		
		Α	A			
Air quality	62%	65%	64%	64%		
Cleanliness of Scottsdale	83%	91%	92%	88%		
			A			

#### Table 5: Community Characteristics - Built Environment

		Region		Overall
	South of Indian Bend Road	North of Indian Bend Road and South or West of CAP Canal	North or East of CAP Canal	
Percent rating positively (e.g., excellent/good, very/somewhat safe)	(A)	(B)	(C)	(A)
Overall "built environment" of Scottsdale (including overall design, buildings, parks and transportation systems)	73%	81%	85% A	79%
Public places where people want to spend time	86%	85%	85%	85%
Variety of housing options	59%	61%	75% A	64%
Availability of affordable quality housing	33%	33%	43%	36%
Overall quality of new development in Scottsdale	63%	70%	81% A	71%

#### Table 6: Community Characteristics - Economy

		Region				
	South of Indian Bend	North of Indian Bend Road and South or West of CAP	North or East of CAP			
Percent rating positively (e.g., excellent/good, very/somewhat	Road	Canal	Canal			
safe)	(A)	(B)	(C)	(A)		
Overall economic health of Scottsdale	85%	86%	94%	88%		
Scottsdale as a place to work	92%	88%	89%	90%		
Scottsdale as a place to visit	94%	96%	99%	96%		
			A			

		Region						
	South of Indian Bend	North of Indian Bend Road and South or West of CAP	North or East of CAP					
Percent rating positively (e.g., excellent/good, very/somewhat	Road	Canal	Canal					
safe)	(A)	(B)	(C)	(A)				
Employment opportunities	72%	76%	63%	71%				
Shopping opportunities	93%	96%	<b>99</b> %	96%				
			Α					
Cost of living in Scottsdale	41%	37%	51%	43%				
Overall quality of business and service establishments in	83%	87%	89%	86%				
Scottsdale								
Vibrant downtown/commercial area	80%	92%	82%	84%				
		Α						

#### Table 7: Community Characteristics - Recreation and Wellness

		Region		Overall
Percent rating positively (e.g., excellent/good, very/somewhat	South of Indian Bend Road	North of Indian Bend Road and South or West of CAP Canal	North or East of CAP Canal	
safe)	(A)	(B)	(C)	(A)
Health and wellness opportunities in Scottsdale	85%	90%	96% A	90%
Fitness opportunities (including exercise classes and paths or trails, etc.)	85%	88%	86%	86%
Recreational opportunities	83%	88%	81%	84%
Availability of affordable quality food	79%	80%	75%	78%
Availability of affordable quality health care	69%	76%	89% A	77%
Availability of preventive health services	72%	86% A	93% A	83%
Availability of affordable quality mental health care	63%	70%	61%	64%

#### Table 8: Community Characteristics - Education and Enrichment

	Region			Overall
	South of Indian Bend	North of Indian Bend Road and South or West of CAP	North or East of CAP	
Percent rating positively (e.g., excellent/good, very/somewhat	Road	Canal	Canal	
safe)	(A)	(B)	(C)	(A)
Overall opportunities for education and enrichment	84%	82%	83%	83%
Availability of affordable quality child care/preschool	49%	45%	64%	52%
K-12 education	63%	68%	68%	66%
Adult educational opportunities	67%	70%	60%	66%
Opportunities to attend cultural/arts/music activities	83%	83%	83%	83%
Opportunities to participate in religious or spiritual events and	79%	93%	83%	84%
activities		A		

#### Table 9: Community Characteristics - Community Engagement

	Region			Overall
	South of Indian Bend Road	North of Indian Bend Road and South or West of CAP Canal	North or East of CAP Canal	
Percent rating positively (e.g., excellent/good, very/somewhat safe)	(A)	(B)	(C)	(A)
Opportunities to participate in social events and activities	81%	76%	87%	81%
Opportunities to volunteer	82%	78%	90%	83%
Opportunities to participate in community matters	70%	72%	62%	68%
Openness and acceptance of the community toward people of diverse backgrounds	58%	57%	51%	56%
Neighborliness of residents in Scottsdale	61%	53%	55%	57%
Helping new residents feel connected and integrated	46%	35%	47%	43%
Demonstrating respect for residents of different cultures and belief systems	47%	57%	58%	54%

#### Table 10: Governance - General

		Region		Overall
	South of Indian Bend Road	North of Indian Bend Road and South or West of CAP Canal	North or East of CAP Canal	
Percent rating positively (e.g., excellent/good)	(A)	(B)	(C)	(A)
The City of Scottsdale	84%	85%	88%	86%
The value of services for the taxes paid to Scottsdale	63%	63%	66%	64%
The overall direction that Scottsdale is taking	63%	62%	70%	65%
The job Scottsdale government does at welcoming citizen involvement	55%	62%	52%	56%
Overall confidence in Scottsdale government	57%	56%	62%	58%
Generally acting in the best interest of the community	51%	66% A	60%	58%
Being honest	49%	51%	57%	52%
Treating all residents fairly	51%	55%	65%	56%
Overall customer service by Scottsdale employees (police, receptionists, planners, etc.)	76%	81%	81%	79%
The Federal Government	33%	40%	42%	38%
The State Government	47%	47%	48%	48%
Maricopa County Government	48%	48%	55%	50%
Law enforcement treating all residents fairly	61%	69%	77% A	68%

#### Table 11: Governance - Safety

		Region		Overall
	South of Indian Bend Road	North of Indian Bend Road and South or West of CAP Canal	North or East of CAP Canal	
Percent rating positively (e.g., excellent/good)	(A)	(B)	(C)	(A)
Police services	83%	97% A	94% A	90%
Fire services	91%	96%	100% A	95%
Ambulance or emergency medical services	96%	90%	97%	95%
Crime prevention	81%	82%	90%	84%
Fire prevention and education	85%	92%	89%	88%
Animal control	64%	82% A	82% A	75%
Emergency preparedness (services that prepare the community for natural disasters or other emergency situations)	62% B	38%	82% A B	61%

#### Table 12: Governance - Mobility

		Region		Overall
	South of Indian Bend Road	North of Indian Bend Road and South or West of CAP Canal	North or East of CAP Canal	
Percent rating positively (e.g., excellent/good)	(A)	(B)	(C)	(A)
Traffic enforcement	71%	66%	70%	69%
Street repair	67%	72%	62%	67%
Street cleaning	75%	77%	79%	77%
Street lighting	68%	70%	79%	72%
Sidewalk maintenance	70%	83%	79%	76%
		Α		
Traffic signal timing	55%	61%	60%	58%
Bus or transit services	78%	61%	40%	66%
	C			

#### Table 13: Governance - Natural Environment

		Region		Overall
	South of Indian Bend Road	North of Indian Bend Road and South or West of CAP Canal	North or East of CAP Canal	
Percent rating positively (e.g., excellent/good)	(A)	(B)	(C)	(A)
Garbage collection	90%	90%	92%	91%
Recycling	77%	83%	87%	82%
Yard waste pick-up	80%	89%	84%	84%
Drinking water	46%	73%	70%	61%
		A	A	

		Region		Overall
	South of Indian Bend Road	North of Indian Bend Road and South or West of CAP Canal	North or East of CAP Canal	
Percent rating positively (e.g., excellent/good)	(A)	(B)	(C)	(A)
Preservation of natural areas such as open space, farmlands and greenbelts	72%	68%	85% B	74%
Scottsdale McDowell Sonoran Preserve	87%	88%	90%	88%

#### Table 14: Governance - Built Environment

		Region		
	South of Indian Bend Road	North of Indian Bend Road and South or West of CAP Canal	North or East of CAP Canal	
Percent rating positively (e.g., excellent/good)	(A)	(B)	(C)	(A)
Storm drainage	69%	85%	63%	72%
		A C		
Sewer services	71%	84%	87%	80%
		A	Α	
Utility billing	74%	70%	76%	73%
Land use, planning and zoning	44%	57%	52%	51%
Code enforcement (weeds, abandoned buildings, etc.)	50%	64%	71%	61%
			A	
Cable television	57%	61%	54%	57%

#### Table 15: Governance - Economy

		Region		
	South of Indian Bend Road	North of Indian Bend Road and South or West of CAP Canal	North or East of CAP Canal	
Percent rating positively (e.g., excellent/good)	(A)	(B)	(C)	(A)
Economic development	80%	72%	78%	77%

#### Table 16: Governance - Recreation and Wellness

		Region		
	South of Indian Bend Road	North of Indian Bend Road and South or West of CAP Canal	North or East of CAP Canal	
Percent rating positively (e.g., excellent/good)	(A)	(B)	(C)	(A)
City parks	88%	95%	87%	90%
Recreation programs or classes	84%	91%	82%	86%
Recreation centers or facilities	84%	90%	90%	87%

#### Table 17: Governance - Education and Enrichment

		Region		
	South of Indian Bend Road	North of Indian Bend Road and South or West of CAP Canal	North or East of CAP Canal	
Percent rating positively (e.g., excellent/good)	(A)	(B)	(C)	(A)
Public library services	88%	96%	95%	93%
City-sponsored special events	82%	81%	82%	82%
Senior services	77%	76%	80%	78%

#### Table 18: Governance - Community Engagement

		Region		
	South of Indian Bend Road	North of Indian Bend Road and South or West of CAP Canal	North or East of CAP Canal	
Percent rating positively (e.g., excellent/good)	(A)	(B)	(C)	(A)
Public information services	70%	79%	85%	78%
Scottsdale website (www.ScottsdaleAZ.gov)	74%	76%	78%	76%
City Cable Channel 11 or Scottsdale Video Network	68%	74%	67%	69%

#### Table 19: Participation General

Table 19: Participation General				
		Region		Overall
	South of Indian Bend	North of Indian Bend Road and South or West of	North or East of CAP	
Percent rating positively (e.g., always/sometimes, more than once a	Road	CAP Canal	Canal	
month, yes)	(A)	(B)	(C)	(A)
Sense of community	52%	57%	52%	53%
Recommend living in Scottsdale to someone who asks	97%	92%	<b>99</b> %	96%
			В	
Remain in Scottsdale for the next five years	90%	89%	91%	90%
Contacted the City of Scottsdale (in-person, phone, email or web) for help or information	33%	30%	40%	34%

#### Table 20: Participation - Safety

		Region		
	South of Indian Bend	North of Indian Bend Road and South or West of	North or East of CAP	
Percent rating positively (e.g., always/sometimes, more than once a	Road	CAP Canal	Canal	
month, yes)	(A)	(B)	(C)	(A)
Was NOT the victim of a crime	90%	91%	95%	92%
Did NOT report a crime	77%	83%	91%	83%
			A	
Stocked supplies in preparation for an emergency	25%	19%	17%	21%

#### Table 21: Participation - Mobility

		Region		Overall
	South of Indian Bend	North of Indian Bend Road and South or West of	North or East of CAP	
Percent rating positively (e.g., always/sometimes, more than once a	Road	CAP Canal	Canal	
month, yes)	(A)	(B)	(C)	(A)
Walked or biked instead of driving	68%	55%	59%	61%
Carpooled with other adults or children instead of driving alone	34%	43%	51%	42%
			А	
Used bus or other public transportation instead of driving	29%	19%	6%	19%
	C	С		

#### Table 22: Participation - Natural Environment

		Region		Overall
Percent rating positively (e.g., always (compatings, more than ance a	South of Indian Bend Road	North of Indian Bend Road and South or West of CAP Canal	North or East of CAP Canal	
Percent rating positively (e.g., always/sometimes, more than once a month, yes)	(A)	(B)	(C)	(A)
Recycle at home	87%	92%	94%	90%
Made efforts to make your home more energy efficient	70%	78%	73%	74%
Made efforts to conserve water	72%	91%	94%	84%
		Α	A	
Water services provided by Scottsdale Water Department	74%	77%	81%	77%
Drinking water taste	34%	53%	60%	47%
		A	A	

### Table 23: Participation - Built Environment

	Region			Overall
	South of Indian Bend	North of Indian Bend Road and South or West of	North or East of CAP	
Percent rating positively (e.g., always/sometimes, more than once a	Road	CAP Canal	Canal	
month, yes)	(A)	(B)	(C)	(A)
NOT under housing cost stress	63%	68%	78%	69%
			A	
Did NOT observe a code violation	49%	69%	64%	60%
		А	A	

#### Table 24: Participation - Economy

		Region		
	South of Indian Bend	North of Indian Bend Road and South or West of	North or East of CAP	
Percent rating positively (e.g., always/sometimes, more than once a	Road	CAP Canal	Canal	
month, yes)	(A)	(B)	(C)	(A)
Purchase goods or services from a business located in Scottsdale	<b>99</b> %	99%	96%	98%
Economy will have positive impact on income	40%	33%	39%	38%
Work in Scottsdale	38%	49%	45%	44%
Visited downtown for shopping, dining or to attend an event	95%	88%	91%	92%

#### Table 25: Participation - Recreation and Wellness

		Region		Overall
Percent rating positively (e.g., always/sometimes, more than once a	South of Indian Bend Road	North of Indian Bend Road and South or West of CAP Canal	North or East of CAP Canal	
month, yes)	(A)	(B)	(C)	(A)
Used Scottsdale recreation centers or their services	51%	66%	71%	61%
		A	A	
Visited a neighborhood park or City park	89% C	81%	77%	83%
Eat at least 5 portions of fruits and vegetables a day	79%	86%	92% A	85%
Participate in moderate or vigorous physical activity	85%	90%	95% A	89%
Reported being in "very good" or "excellent" health	59%	75% A	81% A	71%
Visited or used a City pool	14%	16%	14%	14%
Used a City fitness facility	23%	21%	15%	20%

#### Table 26: Participation - Education and Enrichment

	Region			Overall
	South of Indian Bend	North of Indian Bend Road and South or West of	North or East of CAP	
Percent rating positively (e.g., always/sometimes, more than once a	Road	CAP Canal	Canal	
month, yes)	(A)	(B)	(C)	(A)
Used Scottsdale public libraries or their services	48%	69%	65%	59%
		A	A	
Participated in religious or spiritual activities in Scottsdale	39%	46%	61%	47%
			A B	
Attended a City-sponsored event	57%	40%	52%	51%
	В			

#### Table 27: Participation - Community Engagement

	Region			
Percent rating positively (e.g., always/sometimes, more than once a month,	South of Indian Bend Road	North of Indian Bend Road and South or West of CAP Canal	North or East of CAP Canal	
yes)	(A)	(B)	(C)	(A)
Campaigned or advocated for an issue, cause or candidate	24%	35%	46% A	34%
Contacted Scottsdale elected officials (in-person, phone, email or web) to express your opinion	14%	17%	21%	17%
Volunteered your time to some group/activity in Scottsdale	29%	35%	43% A	35%
Participated in a club	24%	35%	40% A	32%
Talked to or visited with your immediate neighbors	85%	88%	99% A B	90%
Done a favor for a neighbor	77%	75%	84%	78%
Attended a local public meeting	13%	19%	26% A	18%
Watched (online or on television) a local public meeting	21%	25%	19%	22%
Read or watch local news (via television, paper, computer, etc.)	82%	94% A	95% A	89%
Vote in local elections	90%	95%	92%	92%

### Table 28: Community Focus Areas

	Region			Overall
	South of Indian Bend Road	North of Indian Bend Road and South or West of CAP Canal	North or East of CAP Canal	
Percent rating positively (e.g., essential/very important)	(A)	(B)	(C)	(A)
Overall feeling of safety in Scottsdale	96%	94%	94%	95%
Overall ease of getting to the places you usually have to visit	88%	97% A	90%	91%
Quality of overall natural environment in Scottsdale	88%	85%	93%	89%
Overall "built environment" of Scottsdale (including overall design, buildings, parks and transportation systems)	83%	87%	89%	86%
Health and wellness opportunities in Scottsdale	83%	82%	78%	81%
Overall opportunities for education and enrichment	79%	92% A	83%	84%
Overall economic health of Scottsdale	87%	97% A	94%	92%
Sense of community	85%	87%	84%	85%

#### Table 29: Importance of City Investments

		Region		
	South of Indian Bend Road	North of Indian Bend Road and South or West of CAP Canal	North or East of CAP Canal	
Percent rating positively (e.g., essential/very important)	(A)	(B)	(C)	(A)
Repair or replace aging parks, libraries and arts facilities	71%	66%	68%	69%
Repave roads and improve roadside appearance	77%	84%	82%	81%
Build new public parking garages and improve event spaces downtown	48%	51%	28%	43%
	С	С		
Upgrade outdated City technology such as the 911 dispatch and building permit systems	76%	81%	72%	76%
Build structures to better manage storm-related flooding and run-off	70%	68%	72%	70%
Remodel fire and police stations and training facilities to meet current safety and operational standards	71%	69%	61%	68%

#### Table 30: Use of Scottsdale McDowell Sonoran Preserve

		Region		Overall
Percent rating positively (e.g., 2 times a week or more/2-4 times a	South of Indian Bend Road	North of Indian Bend Road and South or West of CAP Canal	North or East of CAP Canal	
month/once a month or less).	(A)	(B)	(C)	(A)
Walked or hiked in the Preserve	42%	68%	74%	59%
		A	A	
Rode a mountain bike in the Preserve	12%	7%	30%	16%
			A B	
Rock climbed in the Preserve	6%	3%	14%	7%
			A B	
Ridden a horse in the Preserve	5%	1%	16%	7%
			A B	
Visited a Preserve trailhead	32%	60%	73%	53%
		A	A	
Taken out of town visitors on any of these activities in the Preserve	33%	53%	58%	46%
		A	A	

#### Table 31: Water Conservation

		Region		Overall
	South of Indian Bend	North of Indian Bend Road and South or West of	North or East of CAP	
	Road	CAP Canal	Canal	
Percent rating positively (e.g., strongly agree/somewhat agree)	(A)	(B)	(C)	(A)
The City of Scottsdale should do more to promote water conservation among residents	77%	86%	82%	81%
My household could do more to conserve water	55%	69%	72%	64%
		A	A	
My neighbors could do more to conserve water	58%	76%	71%	67%
		A		

#### Table 32: Sources of City Information

		Region		Overall
	South of Indian Bend Road	North of Indian Bend Road and South or West of CAP Canal	North or East of CAP Canal	
Percent rating positively (e.g., major source/minor source)	(A)	(B)	(C)	(A)
Scottsdale Update utility bill newsletter	49%	55%	59%	54%
Scottsdale Life (Parks, Recreation, Library and Human Services newsletter)	54%	49%	52%	52%
City website (ScottsdaleAZ.gov)	62%	55%	74% B	63%
Scottsdale Update weekly email newsletter (or other City email newsletters)	39%	40%	42%	40%
City Cable Channel 11 or Scottsdale Video Network	33%	25%	32%	30%
Local newspapers, television or radio news	69%	88% A	77%	77%
Facebook	47% C	35%	29%	38%
Twitter	27%	17%	17%	21%
Next Door	36%	51% A C	28%	38%
YouTube	23%	15%	13%	18%
Word of mouth	77%	88% A	79%	81%



# Scottsdale, AZ

Supplemental Online Survey Results

2018



2955 Valmont Road Suite 300 Boulder, Colorado 80301 n-r-c.com • 303-444-7863



777 North Capitol Street NE Suite 500 Washington, DC 20002 icma.org • 800-745-8780

# Contents

About this Report	. 1
Complete Survey Responses	2



The National Citizen Survey™ © 2001-2018 National Research Center, Inc.

The NCS<sup>™</sup> is presented by NRC in collaboration with ICMA.

NRC is a charter member of the AAPOR Transparency Initiative, providing clear disclosure of our sound and ethical survey research practices.

# **About this Report**

As part of its participation in The National Citizen Survey<sup>™</sup>, the City of Scottsdale conducted a mailed survey of 1,600 residents. Surveys were mailed to randomly selected households in mid-November 2018 and data were collected through mid-January 2019. After the official data collection period was underway (see the report, *The National Citizen Survey: Community Livability Report, Scottsdale, AZ, 2018*), the City made available a web-based survey to its residents through a link on the City's website. Visitors to the site were able to complete the survey from late December 2018 to early February 2019 and 104 surveys were received.

This report contains the results of this administration of the web-based survey that have not been weighted to current population estimates of Scottsdale.

# **Complete Survey Responses**

The following pages contain a complete set of responses to each question on the survey, excluding the "don't know" responses. The percent of respondents giving a particular response is shown followed by the number of respondents (denoted with "N=").

#### Responses excluding "don't know"

#### Table 1: Question 1

Please rate each of the following aspects of quality of life in Scottsdale:	Exc	ellent	G	boc	F	air	P	oor	Т	otal
Scottsdale as a place to live	56%	N=58	35%	N=36	10%	N=10	0%	N=0	100%	N=104
Your neighborhood as a place to live	57%	N=59	33%	N=34	8%	N=8	2%	N=2	100%	N=103
Scottsdale as a place to raise children	43%	N=33	40%	N=31	13%	N=10	4%	N=3	100%	N=77
Scottsdale as a place to work	43%	N=34	43%	N=34	13%	N=10	3%	N=2	100%	N=80
Scottsdale as a place to visit	64%	N=65	32%	N=33	2%	N=2	2%	N=2	100%	N=102
Scottsdale as a place to retire	48%	N=48	31%	N=31	15%	N=15	5%	N=5	100%	N=99
The overall quality of life in Scottsdale	49%	N=51	41%	N=43	8%	N=8	2%	N=2	100%	N=104

#### Table 2: Question 2

Please rate each of the following characteristics as they relate to Scottsdale as a whole:	Exc	ellent	G	boc	F	air	Po	oor	То	otal
Overall feeling of safety in Scottsdale	48%	N=49	41%	N=42	7%	N=7	4%	N=4	100%	N=102
Overall ease of getting to the places you usually have to visit	17%	N=17	52%	N=53	23%	N=23	9%	N=9	100%	N=102
Quality of overall natural environment in Scottsdale	44%	N=45	43%	N=44	9%	N=9	4%	N=4	100%	N=102
Overall "built environment" of Scottsdale (including overall design, buildings, parks and transportation systems)	20%	N=20	39%	N=39	31%	N=31	11%	N=11	100%	N=101
Health and wellness opportunities in Scottsdale	44%	N=43	40%	N=39	12%	N=12	4%	N=4	100%	N=98
Overall opportunities for education and enrichment	33%	N=33	48%	N=48	11%	N=11	7%	N=7	100%	N=99
Overall economic health of Scottsdale	24%	N=24	54%	N=53	16%	N=16	6%	N=6	100%	N=99
Sense of community	12%	N=12	41%	N=41	33%	N=33	14%	N=14	100%	N=100
Overall image or reputation of Scottsdale	43%	N=44	40%	N=41	15%	N=15	2%	N=2	100%	N=102

#### Table 3: Question 3

Please indicate how likely or unlikely you are to do each of the following:	Very	likely	Somew	hat likely	Somewh	at unlikely	Very ι	unlikely	То	tal
Recommend living in Scottsdale to someone who asks	62%	N=60	24%	N=23	<b>9</b> %	N=9	5%	N=5	100%	N=97
Remain in Scottsdale for the next five years	64%	N=63	21%	N=21	8%	N=8	6%	N=6	100%	N=98

#### Table 4: Question 4

Please rate how safe or unsafe you feel:	Very	v safe		ewhat afe		safe nor Isafe		ewhat safe		ery safe	То	otal
In your neighborhood during the day	77%	N=75	17%	N=17	3%	N=3	3%	N=3	0%	N=0	100%	N=98
In Scottsdale's downtown/commercial area during the												
day	59%	N=55	33%	N=31	5%	N=5	0%	N=0	2%	N=2	100%	N=93

#### Table 5: Question 5

Please rate each of the following characteristics as they relate to Scottsdale as a whole:	Exc	ellent	Go	bod	F	air	P	oor	То	tal
Traffic flow on major streets	4%	N=4	45%	N=43	25%	N=24	25%	N=24	100%	N=95
Ease of public parking	4%	N=4	48%	N=46	33%	N=31	15%	N=14	100%	N=95
Ease of travel by car in Scottsdale	7%	N=7	51%	N=48	26%	N=25	16%	N=15	100%	N=95
Ease of travel by public transportation in Scottsdale	6%	N=3	13%	N=7	25%	N=13	56%	N=29	100%	N=52
Ease of travel by bicycle in Scottsdale	12%	N=7	58%	N=33	25%	N=14	5%	N=3	100%	N=57
Ease of walking in Scottsdale	25%	N=23	51%	N=46	15%	N=14	9%	N=8	100%	N=91
Availability of paths and walking trails	44%	N=41	41%	N=38	12%	N=11	3%	N=3	100%	N=93
Air quality	9%	N=9	53%	N=50	25%	N=24	13%	N=12	100%	N=95
Cleanliness of Scottsdale	39%	N=37	48%	N=46	9%	N=9	3%	N=3	100%	N=95
Overall appearance of Scottsdale	34%	N=32	54%	N=51	10%	N=9	2%	N=2	100%	N=94
Public places where people want to spend time	38%	N=36	48%	N=45	11%	N=10	3%	N=3	100%	N=94
Variety of housing options	19%	N=16	40%	N=34	26%	N=22	14%	N=12	100%	N=84
Availability of affordable quality housing	9%	N=7	23%	N=18	36%	N=28	32%	N=25	100%	N=78
Fitness opportunities (including exercise classes and paths or trails, etc.)	46%	N=42	43%	N=40	9%	N=8	2%	N=2	100%	N=92
Recreational opportunities	48%	N=45	44%	N=41	6%	N=6	2%	N=2	100%	N=94
Availability of affordable quality food	34%	N=32	40%	N=38	24%	N=23	1%	N=1	100%	N=94
Availability of affordable quality health care	36%	N=33	41%	N=38	21%	N=19	2%	N=2	100%	N=92
Availability of preventive health services	32%	N=27	50%	N=42	14%	N=12	4%	N=3	100%	N=84
Availability of affordable quality mental health care	18%	N=6	38%	N=13	35%	N=12	9%	N=3	100%	N=34

#### Table 6: Question 6

Please rate each of the following characteristics as they relate to Scottsdale as a whole:	Exc	ellent	Go	bod	F	air	Po	oor	То	tal
Availability of affordable quality child care/preschool	11%	N=3	30%	N=8	37%	N=10	22%	N=6	100%	N=27
K-12 education	21%	N=11	43%	N=23	19%	N=10	17%	N=9	100%	N=53
Adult educational opportunities	21%	N=15	53%	N=38	14%	N=10	13%	N=9	100%	N=72
Opportunities to attend cultural/arts/music activities	40%	N=35	45%	N=40	14%	N=12	1%	N=1	100%	N=88

Please rate each of the following characteristics as they relate to Scottsdale as a whole:	Exc	ellent	Go	bod	F	air	Po	oor	То	tal
Opportunities to participate in religious or spiritual events and activities	45%	N=28	47%	N=29	6%	N=4	2%	N=1	100%	N=62
Employment opportunities	23%	N=14	52%	N=31	22%	N=13	3%	N=2	100%	N=60
Shopping opportunities	57%	N=53	33%	N=31	10%	N=9	0%	N=0	100%	N=93
Cost of living in Scottsdale	2%	N=2	42%	N=39	43%	N=40	12%	N=11	100%	N=92
Overall quality of business and service establishments in Scottsdale	35%	N=32	51%	N=46	13%	N=12	1%	N=1	100%	N=91
Vibrant downtown/commercial area	36%	N=31	41%	N=35	18%	N=15	5%	N=4	100%	N=85
Overall quality of new development in Scottsdale	11%	N=10	33%	N=29	22%	N=19	33%	N=29	100%	N=87
Opportunities to participate in social events and activities	40%	N=32	40%	N=32	16%	N=13	5%	N=4	100%	N=81
Opportunities to volunteer	49%	N=38	40%	N=31	8%	N=6	4%	N=3	100%	N=78
Opportunities to participate in community matters	30%	N=25	44%	N=36	20%	N=16	6%	N=5	100%	N=82
Openness and acceptance of the community toward people of diverse backgrounds	23%	N=16	39%	N=27	20%	N=14	19%	N=13	100%	N=70
Neighborliness of residents in Scottsdale	13%	N=12	36%	N=32	34%	N=31	17%	N=15	100%	N=90
Helping new residents feel connected and integrated	16%	N=12	30%	N=23	32%	N=24	22%	N=17	100%	N=76
Demonstrating respect for residents of different cultures and belief systems	21%	N=15	37%	N=26	27%	N=19	15%	N=11	100%	N=71

#### Table 7: Question 7

Please indicate whether or not you have done each of the following in the last 12 months.	1	No	Y	'es	То	tal
Made efforts to conserve water	4%	N=4	96%	N=89	100%	N=93
Made efforts to make your home more energy efficient	16%	N=15	84%	N=78	100%	N=93
Observed a code violation or other hazard in Scottsdale (weeds, abandoned buildings, etc.)	37%	N=34	63%	N=58	100%	N=92
Household member was a victim of a crime in Scottsdale	92%	N=85	8%	N=7	100%	N=92
Reported a crime to the police in Scottsdale	83%	N=76	17%	N=16	100%	N=92
Stocked supplies in preparation for an emergency	71%	N=66	29%	N=27	100%	N=93
Campaigned or advocated for an issue, cause or candidate	43%	N=40	57%	N=53	100%	N=93
Contacted the City of Scottsdale (in-person, phone, email or web) for help or information	28%	N=26	72%	N=67	100%	N=93
Contacted Scottsdale elected officials (in-person, phone, email or web) to express your opinion	61%	N=56	39%	N=36	100%	N=92

#### Table 8: Question 8

In the last 12 months, about how many times, if at all, have you or other household members done each of the following in Scottsdale?		a week or Iore		imes a onth		month or ess	Not	at all	То	tal
Used Scottsdale recreation centers or their services	8%	N=7	20%	N=18	31%	N=28	42%	N=38	100%	N=91
Visited a neighborhood park or City park	15%	N=14	37%	N=34	33%	N=30	14%	N=13	100%	N=91
Used Scottsdale public libraries or their services	17%	N=16	28%	N=26	32%	N=29	23%	N=21	100%	N=92
Participated in religious or spiritual activities in Scottsdale	9%	N=8	16%	N=15	18%	N=17	57%	N=52	100%	N=92
Attended a City-sponsored event	2%	N=2	13%	N=12	52%	N=47	32%	N=29	100%	N=90

In the last 12 months, about how many times, if at all, have you or other	2 times	a week or	2-4 t	imes a	Once a	month or				
household members done each of the following in Scottsdale?	m	ore	ma	onth	le	ess	Not	at all	То	tal
Used bus or other public transportation instead of driving	2%	N=2	2%	N=2	8%	N=7	88%	N=80	100%	N=91
Carpooled with other adults or children instead of driving alone	12%	N=11	19%	N=17	10%	N=9	59%	N=54	100%	N=91
Walked or biked instead of driving	16%	N=15	13%	N=12	26%	N=24	44%	N=40	100%	N=91
Volunteered your time to some group/activity in Scottsdale	15%	N=14	13%	N=12	25%	N=23	46%	N=42	100%	N=91
Participated in a club	3%	N=3	13%	N=12	20%	N=18	63%	N=57	100%	N=90
Talked to or visited with your immediate neighbors	45%	N=41	26%	N=24	23%	N=21	5%	N=5	100%	N=91
Done a favor for a neighbor	24%	N=22	23%	N=21	34%	N=31	19%	N=17	100%	N=91
Visited or used a City pool	3%	N=3	1%	N=1	10%	N=9	86%	N=78	100%	N=91
Used a City fitness facility	4%	N=4	6%	N=5	7%	N=6	83%	N=75	100%	N=90
Visited downtown for shopping, dining or to attend an event	18%	N=16	30%	N=27	46%	N=42	7%	N=6	100%	N=91

#### Table 9: Question 9

Thinking about local public meetings (of local elected officials like City Council or County Commissioners, advisory boards, town halls, HOA, neighborhood watch,										
etc.), in the last 12 months, about how many times, if at all, have you or other	2 tir	nes a	2-4 ti	imes a	Once a	a month				
household members attended or watched a local public meeting?	week o	or more	mo	onth	or	less	Not	at all	То	tal
Attended a local public meeting	2%	N=2	8%	N=7	30%	N=28	60%	N=55	100%	N=92
Watched (online or on television) a local public meeting	3%	N=3	8%	N=7	33%	N=30	57%	N=52	100%	N=92

#### Table 10: Question 10

Please rate the quality of each of the following services in Scottsdale:	Exce	ellent	Go	bod	F	air	Po	or	То	tal
Police services	57%	N=47	29%	N=24	10%	N=8	5%	N = 4	100%	N=83
Fire services	66%	N=49	28%	N=21	5%	N=4	0%	N=0	100%	N=74
Ambulance or emergency medical services	61%	N=36	34%	N=20	3%	N=2	2%	N=1	100%	N=59
Crime prevention	43%	N=30	41%	N=28	10%	N=7	6%	N = 4	100%	N=69
Fire prevention and education	46%	N=27	42%	N=25	10%	N=6	2%	N=1	100%	N=59
Traffic enforcement	20%	N=16	49%	N=39	19%	N=15	11%	N=9	100%	N=79
Street repair	12%	N=11	41%	N=37	31%	N=28	16%	N=14	100%	N=90
Street cleaning	33%	N=28	47%	N=40	17%	N=15	3%	N=3	100%	N=86
Street lighting	26%	N=23	49%	N=43	18%	N=16	7%	N=6	100%	N=88
Sidewalk maintenance	18%	N=14	57%	N=44	19%	N=15	5%	N = 4	100%	N=77
Traffic signal timing	6%	N=5	43%	N=39	24%	N=22	27%	N=24	100%	N=90
Bus or transit services	12%	N=4	21%	N=7	21%	N=7	45%	N=15	100%	N=33
Garbage collection	67%	N=58	25%	N=22	5%	N=4	3%	N=3	100%	N=87
Recycling	57%	N=49	28%	N=24	12%	N=10	3%	N=3	100%	N=86

Please rate the quality of each of the following services in Scottsdale:	Exc	ellent	Go	bod	F	air	Po	oor	То	tal
Yard waste pick-up	63%	N=45	31%	N=22	6%	N=4	1%	N=1	100%	N=72
Storm drainage	34%	N=24	39%	N=27	17%	N=12	10%	N=7	100%	N=70
Drinking water	33%	N=29	31%	N=27	22%	N=19	15%	N=13	100%	N=88
Sewer services	55%	N=39	42%	N=30	3%	N=2	0%	N=0	100%	N=71
Utility billing	36%	N=29	48%	N=39	10%	N=8	6%	N=5	100%	N=81
City parks	54%	N=44	38%	N=31	7%	N=6	1%	N=1	100%	N=82
Recreation programs or classes	36%	N=21	48%	N=28	14%	N=8	2%	N=1	100%	N=58
Recreation centers or facilities	46%	N=28	43%	N=26	8%	N=5	3%	N=2	100%	N=61
Land use, planning and zoning	5%	N=4	26%	N=19	32%	N=24	36%	N=27	100%	N=74
Code enforcement (weeds, abandoned buildings, etc.)	17%	N=12	38%	N=27	27%	N=19	18%	N=13	100%	N=71
Animal control	17%	N=8	57%	N=26	17%	N=8	9%	N=4	100%	N=46
Economic development	11%	N=7	52%	N=33	23%	N=15	14%	N=9	100%	N=64
Public library services	67%	N=49	30%	N=22	3%	N=2	0%	N=0	100%	N=73
Public information services	21%	N=16	60%	N=46	14%	N=11	5%	N=4	100%	N=77
Cable television	16%	N=9	37%	N=21	26%	N=15	21%	N=12	100%	N=57
Emergency preparedness (services that prepare the community for natural disasters or other emergency situations)	18%	N=7	45%	N=17	24%	N=9	13%	N=5	100%	N=38
Preservation of natural areas such as open space, farmlands and greenbelts	36%	N=31	40%	N=34	14%	N=12	9%	N=8	100%	N=85
Scottsdale McDowell Sonoran Preserve	66%	N=52	20%	N=16	10%	N=8	4%	N=3	100%	N=79
City-sponsored special events	38%	N=24	50%	N=32	9%	N=6	3%	N=2	100%	N=64
Overall customer service by Scottsdale employees (police, receptionists, planners, etc.)	44%	N=37	41%	N=35	9%	N=8	6%	N=5	100%	N=85
Scottsdale website (www.ScottsdaleAZ.gov)	28%	N=24	53%	N=46	17%	N=15	2%	N=2	100%	N=87
City Cable Channel 11 or Scottsdale Video Network	29%	N=10	49%	N=17	17%	N=6	6%	N=2	100%	N=35
Water services provided by Scottsdale Water Department	40%	N=31	48%	N=37	10%	N=8	1%	N=1	100%	N=77
Drinking water taste	18%	N=16	33%	N=29	27%	N=24	22%	N=19	100%	N=88
Senior services	25%	N=11	57%	N=25	11%	N=5	7%	N=3	100%	N=44

#### Table 11: Question 11

Overall, how would you rate the quality of the services provided by each of the following?	Exc	ellent	Go	bod	F	air	P	oor	То	otal
The City of Scottsdale	36%	N=31	41%	N=35	19%	N=16	5%	N=4	100%	N=86
The Federal Government	5%	N=4	35%	N=29	43%	N=35	17%	N=14	100%	N=82
The State Government	6%	N=5	30%	N=24	49%	N=39	14%	N=11	100%	N=79
Maricopa County Government	7%	N=5	43%	N=31	43%	N=31	7%	N=5	100%	N=72

#### Table 12: Question 12

Please rate the following categories of Scottsdale government performance:	Exce	ellent	G	ood	F	air	P	oor	То	tal
The value of services for the taxes paid to Scottsdale	25%	N=21	38%	N=32	24%	N=20	13%	N=11	100%	N=84
The overall direction that Scottsdale is taking	7%	N=6	30%	N=26	30%	N=26	33%	N=29	100%	N=87
The job Scottsdale government does at welcoming citizen involvement	12%	N=9	29%	N=22	32%	N=24	28%	N=21	100%	N=76
Overall confidence in Scottsdale government	4%	N=3	29%	N=24	40%	N=33	28%	N=23	100%	N=83
Generally acting in the best interest of the community	5%	N=4	30%	N=25	33%	N=27	33%	N=27	100%	N=83
Being honest	4%	N=3	31%	N=24	35%	N=27	30%	N=23	100%	N=77
Treating all residents fairly	8%	N=6	30%	N=21	31%	N=22	31%	N=22	100%	N=71
Law enforcement treating all residents fairly	45%	N=30	36%	N=24	12%	N=8	7%	N=5	100%	N=67

#### Table 13: Question 13

Please rate how important, if at all, you think it is for the Scottsdale community	_			ery		ewhat		at all	_	
to focus on each of the following in the coming two years:	Esse	ential	impo	ortant	impo	ortant	impo	ortant	То	tal
Overall feeling of safety in Scottsdale	69%	N=59	23%	N=20	8%	N=7	0%	N=0	100%	N=86
Overall ease of getting to the places you usually have to visit	41%	N=35	47%	N=40	13%	N=11	0%	N=0	100%	N=86
Quality of overall natural environment in Scottsdale	67%	N=57	26%	N=22	7%	N=6	0%	N=0	100%	N=85
Overall "built environment" of Scottsdale (including overall design, buildings,										
parks and transportation systems)	56%	N=48	35%	N=30	9%	N=8	0%	N=0	100%	N=86
Health and wellness opportunities in Scottsdale	29%	N=25	45%	N=39	20%	N=17	6%	N=5	100%	N=86
Overall opportunities for education and enrichment	30%	N=26	38%	N=33	27%	N=23	5%	N=4	100%	N=86
Overall economic health of Scottsdale	52%	N=45	41%	N=35	6%	N=5	1%	N=1	100%	N=86
Sense of community	34%	N=29	44%	N=38	16%	N=14	6%	N=5	100%	N=86

#### Table 14: Question 14

Please rate how important, if at all, you think it is for the City of Scottsdale to			V	ery	Som	ewhat	Not	at all		
invest in each of the following over the next 10 years:	Esse	ential	impo	ortant	impo	ortant	impo	ortant	То	tal
Repair or replace aging parks, libraries and arts facilities	42%	N=36	32%	N=27	25%	N=21	1%	N=1	100%	N=85
Repave roads and improve roadside appearance	49%	N=42	40%	N=34	11%	N=9	0%	N=0	100%	N=85
Build new public parking garages and improve event spaces downtown	16%	N=14	22%	N=19	39%	N=33	22%	N=19	100%	N=85
Upgrade outdated City technology such as the 911 dispatch and building										
permit systems	37%	N=30	30%	N=24	26%	N=21	7%	N=6	100%	N=81
Build structures to better manage storm-related flooding and run-off	34%	N=28	34%	N=28	25%	N=21	7%	N=6	100%	N=83
Remodel fire and police stations and training facilities to meet current safety										
and operational standards	27%	N=23	35%	N=30	31%	N=26	7%	N=6	100%	N=85

#### Table 15: Question 15

Please indicate how often, if at all, you have done each of the following in the last 12 months in the Scottsdale McDowell Sonoran Preserve.		week or		mes a		month or	Not	at all	Та	tal
the last 12 months in the scottsdale McDowell Sonorall Preserve.	m	ore	me	DHIT	IE	ess	ΝΟΙ	atali	То	lai
Walked or hiked in the Preserve	7%	N=6	27%	N=23	37%	N=32	29%	N=25	100%	N=86
Rode a mountain bike in the Preserve	3%	N=3	2%	N=2	8%	N=7	86%	N=74	100%	N=86
Rock climbed in the Preserve	0%	N=0	1%	N=1	8%	N=7	91%	N=78	100%	N=86
Ridden a horse in the Preserve	0%	N=0	0%	N=0	4%	N=3	96%	N=82	100%	N=85
Visited a Preserve trailhead	10%	N=8	23%	N=19	40%	N=33	28%	N=23	100%	N=83
Taken out of town visitors on any of these activities in the Preserve	2%	N=2	2%	N=2	59%	N=51	36%	N=31	100%	N=86

#### Table 16: Question 16

To what extent do you agree or disagree with each of the following statements?		ongly ree		ewhat ree		agree or agree		ewhat Igree	Stro disa	0,1	То	tal
The City of Scottsdale should do more to promote water conservation among residents	51%	N=44	26%	N=22	17%	N=15	2%	N=2	3%	N=3	100%	N=86
My household could do more to conserve water	12%	N=10	37%	N=32	26%	N=22	15%	N=13	10%	N=9	100%	N=86
My neighbors could do more to conserve water	28%	N=20	39%	N=28	21%	N=15	8%	N=6	4%	N=3	100%	N=72

#### Table 17: Question 17

Please indicate if each of the following is a major source, minor source or not a source of					Not a	at all a		
information for you about the City of Scottsdale and its activities:	Major	source	Minor	source	SO	urce	То	tal
Scottsdale Update utility bill newsletter	28%	N=24	35%	N=30	37%	N=32	100%	N=86
Scottsdale Life (Parks, Recreation, Library and Human Services newsletter)	26%	N=22	45%	N=39	29%	N=25	100%	N=86
City website (ScottsdaleAZ.gov)	43%	N=37	49%	N=42	8%	N=7	100%	N=86
Scottsdale Update weekly email newsletter (or other City email newsletters)	62%	N=53	29%	N=25	9%	N=8	100%	N=86
City Cable Channel 11 or Scottsdale Video Network	2%	N=2	27%	N=23	71%	N=61	100%	N=86
Local newspapers, television or radio news	40%	N=34	40%	N=34	21%	N=18	100%	N=86
Facebook	7%	N=6	21%	N=18	72%	N=61	100%	N=85
Twitter	4%	N=3	7%	N=6	89%	N=75	100%	N=84
Next Door	25%	N=21	33%	N=28	42%	N=36	100%	N=85
YouTube	4%	N=3	8%	N=7	88%	N=74	100%	N=84
Word of mouth	24%	N=21	57%	N=49	19%	N=16	100%	N=86

#### Table 18: Question D1

How often, if at all, do you do each of the following, considering all of the times you could?	Ne	ever	Ra	rely	Some	etimes	ปรเ	ually	Alw	/ays	То	tal
Recycle at home	2%	N=2	2%	N=2	2%	N=2	9%	N=8	84%	N=71	100%	N=85
Purchase goods or services from a business located in Scottsdale	0%	N=0	1%	N=1	31%	N=27	56%	N=48	12%	N=10	100%	N=86
Eat at least 5 portions of fruits and vegetables a day	2%	N=2	13%	N=11	24%	N=21	45%	N=39	15%	N=13	100%	N=86
Participate in moderate or vigorous physical activity	1%	N=1	8%	N=7	24%	N=21	33%	N=28	34%	N=29	100%	N=86
Read or watch local news (via television, paper, computer, etc.)	3%	N=3	14%	N=12	14%	N=12	19%	N=16	50%	N=43	100%	N=86
Vote in local elections	1%	N=1	0%	N=0	1%	N=1	6%	N=5	92%	N=79	100%	N=86

#### Table 19: Question D2

Would you say that in general your health is:	Percent	Number
Excellent	37%	N=32
Very good	44%	N=38
Very good Good	16%	N=14
Fair	1%	N=1
Poor Total	1%	N=1
Total	100%	N=86

#### Table 20: Question D3

What impact, if any, do you think the economy will have on your family income in the next 6 months? Do you think the impact will be:	Percent	Number
Very positive	8%	N=7
Somewhat positive	23%	N=20
Neutral	37%	N=32
Somewhat negative	29%	N=25
Very negative	2%	N=2
Total	100%	N=86

#### Table 21: Question D4

What is your employment status?	Percent	Number
Working full time for pay	43%	N=37
Working part time for pay	7%	N=6
Unemployed, looking for paid work	2%	N=2
Unemployed, not looking for paid work	5%	N=4
Fully retired	43%	N=37
Total	100%	N=86
## Table 22: Question D5

Do you work inside the boundaries of Scottsdale?	Percent	Number
Yes, outside the home	24%	N=20
Yes, from home	14%	N=12
No	61%	N=51
Total	100%	N=83

## Table 23: Question D6

How many years have you lived in Scottsdale?	Percent	Number
Less than 2 years	6%	N=5
2 to 5 years	16%	N=14
6 to 10 years	19%	N=16
11 to 20 years	20%	N=17
More than 20 years	40%	N=34
Total	100%	N=86

## Table 24: Question D7

Which best describes the building you live in?	Percent	Number
One family house detached from any other houses	73%	N=60
Building with two or more homes (duplex, townhome, apartment or condominium)	27%	N=22
Mobile home	0%	N=0
Other	0%	N=0
Total	100%	N=82

## Table 25: Question D8

Is this house, apartment or mobile home	Percent	Number
Rented	9%	N=7
Owned	91%	N=72
Total	100%	N=79

## Table 26: Question D9

About how much is your monthly housing cost for the place you live (including rent, mortgage payment, property tax, property insurance and		
homeowners' association (HOA) fees)?	Percent	Number
Less than \$300 per month	7%	N=6
\$300 to \$599 per month	12%	N=10
\$600 to \$999 per month	14%	N=12
\$1,000 to \$1,499 per month	15%	N=13
\$1,500 to \$2,499 per month	33%	N=28
\$2,500 or more per month	18%	N=15
Total	100%	N=84

#### Table 27: Question D10

Do any children 17 or under live in your household?	Percent	Number
No	91%	N=77
Yes	9%	N=8
Total	100%	N=85

## Table 28: Question D11

Are you or any other members of your household aged 65 or older?	Percent	Number
No	42%	N=35
Yes	58%	N=48
Total	100%	N=83

## Table 29: Question D12

How much do you anticipate your household's total income before taxes will be for the current year? (Please include in your total income money		
from all sources for all persons living in your household.)	Percent	Number
Less than \$25,000	4%	N=3
\$25,000 to \$49,999	10%	N=8
\$50,000 to \$99,999	36%	N=28
\$100,000 to \$149,999	21%	N=16
\$150,000 or more	29%	N=23
Total	100%	N=78

## The National Citizen Survey™

#### Table 30: Question D13

Are you Spanish, Hispanic or Latino?	Percent	Number
No, not Spanish, Hispanic or Latino	96%	N=80
Yes, I consider myself to be Spanish, Hispanic or Latino	4%	N=3
Total	100%	N=83

## Table 31: Question D14

What is your race? (Mark one or more races to indicate what race(s) you consider yourself to be.)	Percent	Number
American Indian or Alaskan Native	1%	N=1
Asian, Asian Indian or Pacific Islander	0%	N=0
Black or African American	1%	N=1
White	93%	N=77
Other	6%	N=5

Total may exceed 100% as respondents could select more than one option.

### Table 32: Question D15

In which category is your age?	Percent	Number
18 to 24 years	1%	N=1
25 to 34 years	5%	N=4
35 to 44 years	8%	N=7
45 to 54 years	8%	N=7
55 to 64 years	31%	N=26
65 to 74 years	39%	N=33
75 years or older	7%	N=6
Total	100%	N=84

#### Table 33: Question D16

What is your sex?	Percent	Number
Female	63%	N=49
Male	37%	N=29
Total	100%	N=78

## Table 34: Question D17

Do you consider a cell phone or landline your primary telephone number?	Percent	Number
Cell	64%	N=52
Land line	15%	N=12
Both	21%	N=17
Total	100%	N=81

## Responses including "don't know"

The following pages contain a complete set of responses to each question on the survey, including the "don't know" responses. The percent of respondents giving a particular response is shown followed by the number of respondents (denoted with "N=").

Table 35: Question 1												
Please rate each of the following aspects of quality of life in Scottsdale:	Exc	ellent	Go	bod	F	air	Po	oor	Don't	know	To	otal
Scottsdale as a place to live	56%	N=58	35%	N=36	10%	N=10	0%	N=0	0%	N=0	100%	N=104
Your neighborhood as a place to live	57%	N=59	33%	N=34	8%	N=8	2%	N=2	0%	N=0	100%	N=103
Scottsdale as a place to raise children	32%	N=33	30%	N=31	10%	N=10	3%	N=3	26%	N=27	100%	N=104
Scottsdale as a place to work	33%	N=34	33%	N=34	10%	N=10	2%	N=2	23%	N=24	100%	N=104
Scottsdale as a place to visit	63%	N=65	32%	N=33	2%	N=2	2%	N=2	2%	N=2	100%	N=104
Scottsdale as a place to retire	47%	N=48	30%	N=31	15%	N=15	5%	N=5	4%	N=4	100%	N=103
The overall quality of life in Scottsdale	49%	N=51	41%	N=43	8%	N=8	2%	N=2	0%	N=0	100%	N=104

#### Table 36: Question 2

Please rate each of the following characteristics as they relate to Scottsdale as a whole:	Exc	ellent	Go	bod	F	air	Po	oor		on't ow	Тс	otal
Overall feeling of safety in Scottsdale	48%	N=49	41%	N=42	7%	N=7	4%	N=4	0%	N=0	100%	N=102
Overall ease of getting to the places you usually have to visit	17%	N=17	52%	N=53	23%	N=23	9%	N=9	0%	N=0	100%	N=102
Quality of overall natural environment in Scottsdale	44%	N=45	43%	N=44	9%	N=9	4%	N=4	0%	N=0	100%	N=102
Overall "built environment" of Scottsdale (including overall design,												
buildings, parks and transportation systems)	20%	N=20	38%	N=39	30%	N=31	11%	N=11	1%	N=1	100%	N=102
Health and wellness opportunities in Scottsdale	42%	N=43	38%	N=39	12%	N=12	4%	N = 4	4%	N = 4	100%	N=102
Overall opportunities for education and enrichment	32%	N=33	47%	N=48	11%	N=11	7%	N=7	3%	N=3	100%	N=102
Overall economic health of Scottsdale	24%	N=24	52%	N=53	16%	N=16	6%	N=6	2%	N=2	100%	N=101
Sense of community	12%	N=12	41%	N=41	33%	N=33	14%	N=14	1%	N=1	100%	N=101
Overall image or reputation of Scottsdale	43%	N=44	40%	N=41	15%	N=15	2%	N=2	0%	N=0	100%	N=102

#### Table 37: Question 3

Please indicate how likely or unlikely you are to do each of the			Som	ewhat	Som	ewhat	V	ery	Do	on't		
following:	Very	likely	lik	kely	unl	ikely	unl	ikely	kn	WO	To	otal
Recommend living in Scottsdale to someone who asks	61%	N=60	23%	N=23	9%	N=9	5%	N=5	1%	N = 1	100%	N=98
Remain in Scottsdale for the next five years	64%	N=63	21%	N=21	8%	N=8	6%	N=6	0%	N = 0	100%	N=98

## Table 38: Question 4

Please rate how safe or unsafe you feel:	Very	/ safe		ewhat afe		safe nor safe		ewhat safe		ery safe		on't Iow	То	tal
In your neighborhood during the day	77%	N=75	17%	N=17	3%	N=3	3%	N=3	0%	N=0	0%	N=0	100%	N=98
In Scottsdale's downtown/commercial area during the day	56%	N=55	32%	N=31	5%	N=5	0%	N=0	2%	N=2	5%	N=5	100%	N=98

### Table 39: Question 5

Please rate each of the following characteristics as they relate to Scottsdale as a whole:	Exc	ellent	Go	bod	F	air	Po	oor	Don't	know	То	tal
Traffic flow on major streets	4%	N=4	45%	N=43	25%	N=24	25%	N=24	0%	N=0	100%	N=95
Ease of public parking	4%	N=4	48%	N=46	33%	N=31	15%	N=14	0%	N=0	100%	N=95
Ease of travel by car in Scottsdale	7%	N=7	51%	N=48	26%	N=25	16%	N=15	0%	N=0	100%	N=95
Ease of travel by public transportation in Scottsdale	3%	N=3	7%	N=7	14%	N=13	31%	N=29	45%	N=42	100%	N=94
Ease of travel by bicycle in Scottsdale	7%	N=7	35%	N=33	15%	N=14	3%	N=3	39%	N=37	100%	N=94
Ease of walking in Scottsdale	24%	N=23	48%	N=46	15%	N=14	8%	N=8	4%	N=4	100%	N=95
Availability of paths and walking trails	43%	N=41	40%	N=38	12%	N=11	3%	N=3	2%	N=2	100%	N=95
Air quality	9%	N=9	53%	N=50	25%	N=24	13%	N=12	0%	N=0	100%	N=95
Cleanliness of Scottsdale	39%	N=37	48%	N=46	9%	N=9	3%	N=3	0%	N=0	100%	N=95
Overall appearance of Scottsdale	34%	N=32	54%	N=51	10%	N=9	2%	N=2	0%	N=0	100%	N=94
Public places where people want to spend time	38%	N=36	48%	N=45	11%	N=10	3%	N=3	0%	N=0	100%	N=94
Variety of housing options	17%	N=16	36%	N=34	23%	N=22	13%	N=12	11%	N=10	100%	N=94
Availability of affordable quality housing	7%	N=7	19%	N=18	29%	N=28	26%	N=25	18%	N=17	100%	N=95
Fitness opportunities (including exercise classes and paths or trails, etc.)	44%	N=42	42%	N=40	8%	N=8	2%	N=2	3%	N=3	100%	N=95
Recreational opportunities	47%	N=45	43%	N=41	6%	N=6	2%	N=2	1%	N=1	100%	N=95
Availability of affordable quality food	34%	N=32	40%	N=38	24%	N=23	1%	N=1	1%	N=1	100%	N=95
Availability of affordable quality health care	35%	N=33	40%	N=38	20%	N=19	2%	N=2	3%	N=3	100%	N=95
Availability of preventive health services	28%	N=27	44%	N=42	13%	N=12	3%	N=3	12%	N=11	100%	N=95
Availability of affordable quality mental health care	6%	N=6	14%	N=13	13%	N=12	3%	N=3	64%	N=61	100%	N=95

## Table 40: Question 6

Please rate each of the following characteristics as they relate to												
Scottsdale as a whole:	Exc	ellent	Go	bod	F	air	Po	oor	Don't	know	To	otal
Availability of affordable quality child care/preschool	3%	N=3	9%	N=8	11%	N=10	6%	N=6	71%	N=66	100%	N=93
K-12 education	12%	N=11	25%	N=23	11%	N=10	10%	N=9	42%	N=39	100%	N=92
Adult educational opportunities	16%	N=15	41%	N=38	11%	N=10	10%	N=9	23%	N=21	100%	N=93

Please rate each of the following characteristics as they relate to Scottsdale as a whole:	Exc	ellent	Go	bod	F	air	Po	oor	Don't	know	То	tal
Opportunities to attend cultural/arts/music activities	38%	N=35	43%	N=40	13%	N=12	1%	N=1	5%	N=5	100%	N=93
Opportunities to participate in religious or spiritual events and activities	30%	N=28	31%	N=29	4%	N = 4	1%	N=1	33%	N=31	100%	N=93
Employment opportunities	15%	N=14	34%	N=31	14%	N=13	2%	N=2	35%	N=32	100%	N=92
Shopping opportunities	57%	N=53	33%	N=31	10%	N=9	0%	N=0	0%	N=0	100%	N=93
Cost of living in Scottsdale	2%	N=2	42%	N=39	43%	N=40	12%	N=11	1%	N=1	100%	N=93
Overall quality of business and service establishments in Scottsdale	35%	N=32	50%	N=46	13%	N=12	1%	N=1	1%	N=1	100%	N=92
Vibrant downtown/commercial area	33%	N=31	38%	N=35	16%	N=15	4%	N=4	9%	N=8	100%	N=93
Overall quality of new development in Scottsdale	11%	N=10	31%	N=29	20%	N=19	31%	N=29	6%	N=6	100%	N=93
Opportunities to participate in social events and activities	35%	N=32	35%	N=32	14%	N=13	4%	N=4	12%	N=11	100%	N=92
Opportunities to volunteer	41%	N=38	33%	N=31	6%	N=6	3%	N=3	16%	N=15	100%	N=93
Opportunities to participate in community matters	27%	N=25	39%	N=36	17%	N=16	5%	N=5	12%	N=11	100%	N=93
Openness and acceptance of the community toward people of diverse backgrounds	18%	N=16	30%	N=27	15%	N=14	14%	N=13	23%	N=21	100%	N=91
Neighborliness of residents in Scottsdale	13%	N=12	35%	N=32	34%	N=31	16%	N=15	2%	N=2	100%	N=92
Helping new residents feel connected and integrated	13%	N=12	25%	N=23	26%	N=24	18%	N=17	18%	N=17	100%	N=93
Demonstrating respect for residents of different cultures and belief systems	16%	N=15	28%	N=26	20%	N=19	12%	N=11	24%	N=22	100%	N=93

## Table 41: Question 7

Please indicate whether or not you have done each of the following in the last 12 months.	1	No	Y	'es	То	tal
Made efforts to conserve water	4%	N=4	96%	N=89	100%	N=93
Made efforts to make your home more energy efficient	16%	N=15	84%	N=78	100%	N=93
Observed a code violation or other hazard in Scottsdale (weeds, abandoned buildings, etc.)	37%	N=34	63%	N=58	100%	N=92
Household member was a victim of a crime in Scottsdale	92%	N=85	8%	N=7	100%	N=92
Reported a crime to the police in Scottsdale	83%	N=76	17%	N=16	100%	N=92
Stocked supplies in preparation for an emergency	71%	N=66	29%	N=27	100%	N=93
Campaigned or advocated for an issue, cause or candidate	43%	N=40	57%	N=53	100%	N=93
Contacted the City of Scottsdale (in-person, phone, email or web) for help or information	28%	N=26	72%	N=67	100%	N=93
Contacted Scottsdale elected officials (in-person, phone, email or web) to express your opinion	61%	N=56	39%	N=36	100%	N=92

## Table 42: Question 8

In the last 12 months, about how many times, if at all, have you or other	2 times	a week or	2-4 t	imes a	Once a	month or				
household members done each of the following in Scottsdale?	m	ore	ma	nth		ess	Not	at all	То	tal
Used Scottsdale recreation centers or their services	8%	N=7	20%	N=18	31%	N=28	42%	N=38	100%	N=91
Visited a neighborhood park or City park	15%	N=14	37%	N=34	33%	N=30	14%	N=13	100%	N=91

## The National Citizen Survey™

In the last 12 months, about how many times, if at all, have you or other household members done each of the following in Scottsdale?		a week or ore		mes a onth		month or ess	Not	at all	То	tal
Used Scottsdale public libraries or their services	17%	N=16	28%	N=26	32%	N=29	23%	N=21	100%	N=92
Participated in religious or spiritual activities in Scottsdale	9%	N=8	16%	N=15	18%	N=17	57%	N=52	100%	N=92
Attended a City-sponsored event	2%	N=2	13%	N=12	52%	N=47	32%	N=29	100%	N=90
Used bus or other public transportation instead of driving	2%	N=2	2%	N=2	8%	N=7	88%	N=80	100%	N=91
Carpooled with other adults or children instead of driving alone	12%	N=11	19%	N=17	10%	N=9	59%	N=54	100%	N=91
Walked or biked instead of driving	16%	N=15	13%	N=12	26%	N=24	44%	N=40	100%	N=91
Volunteered your time to some group/activity in Scottsdale	15%	N=14	13%	N=12	25%	N=23	46%	N=42	100%	N=91
Participated in a club	3%	N=3	13%	N=12	20%	N=18	63%	N=57	100%	N=90
Talked to or visited with your immediate neighbors	45%	N=41	26%	N=24	23%	N=21	5%	N=5	100%	N=91
Done a favor for a neighbor	24%	N=22	23%	N=21	34%	N=31	19%	N=17	100%	N=91
Visited or used a City pool	3%	N=3	1%	N=1	10%	N=9	86%	N=78	100%	N=91
Used a City fitness facility	4%	N=4	6%	N=5	7%	N=6	83%	N=75	100%	N=90
Visited downtown for shopping, dining or to attend an event	18%	N=16	30%	N=27	46%	N=42	7%	N=6	100%	N=91

## Table 43: Question 9

Thinking about local public meetings (of local elected officials like City Council or County Commissioners, advisory boards, town halls, HOA, neighborhood watch,										
etc.), in the last 12 months, about how many times, if at all, have you or other	2 tir	nes a	2-4 ti	imes a	Once a	month				
household members attended or watched a local public meeting?	week	or more	ma	onth	or	less	Not	at all	То	tal
Attended a local public meeting	2%	N=2	8%	N=7	30%	N=28	60%	N=55	100%	N=92
Watched (online or on television) a local public meeting	3%	N=3	8%	N=7	33%	N=30	57%	N=52	100%	N=92

#### Table 44: Question 10

Please rate the quality of each of the following services in Scottsdale:	Exc	ellent	Go	bod	F	air	Poor		Don't kno		know Tota	
Police services	52%	N=47	27%	N=24	9%	N=8	4%	N=4	8%	N=7	100%	N=90
Fire services	54%	N=49	23%	N=21	4%	N=4	0%	N=0	18%	N=16	100%	N=90
Ambulance or emergency medical services	40%	N=36	22%	N=20	2%	N=2	1%	N=1	34%	N=31	100%	N=90
Crime prevention	33%	N=30	31%	N=28	8%	N=7	4%	N=4	23%	N=21	100%	N=90
Fire prevention and education	30%	N=27	28%	N=25	7%	N=6	1%	N=1	34%	N=31	100%	N=90
Traffic enforcement	18%	N=16	43%	N=39	17%	N=15	10%	N=9	12%	N=11	100%	N=90
Street repair	12%	N=11	41%	N=37	31%	N=28	16%	N=14	0%	N=0	100%	N=90
Street cleaning	31%	N=28	45%	N=40	17%	N=15	3%	N=3	3%	N=3	100%	N=89
Street lighting	26%	N=23	48%	N=43	18%	N=16	7%	N=6	1%	N=1	100%	N=89
Sidewalk maintenance	16%	N=14	<b>49</b> %	N=44	17%	N=15	4%	N=4	13%	N=12	100%	N=89
Traffic signal timing	6%	N=5	43%	N=39	24%	N=22	27%	N=24	0%	N=0	100%	N=90

## The National Citizen Survey™

Please rate the quality of each of the following services in Scottsdale:	Exc	ellent	G	boc	F	air	Po	oor	Don't	know	То	otal
Bus or transit services	4%	N=4	8%	N=7	8%	N=7	17%	N=15	63%	N=57	100%	N=90
Garbage collection	64%	N=58	24%	N=22	4%	N=4	3%	N=3	3%	N=3	100%	N=90
Recycling	54%	N=49	27%	N=24	11%	N=10	3%	N=3	4%	N=4	100%	N=90
Yard waste pick-up	50%	N=45	24%	N=22	4%	N=4	1%	N=1	20%	N=18	100%	N=90
Storm drainage	27%	N=24	30%	N=27	13%	N=12	8%	N=7	22%	N=20	100%	N=90
Drinking water	32%	N=29	30%	N=27	21%	N=19	14%	N=13	2%	N=2	100%	N=90
Sewer services	43%	N=39	33%	N=30	2%	N=2	0%	N=0	21%	N=19	100%	N=90
Utility billing	33%	N=29	44%	N=39	9%	N=8	6%	N=5	9%	N=8	100%	N=89
City parks	49%	N=44	34%	N=31	7%	N=6	1%	N=1	9%	N=8	100%	N=90
Recreation programs or classes	23%	N=21	31%	N=28	9%	N=8	1%	N=1	36%	N=32	100%	N=90
Recreation centers or facilities	31%	N=28	29%	N=26	6%	N=5	2%	N=2	32%	N=29	100%	N=90
Land use, planning and zoning	4%	N=4	21%	N=19	27%	N=24	30%	N=27	18%	N=16	100%	N=90
Code enforcement (weeds, abandoned buildings, etc.)	13%	N=12	30%	N=27	21%	N=19	14%	N=13	21%	N=19	100%	N=90
Animal control	9%	N=8	29%	N=26	9%	N=8	4%	N=4	49%	N=44	100%	N=90
Economic development	8%	N=7	37%	N=33	17%	N=15	10%	N=9	29%	N=26	100%	N=90
Public library services	55%	N=49	25%	N=22	2%	N=2	0%	N=0	18%	N=16	100%	N=89
Public information services	18%	N=16	51%	N=46	12%	N=11	4%	N=4	14%	N=13	100%	N=90
Cable television	10%	N=9	23%	N=21	17%	N=15	13%	N=12	37%	N=33	100%	N=90
Emergency preparedness (services that prepare the community for natural disasters or other emergency situations)	8%	N=7	19%	N=17	10%	N=9	6%	N=5	58%	N=52	100%	N=90
Preservation of natural areas such as open space, farmlands and greenbelts	34%	N=31	38%	N=34	13%	N=12	9%	N=8	6%	N=5	100%	N=90
Scottsdale McDowell Sonoran Preserve	58%	N=52	18%	N=16	9%	N=8	3%	N=3	12%	N=11	100%	N=90
City-sponsored special events	27%	N=24	36%	N=32	7%	N=6	2%	N=2	28%	N=25	100%	N=89
Overall customer service by Scottsdale employees (police,												
receptionists, planners, etc.)	41%	N=37	39%	N=35	9%	N=8	6%	N=5	6%	N=5	100%	N=90
Scottsdale website (www.ScottsdaleAZ.gov)	27%	N=24	51%	N=46	17%	N=15	2%	N=2	3%	N=3	100%	N=90
City Cable Channel 11 or Scottsdale Video Network	11%	N=10	19%	N=17	7%	N=6	2%	N=2	60%	N=53	100%	N=88
Water services provided by Scottsdale Water Department	34%	N=31	41%	N=37	9%	N=8	1%	N=1	14%	N=13	100%	N=90
Drinking water taste	18%	N=16	32%	N=29	27%	N=24	21%	N=19	2%	N=2	100%	N=90
Senior services	12%	N=11	28%	N=25	6%	N=5	3%	N=3	51%	N=45	100%	N=89

## Table 45: Question 11

Overall, how would you rate the quality of the services provided by each of the following?	Exc	ellent	Go	bod	F	air	Po	oor	Don't	know	То	tal
The City of Scottsdale	36%	N=31	41%	N=35	19%	N=16	5%	N=4	0%	N=0	100%	N=86
The Federal Government	5%	N=4	34%	N=29	41%	N=35	16%	N=14	5%	N=4	100%	N=86
The State Government	6%	N=5	28%	N=24	45%	N=39	13%	N=11	8%	N=7	100%	N=86
Maricopa County Government	6%	N=5	36%	N=31	36%	N=31	6%	N=5	15%	N=13	100%	N=85

## Table 46: Question 12

Please rate the following categories of Scottsdale government performance:	Exc	ellent	Go	bod	F	air	Po	oor	Don't	know	То	tal
The value of services for the taxes paid to Scottsdale	24%	N=21	37%	N=32	23%	N=20	13%	N=11	3%	N=3	100%	N=87
The overall direction that Scottsdale is taking	7%	N=6	30%	N=26	30%	N=26	33%	N=29	0%	N=0	100%	N=87
The job Scottsdale government does at welcoming citizen involvement	10%	N=9	26%	N=22	28%	N=24	24%	N=21	12%	N=10	100%	N=86
Overall confidence in Scottsdale government	4%	N=3	28%	N=24	<b>39</b> %	N=33	27%	N=23	2%	N=2	100%	N=85
Generally acting in the best interest of the community	5%	N=4	29%	N=25	31%	N=27	31%	N=27	3%	N=3	100%	N=86
Being honest	3%	N=3	28%	N=24	31%	N=27	27%	N=23	10%	N=9	100%	N=86
Treating all residents fairly	7%	N=6	24%	N=21	26%	N=22	26%	N=22	17%	N=15	100%	N=86
Law enforcement treating all residents fairly	35%	N=30	28%	N=24	9%	N=8	6%	N=5	22%	N=19	100%	N=86

## Table 47: Question 13

Please rate how important, if at all, you think it is for the Scottsdale community to focus on each of the following in the coming two years:	Esse	ential		ery ortant		ewhat ortant		at all ortant	То	tal
Overall feeling of safety in Scottsdale	69%	N=59	23%	N=20	8%	N=7	0%	N=0	100%	N=86
Overall ease of getting to the places you usually have to visit	41%	N=35	47%	N=40	13%	N=11	0%	N=0	100%	N=86
Quality of overall natural environment in Scottsdale	67%	N=57	26%	N=22	7%	N=6	0%	N=0	100%	N=85
Overall "built environment" of Scottsdale (including overall design, buildings, parks and transportation systems)	56%	N=48	35%	N=30	9%	N=8	0%	N=0	100%	N=86
Health and wellness opportunities in Scottsdale	29%	N=25	45%	N=39	20%	N=17	6%	N=5	100%	N=86
Overall opportunities for education and enrichment	30%	N=26	38%	N=33	27%	N=23	5%	N=4	100%	N=86
Overall economic health of Scottsdale	52%	N=45	41%	N=35	6%	N=5	1%	N=1	100%	N=86
Sense of community	34%	N=29	44%	N=38	16%	N=14	6%	N=5	100%	N=86

## Table 48: Question 14

Please rate how important, if at all, you think it is for the City of Scottsdale to invest in each of the following over the next 10			Ve	ery	Som	ewhat	Not	at all	D	on't		
years:	Esse	ential	impo	ortant	impo	ortant	impo	ortant	kr	IOW	То	otal
Repair or replace aging parks, libraries and arts facilities	42%	N=36	32%	N=27	25%	N=21	1%	N=1	0%	N=0	100%	N=85
Repave roads and improve roadside appearance	49%	N=42	40%	N=34	11%	N=9	0%	N=0	0%	N=0	100%	N=85
Build new public parking garages and improve event spaces downtown	16%	N=14	22%	N=19	39%	N=33	22%	N=19	0%	N=0	100%	N=85
Upgrade outdated City technology such as the 911 dispatch and building permit systems	35%	N=30	28%	N=24	25%	N=21	7%	N=6	5%	N=4	100%	N=85
Build structures to better manage storm-related flooding and run- off	33%	N=28	33%	N=28	25%	N=21	7%	N=6	2%	N=2	100%	N=85
Remodel fire and police stations and training facilities to meet current safety and operational standards	27%	N=23	35%	N=30	31%	N=26	7%	N=6	0%	N=0	100%	N=85

## Table 49: Question 15

Please indicate how often, if at all, you have done each of the following in	2 times a	week or	2-4 t	imes a	Once a	month or				
the last 12 months in the Scottsdale McDowell Sonoran Preserve.	m	ore	month		less		Not	at all	То	otal
Walked or hiked in the Preserve	7%	N=6	27%	N=23	37%	N=32	29%	N=25	100%	N=86
Rode a mountain bike in the Preserve	3%	N=3	2%	N=2	8%	N=7	86%	N=74	100%	N=86
Rock climbed in the Preserve	0%	N=0	1%	N=1	8%	N=7	91%	N=78	100%	N=86
Ridden a horse in the Preserve	0%	N=0	0%	N=0	4%	N=3	96%	N=82	100%	N=85
Visited a Preserve trailhead	10%	N=8	23%	N=19	40%	N=33	28%	N=23	100%	N=83
Taken out of town visitors on any of these activities in the Preserve	2%	N=2	2%	N=2	59%	N=51	36%	N=31	100%	N=86

## Table 50: Question 16

To what extent do you agree or disagree with each of the following statements?		ongly gree		ewhat Iree		agree or agree		ewhat Igree	Stro disa	ngly gree	Don'	t know	То	tal
The City of Scottsdale should do more to promote water conservation among residents	51%	N=44	26%	N=22	17%	N=15	2%	N=2	3%	N=3	0%	N=0	100%	N=86
My household could do more to conserve water	12%	N=10	37%	N=32	26%	N=22	15%	N=13	10%	N=9	0%	N=0	100%	N=86
My neighbors could do more to conserve water	23%	N=20	33%	N=28	17%	N=15	7%	N=6	3%	N=3	16%	N=14	100%	N=86

## Table 51: Question 17

Please indicate if each of the following is a major source, minor source or not a source of	Malar		Minor	0.01170.0		at all a	Та	tal
information for you about the City of Scottsdale and its activities:	iviajor	source	IVIINO	source	50	urce	10	tal
Scottsdale Update utility bill newsletter	28%	N=24	35%	N=30	37%	N=32	100%	N=86
Scottsdale Life (Parks, Recreation, Library and Human Services newsletter)	26%	N=22	45%	N=39	29%	N=25	100%	N=86
City website (ScottsdaleAZ.gov)	43%	N=37	49%	N=42	8%	N=7	100%	N=86
Scottsdale Update weekly email newsletter (or other City email newsletters)	62%	N=53	29%	N=25	9%	N=8	100%	N=86
City Cable Channel 11 or Scottsdale Video Network	2%	N=2	27%	N=23	71%	N=61	100%	N=86
Local newspapers, television or radio news	40%	N=34	40%	N=34	21%	N=18	100%	N=86
Facebook	7%	N=6	21%	N=18	72%	N=61	100%	N=85
Twitter	4%	N=3	7%	N=6	89%	N=75	100%	N=84
Next Door	25%	N=21	33%	N=28	42%	N=36	100%	N=85
YouTube	4%	N=3	8%	N=7	88%	N=74	100%	N=84
Word of mouth	24%	N=21	57%	N=49	19%	N=16	100%	N=86

## Table 52: Question D1

How often, if at all, do you do each of the following, considering all of the times you could?	Ne	ever	Ra	rely	Some	etimes	ปรเ	ually	Alv	vays	То	tal
Recycle at home	2%	N=2	2%	N=2	2%	N=2	9%	N=8	84%	N=71	100%	N=85
Purchase goods or services from a business located in Scottsdale	0%	N=0	1%	N=1	31%	N=27	56%	N=48	12%	N=10	100%	N=86
Eat at least 5 portions of fruits and vegetables a day	2%	N=2	13%	N=11	24%	N=21	45%	N=39	15%	N=13	100%	N=86
Participate in moderate or vigorous physical activity	1%	N=1	8%	N=7	24%	N=21	33%	N=28	34%	N=29	100%	N=86
Read or watch local news (via television, paper, computer, etc.)	3%	N=3	14%	N=12	14%	N=12	19%	N=16	50%	N=43	100%	N=86
Vote in local elections	1%	N=1	0%	N = 0	1%	N=1	6%	N=5	92%	N=79	100%	N=86

## Table 53: Question D2

Would you say that in general your health is:	Percent	Number
Excellent	37%	N=32
Very good	44%	N=38
Good	16%	N=14
Fair	1%	N=1
Poor	1%	N=1
Total	100%	N=86

## Table 54: Question D3

What impact, if any, do you think the economy will have on your family income in the next 6 months? Do you think the impact will be:	Percent	Number
Very positive	8%	N=7
Somewhat positive	23%	N=20
Neutral	37%	N=32
Somewhat negative	29%	N=25
Very negative	2%	N=2
Total	100%	N=86

## Table 55: Question D4

What is your employment status?	Percent	Number
Working full time for pay	43%	N=37
Working part time for pay	7%	N=6
Unemployed, looking for paid work	2%	N=2
Unemployed, not looking for paid work	5%	N=4
Fully retired	43%	N=37
Total	100%	N=86

## Table 56: Question D5

Do you work inside the boundaries of Scottsdale?	Percent	Number
Yes, outside the home	24%	N=20
Yes, from home	14%	N=12
No	61%	N=51
Total	100%	N=83

## Table 57: Question D6

How many years have you lived in Scottsdale?	Percent	Number
Less than 2 years	6%	N=5
2 to 5 years	16%	N=14
6 to 10 years	19%	N=16
11 to 20 years	20%	N=17
More than 20 years	40%	N=34
Total	100%	N=86

## The National Citizen Survey™

## Table 58: Question D7

Which best describes the building you live in?	Percent	Number
One family house detached from any other houses	73%	N=60
Building with two or more homes (duplex, townhome, apartment or condominium)	27%	N=22
Mobile home	0%	N=0
Other	0%	N=0
Total	100%	N=82

## Table 59: Question D8

Is this house, apartment or mobile home	Percent	Number
Rented	9%	N=7
Owned	91%	N=72
Total	100%	N=79

## Table 60: Question D9

About how much is your monthly housing cost for the place you live (including rent, mortgage payment, property tax, property insurance and		
homeowners' association (HOA) fees)?	Percent	Number
Less than \$300 per month	7%	N=6
\$300 to \$599 per month	12%	N=10
\$600 to \$999 per month	14%	N=12
\$1,000 to \$1,499 per month	15%	N=13
\$1,500 to \$2,499 per month	33%	N=28
\$2,500 or more per month	18%	N=15
Total	100%	N=84

## Table 61: Question D10

Do any children 17 or under live in your household?	Percent	Number
No	91%	N=77
Yes	9%	N=8
Total	100%	N=85

## Table 62: Question D11

Are you or any other members of your household aged 65 or older?	Percent	Number
No	42%	N=35
Yes	58%	N=48
Total	100%	N=83

## Table 63: Question D12

How much do you anticipate your household's total income before taxes will be for the current year? (Please include in your total income money		
from all sources for all persons living in your household.)	Percent	Number
Less than \$25,000	4%	N=3
\$25,000 to \$49,999	10%	N=8
\$50,000 to \$99,999	36%	N=28
\$100,000 to \$149,999	21%	N=16
\$150,000 or more	29%	N=23
Total	100%	N=78

#### Table 64: Question D13

Are you Spanish, Hispanic or Latino?	Percent	Number
No, not Spanish, Hispanic or Latino	96%	N=80
Yes, I consider myself to be Spanish, Hispanic or Latino	4%	N=3
Total	100%	N=83

## Table 65: Question D14

What is your race? (Mark one or more races to indicate what race(s) you consider yourself to be.)	Percent	Number
American Indian or Alaskan Native	1%	N=1
Asian, Asian Indian or Pacific Islander	0%	N=0
Black or African American	1%	N=1
White	93%	N=77
Other	6%	N=5

Total may exceed 100% as respondents could select more than one option.

#### Table 66: Question D15

In which category is your age?	Percent	Number
18 to 24 years	1%	N=1
25 to 34 years	5%	N=4
35 to 44 years	8%	N=7
45 to 54 years	8%	N=7
55 to 64 years	31%	N=26
65 to 74 years	39%	N=33
75 years or older	7%	N=6
Total	100%	N=84

## The National Citizen Survey™

## Table 67: Question D16

What is your sex?	Percent	Number
Female	63%	N=49
Male	37%	N=29
Total	100%	N=78

## Table 68: Question D17

Do you consider a cell phone or landline your primary telephone number?	Percent	Number
Cell	64%	N=52
Land line	15%	N=12
Both	21%	N=17
Total	100%	N=81



# Guide to Understanding and Using Your Reports

2018



2955 Valmont Road Suite 300 Boulder, Colorado 80301 n-r-c.com • 303-444-7863



777 North Capitol Street NE, Suite 500 Washington, DC 20002 icma.org • 800-745-8780

## Contents

Purpose of the User Guide	1
What Does The NCS Measure?	2
Using Your Reports	4
Report Dissemination Community Livability Report Dashboard Summary of Findings	7
Technical Appendices Trends over Time Report Demographic and Geographic Subgroup Comparison Reports Open-ended Question Responses	14 15
Understanding Survey Research Survey Sampling Margin of Error and Confidence Intervals Non-response Bias "Don't know" Responses Response Scale	17 17 18 18

The National Citizen Survey™ © 2001-2018 National Research Center, Inc.

## **Purpose of the User Guide**

As a participant in The National Citizen Survey<sup>™</sup> (The NCS<sup>™</sup>), you are among an elite group of communities that conduct resident surveys. Communities often use the results of The NCS to:

- Envision Make strategic plans and set goals
- **Engage** Partner with residents, other governments, private sector and community-based organizations
- **Earmark** Alter budgets, personnel or services
- **Educate** Communicate and reach out to residents to inform, educate and advocate
- **Enact** Create, alter and remove policies to promote community strengths
- **Evaluate** Track strengths and problems, dig more deeply and evaluate progress

The purpose of this User Guide is to provide you with an overview of the various products you have received related to your survey results, and to describe how to dive in and understand the data that are provided in these products.

Your community, including the elected officials and government staff, should dig into data relevant to their missions, discuss the findings and create action plans. Residents expect their leaders to act on the survey results they receive. By acting on survey results, community leaders build credibility with residents. This credibility leads to heightened public trust which, in turn, makes it more likely that residents will support expenditures and resource allocations recommended by their councils, commissions or staff. Proper expenditure of resources leads to better communities.

#### The NCS Background

National Research Center, Inc. (NRC) developed The NCS as a low-cost, comprehensive, statistically valid survey solution for local governments eager to find out what their residents think about their communities. The NCS is not just a survey; it is a service that encompasses the entire survey research process scheduling, questionnaire development, sample selection, data collection, analysis and reporting. In partnership with the International City/County Management Association (ICMA), The NCS has been administered hundreds of times in numerous U.S. cities, counties, towns, villages and boroughs.

The NCS assesses aspects of community life, local government service quality and resident participation in community activities. The results, based on resident perceptions, describe the areas where community members themselves believe things are going well and shed light on the areas that could benefit from improvement.

## What Does The NCS Measure?

Broadly, The NCS measures your community's "livability." A great many definitions have been made for community livability,<sup>1</sup> including one from the Partners for Livable Communities, calling it "the sum of the factors that add up to a community's quality of life."<sup>2</sup> Staff at NRC examined the extensive research that has been done about community livability and many of the models that have been developed to describe the components of livable communities.<sup>3</sup> Eight facets of community livability were distilled from our synthesis of this research: Safety, Mobility, the Natural Environment, the Built Environment, the Economy, Recreation and Wellness, Education and Enrichment and Community Engagement. The NCS questionnaire includes individual items that act as indicators of community quality within each of the eight facets – and, split in a different way, they form three "pillars" of community quality: Community Characteristics, Governance and Participation.

The Eight Facets of Livable Communities



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Many examples are shown at http://www.camsys.com/kb\_experts\_livability.htm

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Source: Partners for Livable Communities, http://www.livable.org/about-us/what-is-livability

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> See, for example: http://livable.nonprofitsoapbox.com/storage/documents/board\_resources/BOT\_Meetings/2010/4ExecCommNov5/ Grand\_Alliance\_doc\_for\_EC.pdf; http://www.sustainable.org/images/stories/pdf/Placemaking\_v1.pdf; http://www.who.int/ageing/ publications/Global\_age\_friendly\_cities\_Guide\_English.pdf

Other sectors that influence community quality include the businesses, non-profit agencies, fraternal or service organizations (e.g., Kiwanis, Rotary, Lions and more) and other community groups (such as homeowners or neighborhood associations, etc.) as well as other nearby local governments or other levels of government. They are important target audiences for receiving and acting on The NCS results.

Because much of what The NCS measures is quality – quality of community life, services and connection – it is common for community leaders to conclude that their locale must excel in every facet of livability. While leaders may feel compelled to strive to be equally strong in all areas of community life, such a strategy is rarely feasible or even desirable. Different communities have different strengths and identities. These strengths and definitions of the community should be noted by all those reviewing the results. Less desirable ratings for some indicators should not automatically be seen as negative for a community, but instead a reflection of the community's resources and priorities which wisely may be spent on areas that matter more. Not all indicators that show less achievement are a call to action, just as not all indicators that are strong should become a gateway to complacency. Those viewing The NCS results, and in particular those charged with creating plans based on the results, should consider their community's essence and priorities, and should choose to make improvements or maintain excellence in areas that support the identity they desire. Meeting your definition of success in the areas deemed most important is the ultimate goal – and one that The NCS helps measure – even if all levels of success are not equal.

# **Using Your Reports**

## **Report Documents**

Instead of a single, heavy document that can be difficult to navigate and share. The NCS results are reported in multiple formats and lengths, each with varying levels of detail to ensure your different stakeholder groups get the right information to meet their needs. The Basic Service of The NCS includes each of the following documents:

- Community Livability Report
- Dashboard Summary of Findings
- Technical Appendices
- Trends over Time (if you have administered The NCS before)

Depending on the additional services you chose as part of your research project, you may also receive additional reports, such as:

- Demographic Subgroup Comparisons
- Geographic Subgroup Comparisons
- Report of Open-ended Questions
- Presentation slideshow (shown at in-person presentation of results and provided to you for your own uses)

This User Guide describes these reports, how to interpret the data and how to dig deeper to ensure everyone – you, government staff leadership, line staff, elected officials, residents, business owners and community organizations – get the most out of The NCS results.

## **Report Types**

When assembled together, these reports build on and reinforce each other, while separately, they provide the flexibility for targeted reporting to specific audiences.

**Community Livability Report** • This report is the most universal and summarizes all the results and key findings. The Community Livability Report is brief, attractive and accessible, making it a central public document.

**Dashboard Summary of Findings** • This report offers a simplified ("rolled up") quantitative view of the data, as well as comparison details for each question (the relationship to the benchmark and over time, if this is not the first iteration of the survey).

**Technical Appendices** • The appendices include the details about survey methods, individual response options selected for each question – with and without the "don't know" option – and detailed benchmark results. This document speaks to the credibility of data and the most granular detail of results.

**Trends over Time** • This report reveals how resident perspectives and behaviors have changed across two or more administrations of The NCS. The report offers a high level view of how rankings have changed as well as relative position to the benchmark including all administrations of The NCS.

**Guide to Understanding and Using Your Reports** • The Guide to Understanding and Using Your Reports (this document) is written simply so that the survey sponsors receive guidance about how to understand all aspects of the reports, and also so that sponsors can explain to others how the reports are organized and what they mean.

**Presentation** • An in-person presentation by NRC's independent researchers will offer an engaging overview of the findings – revealing important patterns without getting lost in the detail – at a Council meeting (either formal or work session). The PowerPoint slideshow can be reused for other audiences, including civic clubs, business and non-profit organizations and the press. Presentation by the unbiased survey research team offers the neutrality that is hard to garner when staff themselves present survey findings.

**Subgroup Comparisons** • Both demographic and geographic comparison options are available. Such information can be especially useful as programs are considered for different parts of a community or outreach is planned to educate different community groups.

**Open-ended Questions** • Residents' own words add flavor to the survey results and a quantitative grouping of similarly themed comments gives a sense of common ideas.

## **Report Dissemination**

Distributing the results and communicating the key findings engages audiences.

## **Audiences and Stakeholders**

**Residents** • Make the reports available to the public via your website. Share the results at a public meeting, being sure to advertise the event. A full presentation of the results (either by NRC or your own staff) with discussion of results among elected officials highlights the transparency of findings. If independence of the findings is particularly important in your community, working with NRC to make the presentation of results will be particularly effective.

**Department Managers and Line Staff** • Managers and staff will examine ratings most closely aligned to their work. Make a plan to disseminate results to line staff (e.g., through a series of small group meetings). Staff should be encouraged to identify specific areas where action is suggested – including further research as well as service enhancements or partnerships outside of the organization. These suggestions could be sent to the department heads who will meet to discuss action options with the chief administrative officer.

**Elected officials** • Elected officials benefit most from advance distribution of survey reports prior to public presentation and discussion. Ask elected officials to read the survey documents and funnel questions to staff who then can get assistance with answers from NRC professionals, when needed. Staff should develop an approach to action that can be presented to council. This way staff will be prepared when the inevitable council question is asked of the manager, "What do you plan to do with these results so that they don't just sit on a shelf?"

**Non-profits and Businesses** • While local governments sponsor The NCS, it is not just for staff and elected officials. It is a document to engage the entire community. Many of the findings of the survey will be relevant to the non-profit and business sectors and many community improvements will rest on the shoulders of these sectors as much as on government. Convene a meeting of business and non-profit leaders to release results and begin a discussion of actions to improve resident attitudes and behaviors. This could be a town hall-style meeting or a special invitation lunch with elected officials.

**Press/Media** • Getting in front of your results means controlling how and when results are shared with the press. Whether your relationship with the local news media is cooperative or contentious, you should declare your intentions for the results even before the survey is conducted – then reinforce those intentions once you have the results. Let the press know that there are no bad results and that your community conducts The NCS because it intends to learn and improve like the best businesses. Certainly social media outlets also permit you to express your intentions for results and to interpret the findings for any of your followers. (And do not forget to link subsequent decisions to what you learned from the survey.)

## **Choosing a Report Audience**

You can follow or adapt to your needs NRC's recommendations for sharing The NCS reports with different stakeholder groups in your community. There is no reason to withhold any report from any individual or stakeholder group, but if targeting the right information to the right audience is seen to be of value, we believe that these distinctions among audiences will make the first pass at distributing results most effective.

Report	Residents	Elected officials	Department managers and line staff	Non-profits and businesses	Press/ Media
Community Livability Report	•	•	•	•	•
Dashboard Summary of Findings			•		
Technical Appendices			•		
Trends over Time	•	•	•	0	•
Presentation of key findings	•	•	•		•
Subgroup comparisons (demographic and/or geographic)		0	•	0	
Open-ended Question Responses		0	0	0	
Guide to Understanding and Using Your Reports			•		

### Sharing The NCS Reports with Different Sectors

•=Recommended

o=Optional

These stakeholder groups may wish to "drill down" into the results most meaningful or pertinent to their missions. Those wishing to drill down should review the questionnaire first and decide which survey items are relevant to their mission – choosing from not only specific municipality-provided services, but also those "community outcomes" that they wish to impact. The Dashboard Summary of Findings and Community Livability Report provide an overview, while the Technical Appendices provide the detailed survey responses and benchmark results. The Trends over Time can show how stakeholders' efforts have impacted the community over the years. Demographic and Geographic Subgroup Comparisons reports can help to point out on whom and where impacts have been felt to lesser and greater degrees.

## **Community Livability Report**

Using the model of the eight facets of community livability within the three pillars of community, The NCS Community Livability report is divided into seven sections:

- About
- Quality of Life
- Community Characteristics
- Governance
- Participation
- Special Topics
- Conclusions

**About** • This section provides background on The NCS and community livability with brief descriptions of the survey methods.

**Quality of Life** • This section of the report highlights areas of community strength and challenge, as well as identifying community characteristics most important to your residents' assessments of their quality of life. A summary of benchmark comparisons is presented by the eight community livability facets helping communities to focus on areas that may provide "bigger bang for your buck."

**Community Characteristics** • This section of the report describes residents' ratings of the characteristics that make a community livable, attractive and a place where people want to be.

**Governance** • This section of the report evaluates how well the local government delivers services and meets the needs and expectations of its residents.

**Participation** • This section of the report looks at how connected residents are to the community and each other.

**Special Topics** • This section includes the custom or special questions you may have included on your survey.

**Conclusions** • Your report ends with a summary of key findings.

For the most part, the "percent positive" is reported in the report's charts. The percent positive is the combination of the top two most positive response options (i.e., "excellent" and "good," "very safe" and "somewhat safe"). For question that ask about behavior (e.g., asked on a yes/no scale or frequency scale like "never," "rarely," "sometimes," "usually," or "always") we show a combination of responses that reflects at least some behavior (e.g., percent "yes" or "always" and "usually").

On many of the questions in the survey, respondents could answer "don't know," but these "don't know" responses have been excluded from the analyses shown in the report. In other words, the tables and charts display the responses from respondents who had an opinion about a specific item. Appendix A of the Technical Appendices provides the complete set of survey frequencies, with and without "don't know" responses. The User Guide section, *Understanding Survey Research* (starting on page 17) describes how and why we remove the "don't know" responses from our analyses.

Most of the charts in your Community Livability report have been color-coded to indicate how your results compare to national benchmarks, with individual survey items grouped within the eight facets of Community Livability. At a glance, you can see how your results compare to not only each other, but to

national benchmark communities, as well. Detailed benchmark results are provided in Appendix B of the Technical Appendices and include such additional information as your rank among the comparison communities. If you chose to have custom benchmark comparisons made, the results appear in this appendix as well.



## **Dashboard Summary of Findings**

The Dashboard Summary of Findings summarizes resident ratings across the eight facets and three pillars of a livable community. The Dashboard Summary chart displays your overall performance in each facet based on each survey item's comparison to the benchmark. When most ratings were higher than the benchmark, the color is dark purple; when most ratings were lower than the benchmark, the color is the lightest purple. A mix of ratings (higher and lower than the benchmark) results in a color between the extremes.

	Commu	unity Charad	teristics		Governance			Participatior	1 I
	Higher	Similar	Lower	Higher	Similar	Lower	Higher	Similar	Lower
Overall	20	25	7	28	12	6	5	14	14
General	2	5	0	2	1	0	1	1	1
Safety	0	1	2	6	1	0	1	1	0
Mobility	4	2	2	2	4	2	1	1	0
Natural Environment	3	0	0	5	1	0	0	1	2
Built Environment	0	4	1	3	3	1	0	2	0
Economy	2	5	1	0	0	1	1	2	0
Recreation and Wellness	3	4	0	4	0	0	1	2	2
Education and Enrichment	3	2	0	1	0	1	0	1	2
Community Engagement	3	2	1	5	2	1	0	3	7
Legend									
Higher									
Similar									
Lower									

The Detailed Dashboard displays for each item on the survey, its comparison to the benchmark and the percent positive for the current year, and if applicable, how the current year's rating compares to the previous year's rating (higher, similar or lower). Examination of how areas are trending over time and how they compare to the benchmark can be helpful in identifying the areas that merit more attention.

	Community Characteristics	Trend	Benchmark	Percent positive	Governance	Trend	Benchmark	Percent positive	Participation	Trend	Benchmark	Percent positive
	Overall appearance	Ļ	1 1	74%	Customer service	↔	Ť	81%	Sense of community	↔	↔	78%
	Overall quality of life	Ļ	÷	82%	Services provided by ABC	÷	Î	79%	Recommend ABC	Ļ	↔	75%
General	Place to retire	↔	÷	65%	Services provided by the Federal Government	Î	÷	45%	Remain in ABC	↔	1	83%
Ğ	Place to raise children	Ļ		77%					Contacted ABC employees	1 Î	Ļ	51%
G	Place to live	Ļ		84%								
	Neighborhood	Ļ		78%								
	Overall image	↔	1 î	87%								
	Overall feeling of safety	*	*	89%	Police		11	82%	Was NOT the victim of a crime		1	89%
	Safe in neighborhood	Ļ	÷	92%	Crime prevention	÷	Ť	70%	Did NOT report a crime to police	*	*	82%
Safety	Safe downtown/commercial area	÷	÷	87%	Fire	÷	Ϋ́ Τ	94%	Stocked supplies for an emergency	*	*	42%
Ň					Fire prevention	↔	Î	80%				
					Ambulance/EMS	÷	1	91%				
					Emergency preparedness	Î	11	66%				
					Animal control	Ļ	÷	62%				
	Overall ease of travel	↔	↔	75%	Traffic enforcement	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	66%	Walked or biked instead of driving	*	*	35%
	Traffic flow	↔	$\leftrightarrow$	49%	Street repair	Ļ	11	43%	Carpooled instead of driving alone	*	*	42%
Mobility	Travel by car	↔	t†	64%	Street cleaning	↔	tt.	66%	Used public transportation instead of driving	*	*	19%
Σ	Travel by bicycle	Ļ	1 î	55%	Street lighting		<b>+</b>	60%				
	Ease of walking	↓ ↓	11	66%	Snow removal	Ļ	Ļ	60%				
	Travel by public transportation	1	↓↓	45%	Sidewalk maintenance			55%				
	Paths and walking trails	Ļ	11	62%	Traffic signal timing	↔		52%				
					Bus or transit services	↔	11	60%				

Legend

 $\uparrow\uparrow$  Much higher  $\uparrow$  Higher  $\leftrightarrow$  Similar  $\downarrow$  Lower  $\downarrow\downarrow$  Much lower \* Not available

## **Technical Appendices**

## **Appendix A: Complete Survey Responses**

The first appendix in this document shows the responses to each question on the survey in two ways. Included first are the responses excluding any "don't know" responses and second are the responses including the "don't know" responses. We show both the percent of respondents giving a particular response followed by the number of respondents (denoted with "N="). Every table in the appendix is numbered, to ease its reference in additional documentation or reports you may develop. The complete question wording that was used on the survey is also displayed in every table. This permits readers to review the results in their entirety without having to cross-reference the survey instrument.

High "don't know" (typically 20% or greater) responses can suggest a need for additional communication or outreach in the community, especially if the high "don't know" responses are related to underused services.

#### **Responses excluding don't know**

Table 1: Question 1										
lease rate each of the following aspects of quality of life in ABC:		cellent	Good		F	air	Poor		Total	
ABC as a place to live	47%	N=162	36%	N=125	12%	N=42	4%	N=15	100%	N=344
Your neighborhood as a place to live	45%	N=152	39%	N=132	12%	N=42	3%	N=11	100%	N=337
ABC as a place to raise children	54%	N=152	24%	N=69	18%	N=50	4%	N=12	100%	N=283
ABC as a place to work	34%	N=98	36%	N=102	22%	N=62	8%	N=23	100%	N=285
ABC as a place to visit	72%	N=214	22%	N=66	2%	N=5	4%	N=12	100%	N=297
ABC as a place to retire	40%	N=97	30%	N=72	18%	N=44	13%	N=31	100%	N=244
The overall quality of life in ABC	55%	N=187	35%	N=117	5%	N=18	4%	N=15	100%	N=337

#### **Responses including don't know**

Table 30: Question 1												
Please rate each of the following aspects of quality of life in ABC:	Exc	ellent	G	iood	F	air	P	oor	Don't	know	To	otal
ABC as a place to live	47%	N=162	36%	N=125	12%	N=42	4%	N=15	1%	N=4	100%	N=348
Your neighborhood as a place to live	45%	N=152	39%	N=132	12%	N=42	3%	N=11	1%	N=3	100%	N=340
ABC as a place to raise children	46%	N=152	21%	N=69	15%	N=50	4%	N=12	13%	N=44	100%	N=327
ABC as a place to work	29%	N=98	30%	N=102	18%	N=62	7%	N=23	15%	N=52	100%	N=337
ABC as a place to visit	71%	N=214	22%	N=66	2%	N=5	4%	N=12	2%	N=5	100%	N=302
ABC as a place to retire	31%	N=97	23%	N=72	14%	N=44	10%	N=31	21%	N=64	100%	N=308
The overall quality of life in ABC	54%	N=187	34%	N=117	5%	N=18	4%	N=15	2%	N=7	100%	N=344

For some questions, respondents are permitted to select more than one response. When some respondents are counted in multiple categories, the total will likely exceed 100%. In these cases, those multiple response questions will have the appropriate notation below the table.

Table 55: Question D14		
What is your race? (Mark one or more races to indicate what race(s) you consider yourself to be.)	Percent	Number
American Indian or Alaskan Native	4%	N=15
Asian, Asian Indian or Pacific Islander	6%	N=20
Black or African American	10%	N=35
White	86%	N=298
Other	15%	N=52

Total may exceed 100% as respondents could select more than one option.

## **Appendix B: Benchmark Comparisons**

#### What Benchmarks Are

Benchmarks are comparison data that provide context for your ratings. In Appendix B, your detailed benchmark results are displayed in a table of five columns. The first column is the survey item for which the comparisons have been provided. The second column is your community's percent positive. The third column is the rank assigned to your rating among communities where a similar question was asked. The fourth column is the number of communities that asked a similar question. The fifth and final column shows how your rating compares to the other communities in the benchmarking database. In that final column, your results are noted as being "higher" than the benchmark, "lower" than the benchmark or "similar" to the benchmark, meaning that the average rating given by residents of your community is statistically similar to or different (greater or lesser) than the benchmark. More extreme differences are noted as "much higher" or "much lower."

#### **National Benchmark Comparisons**

Table 1: Community Characteristics General

	Percent positive	Rank	Number of communities in comparison	Comparison to benchmark
The overall quality of life in ABC	83%	98	286	Similar
Overall image or reputation of ABC	84%	67	315	Much higher
ABC as a place to live	78%	118	255	Similar
Your neighborhood as a place to live	89%	80	374	Higher
ABC as a place to raise children	75%	135	325	Lower
ABC as a place to retire	72%	129	298	Much lower
Overall appearance of ABC	70%	168	354	Similar

We also provide a list of the communities included in your comparison with their population according to the U.S. Census Bureau. The communities in the national database represent a wide geographic and population range; many communities find a custom comparison that targets specific geographies or populations to be useful.

#### **Communities included in national comparisons**

The communities included in ABC's comparisons are listed on the following pages along with their population according to the 2010 Census.

Abilene city, KS	6,844
Adams County, CO	441,603
Airway Heights city, WA	
Albany city, GA	
Albany city, OR	
Albemarle County, VA	
Albert Lea city, MN	
Altoona city, IA	
Ambridge borough, PA	
Ames city, IA	
Andover CDP, MA	
Ankeny city, IA	
······································	

Cambridge city, MA	105.162
Cape Coral city, FL	
Cape Girardeau city, MO	37,941
Cartersville city, GA	19,731
Carver County, MN	
Cary town, NC	135,234
Casa Grande city, AZ	
Casper city, WY	55,316
Castle Pines North city, CO	10,360
Castle Rock town, CO	48,231
Cedar Falls city, IA	39,260
Cedar Rapids city, IA	126,326

### What Benchmarks Are Not

Benchmarks do not tell you what you need to fix. In this way, benchmarks are not like blood tests that carry a range, often narrow, within which you are considered to be healthy and outside of which you could be sick. A local score that is lower than scores typically seen in other places may indicate nothing more than community sentiment that resonates. For example, a suburb located near a large metropolitan center many not be seen to have as strong an economy as other places. This residential suburb's commercial areas are not seen to be as vibrant as other places, may have a higher cost of living, fewer jobs and may have ceded downtown activities to a nearby metro area that has much higher density and more entertainment opportunities. A lower benchmark rating for "economy" simply offers specifics to the community identity which residents and leaders may feel no need to ameliorate. Instead

this hypothetical community may want to focus its resources on sustaining or strengthening its image as a safe place with many recreation opportunities and ease of travel by car and light rail.

## How to Use Benchmarks

Many of the charts and tables in The NCS reports have been color-coded to indicate how your results compare to national benchmarks.

Benchmark comparisons often are used for performance measurement. Communities use the comparative information to help interpret their own citizen survey results, to create or revise community plans, to evaluate the success of policy or budget decisions and to measure local government performance. Taking the pulse of the community has little meaning without knowing what pulse rate is too high and what is too low. When surveys of service satisfaction turn up "good" citizen evaluations, jurisdictions need to know how others rate their services to understand if "good" is good enough. Furthermore, in the absence of national or peer community comparisons, a jurisdiction is left with comparing its fire protection rating to its street maintenance rating. That comparison is unfair. Streets always lose to fire. More important and harder questions need to be asked; for example, how do residents' ratings of fire service compare to opinions about fire service in other communities?

A police department that provides the fastest and most efficient service – one that closes most of its cases, solves most of its crimes and keeps the crime rate low – still has a problem to fix if the perception of residents in the community it intends to protect is not so strong. The benchmark data can help that police department – or any department – to understand how well citizens think it is doing. Without the comparative data, it would be like bowling in a tournament without knowing what the other teams are scoring. NRC recommends that citizen opinion be used in conjunction with other sources of data about budget, personnel and politics to help managers know how to respond to comparative results.

NRC's database of comparative resident opinion is comprised of resident perspectives gathered in surveys from over 500 communities whose residents evaluated the same kinds of topics on The NCS. The comparison evaluations are from the most recent survey completed in each community; most communities conduct surveys every year or in alternating years. NRC adds the latest results quickly upon survey completion, keeping the benchmark data fresh and relevant. The Basic Service includes national benchmark comparisons. If you chose a custom benchmarks comparison as an additional service to the basic NCS, these comparison will appear in this appendix, as well.

Jurisdictions in the benchmark database are distributed geographically across the country and range from small to large in population size. Data come from tens of thousands of individual evaluations of community quality, service delivery and engagement. Despite the differences in jurisdiction characteristics, all are in the business of facilitating a high quality of life for residents, typically by providing local government services to residents. Though individual jurisdiction circumstances, resources and practices vary, the objective virtually everywhere is to help create and sustain highly livable communities.

## Where Benchmarks Come From

NRC has been leading the strategic use of surveys for local governments since 1991, when the principals of the company wrote the first edition of what became the classic text on citizen surveying. In *Citizen Surveys: how to do them, how to use them, what they mean,* published by ICMA, not only were the principles for quality survey methods articulated, but both the idea of benchmark data for citizen opinion and the method for gathering benchmark data were pioneered. The argument for benchmarks was called "In Search of Standards." "What has been missing from a local government's analysis of its survey results is the context that school administrators can supply when they tell parents how an 80 percent score on the social studies test compares to test results from other school systems..."

Surveys in the benchmarks are conducted with typically no fewer than 400 residents in each jurisdiction, opinions are intended to represent over 30 million Americans. NRC innovated a method for quantitatively integrating the results of surveys that are conducted by NRC with those that others have conducted. The integration methods have been thoroughly described not only in the *Citizen Surveys* book, but also in *Public Administration Review* and the *Journal of Policy Analysis and Management*. Scholars who specialize in the analysis of citizen surveys regularly have relied on this work.<sup>4</sup> The method described in those publications is refined regularly and statistically tested on a growing number of citizen surveys in NRC's proprietary databases. NRC's work on calculating national benchmarks for resident opinions about service delivery and quality of life won the Samuel C. May award for research excellence from the Western Governmental Research Association.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> See, for example: Kelly, J. & Swindell, D. (2002). Service quality variation across urban space: First steps towards a model of citizen satisfaction. *Journal of Urban Affairs*, 24, 271-288 and Van Ryzin, G., Muzzio, D., Immerwahr, S., Gulick, L. & Martinez, E. (2004). Drivers and consequences of citizen satisfaction: An application of the American Customer Satisfaction Index Model to New York City, *Public Administration Review*, 64, 331- 341.

## **Trends over Time Report**

If you have conducted The NCS before, you will automatically receive the Trends over Time report. In this report we show your percent positive ratings by year, how your most current results compare to your previous year's results and how you have compared to the national benchmark for each survey year.

The Trends over Time Report provides insight on the aspects of your community that may be improving or perhaps starting to decline. While trends for your national benchmark comparisons are provided for reference, the benchmark is constantly changing as communities conduct newer surveys or new communities conduct surveys and resident perspectives change. Overall, your trends represent, perhaps, the most powerful benchmark you have – a comparison of you to yourself in prior years. These trends can be a window into the impact of new policies, capital projects or programs in your community.

	Percent rating positively (e.g., excellent/good)		2013 compared to	Comparison to benchmark			
	2009	2011	2013	2011	2009	2011	2013
Overall quality of life	68%	74%	79%	Similar	Much lower	Similar	Similar
Overall image	76%	80%	78%	Similar	Similar	Higher	Similar
Place to live	72%	71%	82%	Higher	Similar	Similar	Much higher
Neighborhood	79%	84%	91%	Similar	Higher	Higher	Higher
Place to raise children	65%	69%	78%	Similar	Lower	Much higher	Higher
Place to retire	NA	NA	60%	NA	NA	NA	Much lower
Overall appearance	88%	87%	90%	Similar	Similar	Similar	Similar

#### Table 1: Community Quality Overall

## Demographic and Geographic Subgroup Comparison Reports

An additional service many participants in The NCS choose is comparison of results by respondent characteristics. In the Demographic Subgroup Comparison Report, each survey question is cross-classified by responses from different demographic groups in your community. We typically show five demographic groupings (housing unit type, housing tenure, age, gender and race/ethnicity) so that you can see if results differ depending on the demographic category of respondent. The Geographic Subgroup Comparison Report is another optional service that compares survey responses by subgroups, in this case, based on respondents' location (e.g., district, neighborhood, ward, etc.). In order to create a report of geographic comparisons, the geographic subareas will need to be determined well before the survey mailing.

In these subgroup comparison reports, we show the percent positive rating and shade "statistically significant" differences grey. The shading is based on analysis of variance and chi-square tests of statistical significance where a "p-value" of 0.05 or less indicates that there is less than a 5% probability that differences observed among subgroups are due to chance; or in other words, a greater than 95% probability that there are differences that exist in the subgroups being compared.

Table 1: Community	Characteris	stics Genera	al									
	Housing	unit type	Housing	tenure	nure Age		Gender		Race/Ethnicity			
Percent rating positively (e.g., excellent/good)	Detached	Attached	Rent	Own	18-34	35-54	55+	Female	Male	White alone, not Hispanic	Hispanic and/or other race	Overall
The overall quality of life in ABC	58%	55%	53%	58%	55%	56%	59%	60%	54%	43%	56%	57%
Overall image or reputation of ABC	62%	55%	56%	59%	59%	56%	60%	56%	61%	58%	55%	58%
ABC as a place to live	68%	67%	64%	69%	64%	69%	69%	68%	68%	68%	67%	68%
Your neighborhood as a place to live	50%	49%	46%	51%	53%	49%	47%	50%	49%	49%	47%	50%
ABC as a place to raise children	63%	62%	62%	63%	62%	62%	65%	64%	62%	62%	55%	63%
ABC as a place to retire	60%	59%	57%	60%	60%	58%	61%	60%	58%	60%	60%	60%
Overall appearance of ABC	55%	50%	43%	56%	50%	52%	57%	52%	53%	52%	57%	53%

Table 1: Community Characteristics General					
Percent rating positively (e.g., excellent/good)	District 1	District 2	District 3	District 4	Overall
The overall quality of life in ABC	53%	59%	58%	59%	57%
Overall image or reputation of ABC	50%	61%	60%	60%	58%
ABC as a place to live	60%	72%	72%	74%	68%
Your neighborhood as a place to live	45%	58%	50%	48%	50%
ABC as a place to raise children	57%	66%	65%	67%	63%
ABC as a place to retire	55%	65%	60%	65%	60%
Overall appearance of ABC	50%	52%	53%	59%	53%

Demographic subgroup comparisons can help with creating targeted communication and service campaigns to address the concerns of each group.

Geographic subgroup comparisons can help demonstrate the sense of equity felt across the community since residents in some parts of every community tend to feel better than do those in other areas about the services they receive or the livability of their neighborhood. Results from geographic subgroup comparisons will permit targeting of services, capital improvements and programs so that residents in all areas can feel that they are receiving their fair share of resources.

## **Open-ended Question Responses**

The NCS standard questions are close-ended. A closed-ended question is one where a set of response options is listed as fixed choices on the survey and those taking the survey respond to each option listed. Open-ended questions have no answer choices from which respondents select their response. Instead, respondents must "create" their own answers and state them in their own words. The inclusion of an open-ended question is available as an additional service for The NCS that results in a separate Report of Open-ended Questions.

On the survey, respondents write, in their own words, their answer to the posed open-ended questions. In this report, the verbatim responses are categorized by topic area using qualitative coding techniques. Often, an "other" category is used for responses falling outside these coded categories. In general, a code is assigned when the number of related responses reaches a critical mass.

We will provide a table showing the frequency of each code to give a general overview of the responses.

Table 1: Question 13	
What one thing do you like most about living in ABC?	2013
Location	38%
My neighborhood	16%
Quality of life in general	10%
Parks and open space	14%
Rural character	8%
Small town feel	7%
Other	7%
Total	100%

We also provide every verbatim response with its assigned code. This type of report gives you and others a chance to "hear" the voice of respondents in their own words.

## **Verbatim Responses**

The following pages contain the respondents' verbatim responses as written on the survey and have not been edited for spelling or grammar. Responses have been organized by coded topic areas.

#### What one thing do you like most about living in ABC?

#### Location

- Access to everything
- Actual location
- Close to city
- Close to metro
- Close to my office
- Close to town
- Close to work
- · Close to work and everything is handy
- Convenience

#### My neighborhood

- Appearance of the neighborhoods
- Friendly people next door
- Good neighborhood
- Great neighborhood
- I love my neighborhood!

- Convenience
- Convenience
- Everything is handy and close
- I like living south of the river
- It's convenient to everything meaning shopping and the airport
- Location
- Location
- · Proximity to downtown
- Just happy with the neighborhood I live in
- Lots of kids in the neighborhood
- Neighbors
- My neighborhood

# **Understanding Survey Research**

## **Survey Sampling**

We systematically select households from a geocoded United States Postal Service (USPS) address list to ensure that only households located within the boundaries of a community are surveyed. Systematic sampling is a procedure whereby a complete list of all eligible addresses is culled, selecting every N<sup>th</sup> one (a number that changes depending on the size of the population and the sample size to be selected) until the appropriate number of addresses is sampled. Not only does NRC scientifically and randomly sample households to participate in The NCS, but we also select, without bias, the household member to participate. This methodology helps ensure that the attitudes expressed by our respondent sample closely approximate the attitudes of all adult residents living in the community. Without controlling who in the household participates, it is likely that results would be biased towards those who are more sedentary and those without jobs (who may have different opinions about some services).

The Basic Service of The NCS includes mailing to randomly selected households. Though response rates across the US have dipped in recent years, the response rate for most administrations of The NCS ranges between 20% and 40%, which yields between 300 and 480 completed surveys.

## Margin of Error and Confidence Intervals

It is customary to describe the precision of estimates made from surveys by a "level of confidence" and accompanying "confidence interval" (or margin of error). A traditional level of confidence, and the one used for The NCS, is 95%. The 95% confidence interval can be any size and quantifies the sampling error or imprecision of the survey results because *some* residents' opinions are used to estimate

*all* residents' opinions. The relationship between sample size and precision of estimates or margin of error (at the 95% confidence level) is shown in the adjacent table. With a typical sample size for The NCS, this means an estimated margin of error at the 95% confidence level of plus or minus four to six percentage points.

A 95% confidence interval indicates that for every 100 random samples of the same number of residents, 95 of the confidence intervals created will include the "true" population response. This theory is applied in practice to mean that the "true" perspective of the target population lies within the confidence interval created for a single survey. For example, if 75% of residents rate a service as "excellent" or "good," then the 4% margin of error (for the 95% confidence interval) indicates that the range of likely responses for the entire community is between 71% and 79%. This source of uncertainty is called sampling error. In addition to sampling error, other sources of error may affect any survey, including the non-response of residents with opinions different from survey responders. Though standardized on The NCS, on other surveys, differences in question wording, order, translation and data entry, as examples, can lead to somewhat varying results.

For subgroups of responses, the margin of error increases because the sample size for the subgroup is smaller. For subgroups of approximately 100 respondents, the margin of error is plus or minus 10 percentage points.

Number of completed surveys	Margin of error				
100	±9.8%				
300	±5.7%				
400	±4.9%				
500	±4.4%				
750	±3.6%				

## **Non-response Bias**

Knowing that residents in single family dwellings are more likely to respond to a mail survey, NRC oversamples residents of multi-family dwellings to ensure their proper representation in the sample data. Rather than giving all residents an equal chance of receiving the survey, this is systematic, stratified sampling, which gives each resident of the community a known chance of receiving the survey (and apartment dwellers, for example, a greater chance than single family home dwellers).

## Weighting

The first step in preparing the data for analysis is to weight the data to reflect the demographic profile of the residents of the community being surveyed. Weighting is the approach used by quality survey consultancies to ensure that the demographic characteristics of the sample mirror the overall population. It is an important method to adjust for potential non-response bias. NRC uses a special software program of mathematical algorithms to calculate the appropriate weights. Several different weighting "schemes" may be tested to ensure the best fit for the data.

## "Don't know" Responses

Generally, a small portion of respondents select "don't know" for most survey items and inevitably some items have a larger "don't know" percentage. Comparing responses to a set of items on the same scale can be misleading when the "don't know" responses have been included. If two items have disparate "don't know" percentages (2% versus 17%, for example), any apparent similarities or differences across the remaining response options may disappear once the "don't know" responses are removed. Such an example is shown below.

When comparing the community as a place to live to the community as a place to work, it would appear that 76% of respondents rated the community as a place to live as "excellent" or "good" compared to just 63% for the community as a place to work. However, the community as a place to work has a much higher proportion of respondents answering "don't know" (17% compared to 2%).

	Place	to live	Place to work		
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	
Excellent	48	25%	38	20%	
Good	97	51%	81	43%	
Fair	23	12%	22	12%	
Poor	19	10%	17	9%	
Don't know	3	2%	32	17%	
Total	190	100%	190	100%	

If we remove the three "don't know" responses from the community as a place to live and the 32 "don't know" responses from the community as a place to work, the two items are actually much more similar in their evaluations: 78% "excellent" or "good" place to live compared to 75% "excellent" or "good" place to work.

	Place	to live	Place to work		
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	
Excellent	48	26%	38	24%	
Good	97	52%	81	51%	
Fair	23	12%	22	14%	
Poor	19	10%	17	11%	
Total	187	100%	158	100%	
## **Response Scale**

The scale on which respondents are asked to record their opinions about service and community quality is "excellent," "good," "fair" or "poor" (EGFP). This scale has important advantages over other scale possibilities (very good to very bad; very satisfied to very dissatisfied; strongly agree to strongly disagree, as examples). EGFP is used by the plurality of communities conducting citizen surveys across the U.S. The advantage of familiarity was one that NRC did not want to dismiss when crafting The NCS questionnaire, because elected officials, staff and residents already are acquainted with opinion surveys measured this way. EGFP also has the advantage of offering three positive options, rather than only two, over which a resident can offer an opinion. While symmetrical scales often are the right choice in other measurement tasks, NRC has found that ratings of almost every local government service in almost every community tend, on average, to be positive (that is, above the scale midpoint). Therefore, to permit finer distinctions among positively rated services, EGFP offers three options across which to spread those ratings. With questions worded for EGFP, responses are more neutral because they require no positive statement of service quality to judge (as agree-disagree scales require) and, finally, EGFP intends to measure absolute quality of service delivery or community quality (unlike satisfaction scales which ignore residents' perceptions of quality in favor of their report on the acceptability of the level of service offered).



# Scottsdale, AZ

**Technical Appendices** 

2018



2955 Valmont Road Suite 300 Boulder, Colorado 80301 n-r-c.com • 303-444-7863



777 North Capitol Street NE Suite 500 Washington, DC 20002 icma.org • 800-745-8780

## Contents

Appendix A:	Complete Survey Responses	1
Appendix B:	Benchmark Comparisons	21
Appendix C:	Detailed Survey Methods	30
Appendix D:	Survey Materials	36



The National Citizen Survey™ © 2001-2018 National Research Center, Inc.

The  $\mathsf{NCS}^\mathsf{TM}$  is presented by NRC in collaboration with ICMA.

NRC is a charter member of the AAPOR Transparency Initiative, providing clear disclosure of our sound and ethical survey research practices.

## **Appendix A: Complete Survey Responses**

#### Responses excluding "don't know"

The following pages contain a complete set of responses to each question on the survey, excluding the "don't know" responses. The percent of respondents giving a particular response is shown followed by the number of respondents (denoted with "N=").

Please rate each of the following aspects of quality of life in Scottsdale:		Excellent		Go	od		Fair		Poo	-	Tot	tal
Scottsdale as a place to live	659	% N=	197	33%	N=99	3%	N=	8 (	)%	N=0	100%	N=304
Your neighborhood as a place to live	589	% N=	173	37%	N=113	4%	N=	13 1	%	N=3	100%	N=301
Scottsdale as a place to raise children	519	% N=	121	35%	N=83	10%	N=2	24 3	3%	N=8	100%	N=236
Scottsdale as a place to work	539	% N=	133	37%	N=92	8%	N=	19 3	3%	N=6	100%	N=250
Scottsdale as a place to visit	699	% N=	202	27%	N=79	4%	N=	11 0	)%	N=0	100%	N=293
Scottsdale as a place to retire	619	% N=	167	29%	N=79	8%	N=	21 2	2%	N=6	100%	N=273
The overall quality of life in Scottsdale	599	% N=	178	37%	N=112	4%	N=	13 0	)%	N=0	100%	N=303
Table 2: Question 2 Please rate each of the following characteristics as they relate to Scottsdale as a	whole:			cellent	-	ood		air	_	Poor		Fotal
Overall feeling of safety in Scottsdale	whole.		44%	N=132	51%	N=153	5%	N=15	0%	N=0	100%	
Overall ease of getting to the places you usually have to visit			36%	N=109	46%	N=141	16%	N=48	2%	N=5	100%	N=302
Quality of overall natural environment in Scottsdale			46%	N=138	46%	N=137	8%	N=24	1%	N=3	100%	N=302
Overall "built environment" of Scottsdale (including overall design, buildings, par transportation systems)	ks and		31%	N=94	48%	N=144	18%	N=54	3%	N=9	100%	N=300
Health and wellness opportunities in Scottsdale			57%	N=165	33%	N=95	10%	N=28	1%	N=2	100%	N=290
Overall opportunities for education and enrichment			38%	N=103	44%	N=119	14%	N=39	3%	N=8	100%	N=269
Overall economic health of Scottsdale			34%	N=94	54%	N=147	10%	N=26	2%	N=6	100%	N=273
Sense of community			21%	N=60	33%	N=93	33%	N=95	13%	N=38	100%	N=287
Overall image or reputation of Scottsdale			49%	N=148	41%	N=122	8%	N=25	2%	N=5	100%	N=300
Table 3: Question 3												
Please indicate how likely or unlikely you are to do each of the following:	Ver	y likely	So	mewhat li	kely	Somewh	at unlike	ly	Very ur	nlikely	То	otal
Recommend living in Scottsdale to someone who asks	73%	N=222	23	% N	=70	3%	N=9	-	1%	N=3	100%	N=304
Remain in Scottsdale for the next five years	77%	N=230	13	% N	=38	4%	N=13	3 (	5%	N=17	100%	N=298

Table 4: Question 4

Please rate how safe or unsafe you feel:	Ver	y safe	Somewhat safe		Neither sa	ife nor unsafe	Somewhat unsafe		e Very unsafe		Т	otal
In your neighborhood during the day	81%	N=247	17%	N=51	2%	2% N=6 (		N=1	0%	N = 0	100%	N=305
In Scottsdale's downtown/commercial area during the day	65%	N=189	31%	N=90	4%	N=12	1%	N=2	0%	N=0	100%	N=292

Please rate each of the following characteristics as they relate to Scottsdale as a whole:	Exc	ellent	G	ood	F	air	Po	oor	Тс	otal
Traffic flow on major streets	7%	N=22	49%	N=147	33%	N=101	11%	N=33	100%	N=302
Ease of public parking	16%	N=48	50%	N=146	25%	N=74	9%	N=26	100%	N=295
Ease of travel by car in Scottsdale	22%	N=67	48%	N=146	25%	N=74	5%	N=14	100%	N=301
Ease of travel by public transportation in Scottsdale	8%	N=14	35%	N=60	27%	N=47	30%	N=52	100%	N=172
Ease of travel by bicycle in Scottsdale	22%	N=43	40%	N=78	27%	N=53	10%	N=20	100%	N=194
Ease of walking in Scottsdale	36%	N=101	39%	N=110	16%	N=45	9%	N=26	100%	N=282
Availability of paths and walking trails	45%	N=131	38%	N=110	13%	N=38	4%	N=12	100%	N=290
Air quality	19%	N=56	44%	N=131	27%	N=81	9%	N=26	100%	N=294
Cleanliness of Scottsdale	44%	N=132	45%	N=136	11%	N=34	0%	N = 1	100%	N=304
Overall appearance of Scottsdale	48%	N=146	42%	N=126	10%	N=30	0%	N = 0	100%	N=302
Public places where people want to spend time	43%	N=125	43%	N=126	14%	N=40	1%	N=3	100%	N=294
Variety of housing options	24%	N=68	40%	N=115	27%	N=76	9%	N=27	100%	N=286
Availability of affordable quality housing	5%	N=14	31%	N=84	31%	N=83	33%	N=90	100%	N=271
Fitness opportunities (including exercise classes and paths or trails, etc.)	50%	N=144	36%	N=105	10%	N=30	3%	N=9	100%	N=289
Recreational opportunities	44%	N=128	39%	N=113	12%	N=35	4%	N=11	100%	N=287
Availability of affordable quality food	39%	N=118	39%	N=116	18%	N=54	4%	N=12	100%	N=299
Availability of affordable quality health care	37%	N=106	40%	N=116	17%	N=49	6%	N=17	100%	N=288
Availability of preventive health services	39%	N=105	44%	N=118	13%	N=35	4%	N=11	100%	N=269
Availability of affordable quality mental health care	30%	N=49	34%	N=56	19%	N=32	17%	N=28	100%	N=165

#### Table 5: Question 5

#### Table 6: Question 6

Please rate each of the following characteristics as they relate to Scottsdale as a whole:	Exc	ellent	G	iood		air	P	oor	T	otal
Availability of affordable quality child care/preschool	19%	N=20	33%	N=34	36%	N=37	12%	N=13	100%	N=104
K-12 education	19%	N=30	48%	N=76	24%	N=38	10%	N=16	100%	N=159
Adult educational opportunities	19%	N=36	46%	N=86	28%	N=51	7%	N=12	100%	N=185
Opportunities to attend cultural/arts/music activities	36%	N=99	47%	N=132	14%	N=38	3%	N=9	100%	N=277
Opportunities to participate in religious or spiritual events and activities	41%	N=83	43%	N=87	14%	N=28	2%	N=5	100%	N=203
Employment opportunities	20%	N=42	51%	N=107	25%	N=52	4%	N=9	100%	N=209
Shopping opportunities	67%	N=201	28%	N=84	3%	N=10	1%	N=3	100%	N=298
Cost of living in Scottsdale	5%	N=14	38%	N=111	42%	N=125	15%	N=44	100%	N=295
Overall quality of business and service establishments in Scottsdale	28%	N=83	58%	N=169	13%	N=38	1%	N=3	100%	N=292
Vibrant downtown/commercial area	44%	N=125	41%	N=117	15%	N=42	1%	N=3	100%	N=288
Overall quality of new development in Scottsdale	29%	N=78	42%	N=111	19%	N=49	11%	N=28	100%	N=266
Opportunities to participate in social events and activities	33%	N=87	48%	N=128	17%	N=44	2%	N=6	100%	N=266
Opportunities to volunteer	32%	N=68	51%	N=106	14%	N=29	3%	N=6	100%	N=209
Opportunities to participate in community matters	18%	N=39	50%	N=108	26%	N=55	6%	N=13	100%	N=214
Openness and acceptance of the community toward people of diverse backgrounds	17%	N=43	38%	N=96	30%	N=74	15%	N=36	100%	N=249
Neighborliness of residents in Scottsdale	16%	N=46	41%	N=120	31%	N=91	12%	N=35	100%	N=291
Helping new residents feel connected and integrated	11%	N=28	32%	N=78	37%	N=93	20%	N=49	100%	N=247
Demonstrating respect for residents of different cultures and belief systems	16%	N=40	38%	N=94	35%	N=88	11%	N=29	100%	N=250

#### Table 7: Question 7

Please indicate whether or not you have done each of the following in the last 12 months.		No		Yes	T	otal
Made efforts to conserve water	16%	N=48	84%	N=254	100%	N=302
Made efforts to make your home more energy efficient	26%	N=79	74%	N=221	100%	N=300
Observed a code violation or other hazard in Scottsdale (weeds, abandoned buildings, etc.)	60%	N=178	40%	N=121	100%	N=299
Household member was a victim of a crime in Scottsdale	92%	N=274	8%	N=25	100%	N=299
Reported a crime to the police in Scottsdale	83%	N=249	17%	N=51	100%	N=300
Stocked supplies in preparation for an emergency	79%	N=238	21%	N=62	100%	N=300
Campaigned or advocated for an issue, cause or candidate	66%	N=198	34%	N=101	100%	N=299
Contacted the City of Scottsdale (in-person, phone, email or web) for help or information	66%	N=196	34%	N=103	100%	N=299
Contacted Scottsdale elected officials (in-person, phone, email or web) to express your opinion	83%	N=249	17%	N=49	100%	N=298

#### Table 8: Question 8

In the last 12 months, about how many times, if at all, have you or other household		a week or		times a		month or	Not			atal
members done each of the following in Scottsdale?	n	nore	m	onth		ess		t at all	10	otal
Used Scottsdale recreation centers or their services	13%	N=37	19%	N=55	30%	N=89	39%	N=115	100%	N=297
Visited a neighborhood park or City park	22%	N=66	26%	N=78	35%	N=105	17%	N=50	100%	N=298
Used Scottsdale public libraries or their services	11%	N=34	17%	N=51	31%	N=92	41%	N=122	100%	N=299
Participated in religious or spiritual activities in Scottsdale	12%	N=37	18%	N=53	17%	N=51	53%	N=157	100%	N=298
Attended a City-sponsored event	2%	N=7	6%	N=19	42%	N=124	49%	N=147	100%	N=297
Used bus or other public transportation instead of driving	3%	N=8	4%	N=11	13%	N=39	81%	N=240	100%	N=298
Carpooled with other adults or children instead of driving alone	12%	N=35	17%	N=50	13%	N=39	58%	N=173	100%	N=297
Walked or biked instead of driving	15%	N=46	16%	N=47	30%	N=88	39%	N=114	100%	N=295
Volunteered your time to some group/activity in Scottsdale	6%	N=19	9%	N=26	20%	N=59	65%	N=192	100%	N=295
Participated in a club	9%	N=26	10%	N=29	13%	N=39	68%	N=200	100%	N=295
Talked to or visited with your immediate neighbors	41%	N=123	28%	N=84	20%	N=59	10%	N=31	100%	N=296
Done a favor for a neighbor	23%	N=67	23%	N=69	32%	N=95	22%	N=64	100%	N=296
Visited or used a City pool	4%	N=11	2%	N=6	8%	N=25	86%	N=255	100%	N=297
Used a City fitness facility	7%	N=21	3%	N=9	10%	N=29	80%	N=232	100%	N=289
Visited downtown for shopping, dining or to attend an event	19%	N=56	36%	N=108	37%	N=109	8%	N=25	100%	N=297

Table 9: Question 9

Thinking about local public meetings (of local elected officials like City Council or County Commissioners, advisory boards, town halls, HOA, neighborhood watch, etc.), in the last 12 months, about how many times, if at all, have you or other household members attended or watched a local public meeting?		mes a or more		times a onth		a month less	Not	at all	Тс	otal
Attended a local public meeting	0%	N=1	2%	N=6	16%	N=48	82%	N=245	100%	N=301
Watched (online or on television) a local public meeting	2%	N=6	3%	N=10	16%	N=49	78%	N=235	100%	N=299

#### Table 10: Question 10

Please rate the quality of each of the following services in Scottsdale:	Exc	ellent	G	ood	F	air	Po	oor	To	otal
Police services	46%	N=114	44%	N=111	8%	N=19	2%	N=5	100%	N=249
Fire services	61%	N=135	34%	N=75	5%	N=11	0%	N=0	100%	N=221
Ambulance or emergency medical services	58%	N=115	37%	N=73	4%	N=8	2%	N=3	100%	N=199

Please rate the quality of each of the following services in Scottsdale:		cellent	-	iood		air		oor		otal
Crime prevention	29%	N=58	56%	N=114	12%	N=25	4%	N=8	100%	N=205
Fire prevention and education	36%	N=66	52%	N=96	10%	N=19	2%	N=3	100%	N=184
Traffic enforcement	22%	N=55	48%	N=121	19%	N=48	12%	N=30	100%	N=254
Street repair	20%	N=56	47%	N=135	27%	N=76	6%	N=18	100%	N=284
Street cleaning	28%	N=78	49%	N=139	21%	N=59	2%	N=6	100%	N=282
Street lighting	23%	N=68	48%	N=142	21%	N=61	8%	N=22	100%	N=292
Sidewalk maintenance	27%	N=75	49%	N=136	20%	N=57	3%	N=9	100%	N=277
Traffic signal timing	16%	N=46	42%	N=122	26%	N=76	16%	N=45	100%	N=289
Bus or transit services	21%	N=30	45%	N=64	15%	N=21	19%	N=27	100%	N=141
Garbage collection	52%	N=139	39%	N=104	8%	N=22	1%	N=3	100%	N=268
Recycling	44%	N=121	37%	N=101	9%	N=24	10%	N=26	100%	N=273
Yard waste pick-up	48%	N=101	37%	N=79	12%	N=25	4%	N=8	100%	N=213
Storm drainage	26%	N=66	46%	N=119	19%	N=49	9%	N=23	100%	N=257
Drinking water	24%	N=67	37%	N=104	26%	N=72	13%	N=37	100%	N=279
Sewer services	36%	N=88	43%	N=106	19%	N=46	2%	N=4	100%	N=245
Utility billing	29%	N=78	45%	N=122	18%	N=49	9%	N=24	100%	N=273
City parks	44%	N=121	46%	N=127	9%	N=26	1%	N=1	100%	N=276
Recreation programs or classes	38%	N=61	48%	N=77	11%	N=18	3%	N=5	100%	N=160
Recreation centers or facilities	33%	N=59	54%	N=95	11%	N=19	2%	N=3	100%	N=175
Land use, planning and zoning	14%	N=30	37%	N=80	35%	N=75	15%	N=32	100%	N=218
Code enforcement (weeds, abandoned buildings, etc.)	21%	N=43	39%	N=78	28%	N=56	11%	N=22	100%	N=199
Animal control	27%	N=52	47%	N=90	18%	N=34	8%	N=14	100%	N=190
Economic development	25%	N=59	52%	N=125	19%	N=46	4%	N=10	100%	N=240
Public library services	60%	N=124	33%	N=69	6%	N=13	1%	N=2	100%	N=207
Public information services	28%	N=53	50%	N=97	19%	N=38	3%	N=6	100%	N=194
Cable television	21%	N=48	37%	N=85	27%	N=62	16%	N=37	100%	N=232
Emergency preparedness (services that prepare the community for natural disasters or other emergency situations)	17%	N=25	44%	N=63	33%	N=47	7%	N=10	100%	N=144
Preservation of natural areas such as open space, farmlands and greenbelts	31%	N=77	43%	N=106	20%	N=49	6%	N=15	100%	N=247
Scottsdale McDowell Sonoran Preserve	59%	N=135	29%	N=67	11%	N=24	1%	N=2	100%	N=228
City-sponsored special events	28%	N=53	54%	N=102	16%	N=31	2%	N=4	100%	N=190
Overall customer service by Scottsdale employees (police, receptionists, planners, etc.)	30%	N=73	48%	N=116	16%	N=37	6%	N=13	100%	N=240
Scottsdale website (www.ScottsdaleAZ.gov)	23%	N=44	52%	N=98	24%	N=45	1%	N=1	100%	N=188
City Cable Channel 11 or Scottsdale Video Network	20%	N=20	49%	N=48	29%	N=49	2%	N=1	100%	N=99
Water services provided by Scottsdale Water Department	26%	N=20	51%	N=125	17%	N=43	6%	N=14	100%	N=245
Drinking water taste	17%	N=49	30%	N=125	24%	N=69	28%	N=14	100%	N=243
Senior services	32%	N=38	46%	N=54	16%	N=19	6%	N=7	100%	N=203

#### Table 11: Question 11

Overall, how would you rate the quality of the services provided by each of the following?	Exce	ellent	G	iood	F	air	Po	oor	To	otal
The City of Scottsdale	32%	N=93	54%	N=157	14%	N=40	0%	N=1	100%	N=291
The Federal Government	8%	N=22	29%	N=77	48%	N=125	15%	N=38	100%	N=262

Overall, how would you rate the quality of the services provided by each of the following?	Exce	ellent	G	ood	F	air	P	oor	Тс	otal
The State Government	9%	N=23	39%	N=102	44%	N=114	9%	N=23	100%	N=262
Maricopa County Government	10%	N=24	41%	N=99	43%	N=105	7%	N=16	100%	N=244

#### Table 12: Question 12

Please rate the following categories of Scottsdale government performance:	Exc	ellent	G	Good	F	air	P	oor	Т	otal
The value of services for the taxes paid to Scottsdale	19%	N=55	45%	N=126	30%	N=84	6%	N=17	100%	N=282
The overall direction that Scottsdale is taking	18%	N=49	47%	N=132	26%	N=74	9%	N=24	100%	N=279
The job Scottsdale government does at welcoming citizen involvement	19%	N=42	37%	N=82	31%	N=68	13%	N=29	100%	N=221
Overall confidence in Scottsdale government	14%	N=38	44%	N=120	32%	N=88	10%	N=26	100%	N=271
Generally acting in the best interest of the community	15%	N=40	43%	N=119	29%	N=80	13%	N=35	100%	N=274
Being honest	18%	N=42	34%	N=83	33%	N=79	16%	N=38	100%	N=241
Treating all residents fairly	18%	N=43	38%	N=92	28%	N=68	15%	N=37	100%	N=240
Law enforcement treating all residents fairly	27%	N=60	41%	N=92	23%	N=52	8%	N=18	100%	N=223

#### Table 13: Question 13

Please rate how important, if at all, you think it is for the Scottsdale community to focus on			۱	/ery	Som	ewhat	Not	at all		
each of the following in the coming two years:	Ess	ential	imp	ortant	impo	ortant	impo	ortant	T	otal
Overall feeling of safety in Scottsdale	65%	N=197	30%	N=92	5%	N=14	0%	N=1	100%	N=304
Overall ease of getting to the places you usually have to visit	41%	N=124	51%	N=154	8%	N=25	0%	N=1	100%	N=303
Quality of overall natural environment in Scottsdale	52%	N=156	36%	N=108	11%	N=33	0%	N=1	100%	N=298
Overall "built environment" of Scottsdale (including overall design, buildings, parks and transportation systems)	42%	N=128	44%	N=133	14%	N=42	0%	N=0	100%	N=303
Health and wellness opportunities in Scottsdale	40%	N=122	41%	N=124	17%	N=52	2%	N=5	100%	N=303
Overall opportunities for education and enrichment	40%	N=122	44%	N=133	13%	N=40	3%	N=8	100%	N=303
Overall economic health of Scottsdale	54%	N=165	38%	N=115	7%	N=22	0%	N=1	100%	N=303
Sense of community	36%	N=108	50%	N=150	13%	N=40	2%	N=5	100%	N=302

#### Table 14: Question 14

Please rate how important, if at all, you think it is for the City of Scottsdale to invest in each			v v	′ery	Som	newhat	Not	at all		
of the following over the next 10 years:	Ess	ential	imp	ortant	imp	ortant	impo	ortant	To	otal
Repair or replace aging parks, libraries and arts facilities	25%	N=74	43%	N=128	30%	N=88	1%	N = 4	100%	N=294
Repave roads and improve roadside appearance	43%	N=129	37%	N=111	18%	N=54	1%	N = 4	100%	N=298
Build new public parking garages and improve event spaces downtown	16%	N=48	27%	N=78	45%	N=133	12%	N=34	100%	N=294
Upgrade outdated City technology such as the 911 dispatch and building permit systems	38%	N=108	38%	N=110	22%	N=64	1%	N = 4	100%	N=286
Build structures to better manage storm-related flooding and run-off	30%	N=86	40%	N=118	25%	N=73	5%	N=14	100%	N=292
Remodel fire and police stations and training facilities to meet current safety and operational standards	31%	N=89	37%	N=107	26%	N=74	7%	N=20	100%	N=290

#### Table 15: Question 15

Please indicate how often, if at all, you have done each of the following in the last 12 months in the Scottsdale McDowell Sonoran Preserve.		a week or ore		imes a onth		month or ess	Not	: at all	Тс	otal
Walked or hiked in the Preserve	10%	N=30	15%	N=45	34%	N=104	41%	N=123	100%	N=301
Rode a mountain bike in the Preserve	1%	N=4	2%	N=7	12%	N=35	84%	N=253	100%	N=300

Please indicate how often, if at all, you have done each of the following in the last 12	2 times	a week or	2-4 t	imes a	Once a	month or				
months in the Scottsdale McDowell Sonoran Preserve.	m	ore	m	onth	I	ess	Not	at all	Тс	otal
Rock climbed in the Preserve	1%	N=2	1%	N=4	6%	N=17	93%	N=277	100%	N=299
Ridden a horse in the Preserve	0%	N=0	2%	N=6	5%	N=15	<b>9</b> 3%	N=279	100%	N=299
Visited a Preserve trailhead	8%	N=23	14%	N=42	31%	N=91	47%	N=142	100%	N=298
Taken out of town visitors on any of these activities in the Preserve	1%	N=3	7%	N=20	39%	N=117	54%	N=161	100%	N=301

#### Table 16: Question 16

To what extent do you agree or disagree with each of the following statements?		gly agree		newhat gree		agree or agree		newhat agree		ongly agree	Тс	otal
The City of Scottsdale should do more to promote water conservation among residents	48%	N=143	33%	N=97	16%	N=48	2%	N=5	1%	N=3	100%	N=296
My household could do more to conserve water	24%	N=72	40%	N=117	21%	N=62	9%	N=28	5%	N=16	100%	N=295
My neighbors could do more to conserve water	33%	N=82	34%	N=84	26%	N=65	4%	N=11	2%	N=6	100%	N=249

#### Table 17: Question 17

Please indicate if each of the following is a major source, minor source or not a source of information for you					Not	at all a		
about the City of Scottsdale and its activities:	Majo	source	Mino	r source	so	ource	To	otal
Scottsdale Update utility bill newsletter	20%	N=61	34%	N=101	46%	N=138	100%	N=301
Scottsdale Life (Parks, Recreation, Library and Human Services newsletter)	17%	N=50	35%	N=106	48%	N=145	100%	N=300
City website (ScottsdaleAZ.gov)	23%	N=68	41%	N=121	37%	N=109	100%	N=298
Scottsdale Update weekly email newsletter (or other City email newsletters)	6%	N=19	34%	N=101	60%	N=179	100%	N=299
City Cable Channel 11 or Scottsdale Video Network	7%	N=20	24%	N=71	70%	N=208	100%	N=299
Local newspapers, television or radio news	42%	N=125	36%	N=107	23%	N=69	100%	N=301
Facebook	18%	N=53	21%	N=62	62%	N=184	100%	N=298
Twitter	7%	N=21	14%	N=42	79%	N=239	100%	N=302
Next Door	16%	N=48	22%	N=67	62%	N=185	100%	N=300
YouTube	4%	N=12	14%	N=41	82%	N=248	100%	N=301
Word of mouth	35%	N=105	46%	N=137	19%	N=58	100%	N=300

#### Table 18: Question D1

How often, if at all, do you do each of the following, considering all of the times you could?	N	ever	Ra	rely	Som	etimes	Us	ually	Al	ways	То	otal
Recycle at home	3%	N=10	6%	N=19	4%	N=11	22%	N=65	65%	N=197	100%	N=302
Purchase goods or services from a business located in Scottsdale	2%	N=5	0%	N=1	18%	N=54	51%	N=154	29%	N=87	100%	N=301
Eat at least 5 portions of fruits and vegetables a day	3%	N=9	12%	N=36	36%	N=106	33%	N=96	16%	N=48	100%	N=295
Participate in moderate or vigorous physical activity	2%	N=5	9%	N=27	19%	N=58	38%	N=112	32%	N=96	100%	N=298
Read or watch local news (via television, paper, computer, etc.)	1%	N=4	9%	N=27	24%	N=71	25%	N=75	40%	N=121	100%	N=298
Vote in local elections	5%	N=16	2%	N=7	4%	N=11	14%	N=43	74%	N=223	100%	N=300

#### Table 19: Question D2

Would you say that in general your health is:	Percent	Number
Excellent	34%	N=101
Very good	37%	N=111
Good	22%	N=67
Fair	5%	N=16
Poor	2%	N=6
Total	100%	N=299

#### Table 20: Question D3

What impact, if any, do you think the economy will have on your family income in the next 6 months? Do you think the impact will be:	Percent	Number
Very positive	12%	N=34
Somewhat positive	26%	N=78
Neutral	47%	N=140
Somewhat negative	15%	N=46
Very negative	1%	N=2
Total	100%	N=300

#### Table 21: Question D4

What is your employment status?	Percent	Number
Working full time for pay	56%	N=167
Working part time for pay	12%	N=35
Unemployed, looking for paid work	1%	N=4
Unemployed, not looking for paid work	2%	N=7
Fully retired	29%	N=86
Total	100%	N=298

#### Table 22: Question D5

Do you work inside the boundaries of Scottsdale?	Percent	Number
Yes, outside the home	31%	N=87
Yes, from home	13%	N=37
No	56%	N=160
Total	100%	N=284

#### Table 23: Question D6

How many years have you lived in Scottsdale?	Percent	Number
Less than 2 years	9%	N=26
2 to 5 years	24%	N=72
6 to 10 years	13%	N=38
11 to 20 years	23%	N=69
More than 20 years	32%	N=97
Total	100%	N=302

#### Table 24: Question D7

Which best describes the building you live in?	Percent	Number
One family house detached from any other houses	58%	N=173
Building with two or more homes (duplex, townhome, apartment or condominium)	41%	N=124
Mobile home	0%	N=0
Other	1%	N=4
Total	100%	N=301

#### Table 25: Question D8

Is this house, apartment or mobile home	Percent	Number
Rented	30%	N=90
Owned	70%	N=210
Total	100%	N=300

#### Table 26: Question D9

About how much is your monthly housing cost for the place you live (including rent, mortgage payment, property tax, property insurance and homeowners' association		
(HOA) fees)?	Percent	Number
Less than \$300 per month	2%	N=7
\$300 to \$599 per month	9%	N=26
\$600 to \$999 per month	10%	N=28
\$1,000 to \$1,499 per month	24%	N=70
\$1,500 to \$2,499 per month	29%	N=83
\$2,500 or more per month	26%	N=75
Total	100%	N=290

#### Table 27: Question D10

Do any children 17 or under live in your household?	Percent	Number
No	74%	N=223
Yes	26%	N=78
Total	100%	N=300

#### Table 28: Question D11

Are you or any other members of your household aged 65 or older?	Percent	Number
No	65%	N=195
Yes	35%	N=103
Total	100%	N=298

#### Table 29: Question D12

How much do you anticipate your household's total income before taxes will be for the current year? (Please include in your total income money from all sources for all		
persons living in your household.)	Percent	Number
Less than \$25,000	8%	N=22
\$25,000 to \$49,999	13%	N=35
\$50,000 to \$99,999	26%	N=70
\$100,000 to \$149,999	18%	N=48
\$150,000 or more	36%	N=98
Total	100%	N=273

#### Table 30: Question D13

Are you Spanish, Hispanic or Latino?	Percent	Number
No, not Spanish, Hispanic or Latino	92%	N=271
Yes, I consider myself to be Spanish, Hispanic or Latino	8%	N=24
Total	100%	N=295

#### Table 31: Question D14

What is your race? (Mark one or more races to indicate what race(s) you consider yourself to be.)	Percent	Number
American Indian or Alaskan Native	2%	N=5
Asian, Asian Indian or Pacific Islander	3%	N=8
Black or African American	0%	N=1
White	95%	N=278
Other	4%	N=11

Total may exceed 100% as respondents could select more than one option.

#### Table 32: Question D15

In which category is your age?	Percent	Number
18 to 24 years	2%	N=6
25 to 34 years	19%	N=58
35 to 44 years	11%	N=33
45 to 54 years	23%	N=67
55 to 64 years	12%	N=35
65 to 74 years	19%	N=56
75 years or older	14%	N=41
Total	100%	N=295

#### Table 33: Question D16

What is your sex?	Percent	Number
Female	52%	N=151
Male	48%	N=142
Total	100%	N=293

#### Table 34: Question D17

Do you consider a cell phone or landline your primary telephone number?	Percent	Number
Cell	76%	N=228
Land line	11%	N=33
Both	13%	N=40
Total	100%	N=300

#### Responses including "don't know"

The following pages contain a complete set of responses to each question on the survey, including the "don't know" responses. The percent of respondents giving a particular response is shown followed by the number of respondents (denoted with "N=").

Table 35: Question 1												
Please rate each of the following aspects of quality of life in Scottsdale:	Exc	Excellent		ood	F	air	P	oor	Don't	know	To	otal
Scottsdale as a place to live	65%	N=197	33%	N=99	3%	N=8	0%	N=0	0%	N=0	100%	N=304
Your neighborhood as a place to live	57%	N=173	37%	N=113	4%	N=13	1%	N=3	0%	N=1	100%	N=302
Scottsdale as a place to raise children	41%	N=121	28%	N=83	8%	N=24	3%	N=8	20%	N=61	100%	N=297
Scottsdale as a place to work	45%	N=133	31%	N=92	6%	N=19	2%	N=6	16%	N=47	100%	N=298
Scottsdale as a place to visit	67%	N=202	26%	N=79	4%	N=11	0%	N=0	2%	N=7	100%	N=299
Scottsdale as a place to retire	56%	N=167	27%	N=79	7%	N=21	2%	N=6	8%	N=25	100%	N=298
The overall quality of life in Scottsdale	58%	N=178	37%	N=112	4%	N=13	0%	N=0	0%	N=1	100%	N=304

#### Table 36: Question 2

Please rate each of the following characteristics as they relate to Scottsdale as a whole:	Excellent		G	ood	F	air	Po	oor	Don't	know	Т	otal
Overall feeling of safety in Scottsdale	44%	N=132	51%	N=153	5%	N=15	0%	N=0	1%	N=3	100%	N=303
Overall ease of getting to the places you usually have to visit	36%	N=109	46%	N=141	16%	N=48	2%	N=5	0%	N=0	100%	N=302
Quality of overall natural environment in Scottsdale	46%	N=138	46%	N=137	8%	N=24	1%	N=3	0%	N=0	100%	N=302
Overall "built environment" of Scottsdale (including overall design, buildings, parks and transportation systems)	31%	N=94	48%	N=144	18%	N=54	3%	N=9	0%	N=1	100%	N=301
Health and wellness opportunities in Scottsdale	55%	N=165	32%	N=95	9%	N=28	1%	N=2	3%	N=9	100%	N=299
Overall opportunities for education and enrichment	34%	N=103	39%	N=119	13%	N=39	3%	N=8	11%	N=33	100%	N=302
Overall economic health of Scottsdale	32%	N=94	49%	N=147	9%	N=26	2%	N=6	8%	N=25	100%	N=297
Sense of community	20%	N=60	31%	N=93	32%	N=95	13%	N=38	3%	N=10	100%	N=297
Overall image or reputation of Scottsdale	49%	N=148	40%	N=122	8%	N=25	2%	N=5	0%	N=1	100%	N=301

#### Table 37: Question 3

Please indicate how likely or unlikely you are to do each of the following:	Very likely		Somew	hat likely	Somewh	nat unlikely	Very	unlikely	Don't	know	Tc	otal
Recommend living in Scottsdale to someone who asks	73%	N=222	23%	N=70	3%	N=9	1%	N=3	0%	N=0	100%	N=304
Remain in Scottsdale for the next five years	76%	N=230	12%	N=38	4%	N=13	5%	N=17	2%	N=6	100%	N=304

#### Table 38: Question 4

			Som	Somewhat		er safe nor	Som	ewhat	V	ery				
Please rate how safe or unsafe you feel:	Ver	y safe	Sa	safe		nsafe	un	safe	un	safe	Don'	t know	Тс	otal
In your neighborhood during the day	81%	N=247	17%	N=51	2%	N=6	0%	N=1	0%	N=0	0%	N=0	100%	N=305
In Scottsdale's downtown/commercial area during the														
day	62%	N=189	30%	N=90	4%	N=12	1%	N=2	0%	N=0	4%	N=12	100%	N=304

#### Table 39: Question 5

Please rate each of the following characteristics as they relate to Scottsdale as a whole:	Exc	Excellent		ood	F	air	P	oor	Don'	t know	Т	otal
Traffic flow on major streets	7%	N=22	48%	N=147	33%	N=101	11%	N=33	1%	N=3	100%	N=305
Ease of public parking	16%	N=48	48%	N=146	25%	N=74	9%	N=26	2%	N=7	100%	N=302
Ease of travel by car in Scottsdale	22%	N=67	48%	N=146	24%	N=74	5%	N=14	1%	N=3	100%	N=303
Ease of travel by public transportation in Scottsdale	5%	N=14	20%	N=60	16%	N=47	17%	N=52	42%	N=127	100%	N=299
Ease of travel by bicycle in Scottsdale	15%	N=43	27%	N=78	18%	N=53	7%	N=20	34%	N=99	100%	N=293
Ease of walking in Scottsdale	34%	N=101	37%	N=110	15%	N=45	9%	N=26	6%	N=18	100%	N=300
Availability of paths and walking trails	43%	N=131	36%	N=110	13%	N=38	4%	N=12	4%	N=11	100%	N=302
Air quality	19%	N=56	44%	N=131	27%	N=81	9%	N=26	1%	N=4	100%	N=298
Cleanliness of Scottsdale	44%	N=132	45%	N=136	11%	N=34	0%	N=1	0%	N=0	100%	N=304
Overall appearance of Scottsdale	48%	N=146	42%	N=126	10%	N=30	0%	N=0	0%	N=0	100%	N=302
Public places where people want to spend time	42%	N=125	42%	N=126	13%	N=40	1%	N=3	2%	N=7	100%	N=301
Variety of housing options	23%	N=68	38%	N=115	25%	N=76	9%	N=27	5%	N=15	100%	N=301
Availability of affordable quality housing	5%	N=14	28%	N=84	28%	N=83	30%	N=90	10%	N=31	100%	N=302
Fitness opportunities (including exercise classes and paths or trails, etc.)	48%	N=144	35%	N=105	10%	N=30	3%	N=9	4%	N=13	100%	N=302
Recreational opportunities	42%	N=128	38%	N=113	12%	N=35	4%	N=11	5%	N=14	100%	N=302
Availability of affordable quality food	39%	N=118	38%	N=116	18%	N=54	4%	N=12	1%	N=2	100%	N=301
Availability of affordable quality health care	35%	N=106	38%	N=116	16%	N=49	6%	N=17	5%	N=14	100%	N=302
Availability of preventive health services	35%	N=105	39%	N=118	12%	N=35	4%	N=11	10%	N=29	100%	N=299
Availability of affordable quality mental health care	16%	N=49	19%	N=56	11%	N=32	9%	N=28	45%	N=134	100%	N=299

#### Table 40: Question 6

Please rate each of the following characteristics as they relate to Scottsdale as a whole:	Exc	ellent	G	ood	F	air	P	oor	Don	't know	То	otal
Availability of affordable quality child care/preschool	7%	N=20	11%	N=34	12%	N=37	4%	N=13	65%	N=192	100%	N=296
K-12 education	10%	N=30	26%	N=76	13%	N=38	5%	N=16	46%	N=135	100%	N=295
Adult educational opportunities	12%	N=36	29%	N=86	17%	N=51	4%	N=12	38%	N=111	100%	N=297
Opportunities to attend cultural/arts/music activities	33%	N=99	44%	N=132	13%	N=38	3%	N=9	8%	N=23	100%	N=300
Opportunities to participate in religious or spiritual events and activities	28%	N=83	29%	N=87	9%	N=28	2%	N=5	32%	N=94	100%	N=297
Employment opportunities	14%	N=42	36%	N=107	18%	N=52	3%	N=9	29%	N=86	100%	N=295
Shopping opportunities	67%	N=201	28%	N=84	3%	N=10	1%	N=3	1%	N=2	100%	N=300
Cost of living in Scottsdale	5%	N=14	37%	N=111	42%	N=125	15%	N=44	1%	N=3	100%	N=297
Overall quality of business and service establishments in Scottsdale	28%	N=83	57%	N=169	13%	N=38	1%	N=3	1%	N=4	100%	N=297
Vibrant downtown/commercial area	43%	N=125	40%	N=117	14%	N=42	1%	N=3	2%	N=6	100%	N=294
Overall quality of new development in Scottsdale	26%	N=78	38%	N=111	17%	N=49	10%	N=28	9%	N=27	100%	N=293
Opportunities to participate in social events and activities	29%	N=87	43%	N=128	15%	N=44	2%	N=6	10%	N=31	100%	N=297
Opportunities to volunteer	23%	N=68	35%	N=106	10%	N=29	2%	N=6	30%	N=91	100%	N=300
Opportunities to participate in community matters	13%	N=39	37%	N=108	19%	N=55	4%	N=13	27%	N=80	100%	N=294
Openness and acceptance of the community toward people of diverse												
backgrounds	14%	N=43	32%	N=96	25%	N=74	12%	N=36	17%	N=51	100%	N=301
Neighborliness of residents in Scottsdale	15%	N=46	40%	N=120	31%	N=91	12%	N=35	2%	N=7	100%	N=298
Helping new residents feel connected and integrated	9%	N=28	26%	N=78	31%	N=93	16%	N=49	18%	N=53	100%	N=300

Please rate each of the following characteristics as they relate to Scottsdale as a												
whole:	Excellent		G	ood	F	air	Po	oor	Don't	t know	To	otal
Demonstrating respect for residents of different cultures and belief systems	13%	N=40	31%	N=94	29%	N=88	9%	N=29	17%	N=50	100%	N=301

#### Table 41: Question 7

Please indicate whether or not you have done each of the following in the last 12 months.		No		Yes	T	otal
Made efforts to conserve water	16%	N=48	84%	N=254	100%	N=302
Made efforts to make your home more energy efficient	26%	N=79	74%	N=221	100%	N=300
Observed a code violation or other hazard in Scottsdale (weeds, abandoned buildings, etc.)	60%	N=178	40%	N=121	100%	N=299
Household member was a victim of a crime in Scottsdale	92%	N=274	8%	N=25	100%	N=299
Reported a crime to the police in Scottsdale	83%	N=249	17%	N=51	100%	N=300
Stocked supplies in preparation for an emergency	79%	N=238	21%	N=62	100%	N=300
Campaigned or advocated for an issue, cause or candidate	66%	N=198	34%	N=101	100%	N=299
Contacted the City of Scottsdale (in-person, phone, email or web) for help or information	66%	N=196	34%	N=103	100%	N=299
Contacted Scottsdale elected officials (in-person, phone, email or web) to express your opinion	83%	N=249	17%	N=49	100%	N=298

#### Table 42: Question 8

In the last 12 months, about how many times, if at all, have you or other household	2 times	a week or	2-4	times a	Once a	month or				
members done each of the following in Scottsdale?	n	nore	m	onth		less	Not	at all	T	otal
Used Scottsdale recreation centers or their services	13%	N=37	19%	N=55	30%	N=89	39%	N=115	100%	N=297
Visited a neighborhood park or City park	22%	N=66	26%	N=78	35%	N=105	17%	N=50	100%	N=298
Used Scottsdale public libraries or their services	11%	N=34	17%	N=51	31%	N=92	41%	N=122	100%	N=299
Participated in religious or spiritual activities in Scottsdale	12%	N=37	18%	N=53	17%	N=51	53%	N=157	100%	N=298
Attended a City-sponsored event	2%	N=7	6%	N=19	42%	N=124	49%	N=147	100%	N=297
Used bus or other public transportation instead of driving	3%	N=8	4%	N=11	13%	N=39	81%	N=240	100%	N=298
Carpooled with other adults or children instead of driving alone	12%	N=35	17%	N=50	13%	N=39	58%	N=173	100%	N=297
Walked or biked instead of driving	15%	N=46	16%	N=47	30%	N=88	39%	N=114	100%	N=295
Volunteered your time to some group/activity in Scottsdale	6%	N=19	9%	N=26	20%	N=59	65%	N=192	100%	N=295
Participated in a club	9%	N=26	10%	N=29	13%	N=39	68%	N=200	100%	N=295
Talked to or visited with your immediate neighbors	41%	N=123	28%	N=84	20%	N=59	10%	N=31	100%	N=296
Done a favor for a neighbor	23%	N=67	23%	N=69	32%	N=95	22%	N=64	100%	N=296
Visited or used a City pool	4%	N=11	2%	N=6	8%	N=25	86%	N=255	100%	N=297
Used a City fitness facility	7%	N=21	3%	N=9	10%	N=29	80%	N=232	100%	N=289
Visited downtown for shopping, dining or to attend an event	19%	N=56	36%	N=108	37%	N=109	8%	N=25	100%	N=297

#### Table 43: Question 9

Thinking about local public meetings (of local elected officials like City Council or County Commissioners, advisory boards, town halls, HOA, neighborhood watch, etc.), in the last 12										
months, about how many times, if at all, have you or other household members attended or			2-4	times a	Once a	a month				
watched a local public meeting?	week or more		m	onth	or	less	Not	at all	To	otal
Attended a local public meeting	0%	N=1	2%	N=6	16%	N=48	82%	N=245	100%	N=301
Watched (online or on television) a local public meeting	2%	N=6	3%	N=10	16%	N=49	78%	N=235	100%	N=299

#### Table 44: Question 10

Please rate the quality of each of the following services in Scottsdale:		cellent	-	ood		air		oor	-	t know		otal
Police services	38%	N=114	37%	N=111	6%	N=19	2%	N=5	17%	N=51	100%	N=300
Fire services	45%	N=135	25%	N=75	4%	N=11	0%	N=0	27%	N=80	100%	N=301
Ambulance or emergency medical services	38%	N=115	24%	N=73	3%	N=8	1%	N=3	34%	N=104	100%	N=302
Crime prevention	20%	N=58	38%	N=114	8%	N=25	3%	N=8	32%	N=95	100%	N=300
Fire prevention and education	22%	N=66	33%	N=96	7%	N=19	1%	N=3	37%	N=109	100%	N=294
Traffic enforcement	18%	N=55	41%	N=121	16%	N=48	10%	N=30	15%	N=44	100%	N=297
Street repair	18%	N=56	45%	N=135	25%	N=76	6%	N=18	6%	N=17	100%	N=301
Street cleaning	26%	N=78	47%	N=139	20%	N=59	2%	N=6	5%	N=16	100%	N=298
Street lighting	22%	N=68	47%	N=142	20%	N=61	7%	N=22	3%	N=9	100%	N=301
Sidewalk maintenance	25%	N=75	46%	N=136	19%	N=57	3%	N=9	6%	N=17	100%	N=294
Traffic signal timing	15%	N=46	41%	N=122	25%	N=76	15%	N=45	4%	N=13	100%	N=301
Bus or transit services	10%	N=30	21%	N=64	7%	N=21	9%	N=27	52%	N=156	100%	N=298
Garbage collection	46%	N=139	35%	N=104	7%	N=22	1%	N=3	10%	N=31	100%	N=299
Recycling	40%	N=121	34%	N=101	8%	N=24	9%	N=26	9%	N=27	100%	N=299
Yard waste pick-up	34%	N=101	26%	N=79	8%	N=25	3%	N=8	29%	N=85	100%	N=299
Storm drainage	22%	N=66	40%	N=119	16%	N=49	8%	N=23	14%	N=41	100%	N=298
Drinking water	22%	N=67	35%	N=104	24%	N=72	13%	N=37	6%	N=19	100%	N=298
Sewer services	30%	N=88	36%	N=106	15%	N=46	1%	N = 4	18%	N=53	100%	N=298
Utility billing	26%	N=78	41%	N=122	16%	N=49	8%	N=24	8%	N=23	100%	N=297
City parks	40%	N=121	42%	N=127	9%	N=26	0%	N = 1	8%	N=24	100%	N = 300
Recreation programs or classes	21%	N=61	26%	N=77	6%	N=18	2%	N=5	45%	N=134	100%	N=294
Recreation centers or facilities	20%	N=59	32%	N=95	7%	N=19	1%	N=3	41%	N=120	100%	N=295
Land use, planning and zoning	10%	N=30	27%	N=80	25%	N=75	11%	N=32	27%	N=79	100%	N=297
Code enforcement (weeds, abandoned buildings, etc.)	14%	N=43	26%	N=78	19%	N=56	7%	N=22	33%	N=100	100%	N=299
Animal control	17%	N=52	30%	N=90	11%	N=34	5%	N=14	37%	N=110	100%	N=300
Economic development	20%	N=59	42%	N=125	16%	N=46	3%	N=10	19%	N=55	100%	N=295
Public library services	42%	N=124	23%	N=69	4%	N=13	1%	N=2	30%	N=88	100%	N=296
Public information services	18%	N=53	33%	N=97	13%	N=38	2%	N=6	34%	N=102	100%	N=296
Cable television	16%	N=48	28%	N=85	21%	N=62	13%	N=37	22%	N=66	100%	N=298
Emergency preparedness (services that prepare the community for natural disasters or other emergency situations)	8%	N=25	21%	N=63	16%	N=47	3%	N=10	52%	N=155	100%	N=300
Preservation of natural areas such as open space, farmlands and greenbelts	26%	N=77	36%	N=106	16%	N=49	5%	N=15	17%	N=49	100%	N=296
Scottsdale McDowell Sonoran Preserve	45%	N=135	22%	N=67	8%	N=24	1%	N=2	23%	N=69	100%	N=297
City-sponsored special events	18%	N=53	34%	N=102	10%	N=31	1%	N=4	36%	N=108	100%	N=298
Overall customer service by Scottsdale employees (police, receptionists, planners, etc.)	24%	N=73	39%	N=116	12%	N=37	4%	N=13	20%	N=60	100%	N=300
Scottsdale website (www.ScottsdaleAZ.gov)	15%	N=73	34%	N=98	12 %	N=45	4 <i>7</i> 0 0%	N=13	36%	N=104	100%	N=292
City Cable Channel 11 or Scottsdale Video Network	7%	N=44	16%	N=98 N=48	10%	N=45 N=29	1%	N=1 N=2	67%	N=104	100%	N=292 N=296
	22%	N=20 N=64	42%	N=48 N=125	10%	N=29 N=43	5%	N=2	17%	N=198	100%	N=298
Water services provided by Scottsdale Water Department	16%	N=64	42% 28%	N=125 N=85	23%		5% 27%		6%	N=52 N=17		
Drinking water taste						N=69		N=80			100%	N=301
Senior services	13%	N=38	18%	N=54	6%	N=19	2%	N=7	60%	N=181	100%	N=301

#### Table 45: Question 11

Overall, how would you rate the quality of the services provided by each of the												
following?	Exc	Excellent		ood	F	air	Po	oor	Don't	know	То	otal
The City of Scottsdale	31%	N=93	52%	N=157	13%	N=40	0%	N=1	3%	N=9	100%	N=300
The Federal Government	7%	N=22	26%	N=77	42%	N=125	13%	N=38	12%	N=37	100%	N=299
The State Government	8%	N=23	34%	N=102	38%	N=114	8%	N=23	13%	N=37	100%	N=299
Maricopa County Government	8%	N=24	33%	N=99	35%	N=105	5%	N=16	18%	N=53	100%	N=297

#### Table 46: Question 12

Please rate the following categories of Scottsdale government performance:	Exc	ellent	G	ood	F	air	P	oor	Don't	t know	T	otal
The value of services for the taxes paid to Scottsdale	18%	N=55	42%	N=126	28%	N=84	6%	N=17	6%	N=18	100%	N=300
The overall direction that Scottsdale is taking	16%	N=49	44%	N=132	25%	N=74	8%	N=24	7%	N=22	100%	N=301
The job Scottsdale government does at welcoming citizen involvement	14%	N=42	27%	N=82	23%	N=68	10%	N=29	26%	N=79	100%	N=300
Overall confidence in Scottsdale government	13%	N=38	40%	N=120	29%	N=88	9%	N=26	9%	N=27	100%	N=298
Generally acting in the best interest of the community	13%	N=40	40%	N=119	27%	N=80	12%	N=35	8%	N=25	100%	N=299
Being honest	14%	N=42	28%	N=83	26%	N=79	13%	N=38	19%	N=58	100%	N=299
Treating all residents fairly	14%	N=43	31%	N=92	23%	N=68	12%	N=37	20%	N=59	100%	N=300
Law enforcement treating all residents fairly	20%	N=60	31%	N=92	17%	N=52	6%	N=18	26%	N=77	100%	N=300

#### Table 47: Question 13

Please rate how important, if at all, you think it is for the Scottsdale community to focus on each of the following in the coming two years:	Ess	ential		/ery ortant		ewhat ortant		at all ortant	Т	otal
Overall feeling of safety in Scottsdale	65%	N=197	30%	N=92	5%	N=14	0%	N=1	100%	N=304
Overall ease of getting to the places you usually have to visit	41%	N=124	51%	N=154	8%	N=25	0%	N=1	100%	N=303
Quality of overall natural environment in Scottsdale	52%	N=156	36%	N=108	11%	N=33	0%	N=1	100%	N=298
Overall "built environment" of Scottsdale (including overall design, buildings, parks and transportation systems)	42%	N=128	44%	N=133	14%	N=42	0%	N=0	100%	N=303
Health and wellness opportunities in Scottsdale	40%	N=122	41%	N=124	17%	N=52	2%	N=5	100%	N=303
Overall opportunities for education and enrichment	40%	N=122	44%	N=133	13%	N=40	3%	N=8	100%	N=303
Overall economic health of Scottsdale	54%	N=165	38%	N=115	7%	N=22	0%	N=1	100%	N=303
Sense of community	36%	N=108	50%	N=150	13%	N=40	2%	N=5	100%	N=302

#### Table 48: Question 14

Please rate how important, if at all, you think it is for the City of Scottsdale to			\ \	/ery	Som	newhat	Not	at all				
invest in each of the following over the next 10 years:	Ess	ential	imp	ortant	imp	ortant	impo	ortant	Don'	t know	То	otal
Repair or replace aging parks, libraries and arts facilities	25%	N=74	43%	N=128	29%	N=88	1%	N = 4	2%	N=6	100%	N=300
Repave roads and improve roadside appearance	43%	N=129	37%	N=111	18%	N=54	1%	N=4	1%	N=2	100%	N=300
Build new public parking garages and improve event spaces downtown	16%	N=48	26%	N=78	44%	N=133	11%	N=34	2%	N=7	100%	N=301
Upgrade outdated City technology such as the 911 dispatch and building permit systems	36%	N=108	37%	N=110	22%	N=64	1%	N=4	4%	N=12	100%	N=299
Build structures to better manage storm-related flooding and run-off	29%	N=86	40%	N=118	25%	N=73	5%	N=14	2%	N=7	100%	N=299
Remodel fire and police stations and training facilities to meet current safety and operational standards	30%	N=89	36%	N=107	25%	N=74	7%	N=20	3%	N=10	100%	N=300

#### Table 49: Question 15

Please indicate how often, if at all, you have done each of the following in the last 12	2 times	a week or	2-4 t	imes a	Once a	month or				
months in the Scottsdale McDowell Sonoran Preserve.	m	ore	m	onth		less	Not	at all	To	otal
Walked or hiked in the Preserve	10%	N=30	15%	N=45	34%	N=104	41%	N=123	100%	N=301
Rode a mountain bike in the Preserve	1%	N = 4	2%	N=7	12%	N=35	84%	N=253	100%	N=300
Rock climbed in the Preserve	1%	N=2	1%	N = 4	6%	N=17	93%	N=277	100%	N=299
Ridden a horse in the Preserve	0%	N=0	2%	N=6	5%	N=15	93%	N=279	100%	N=299
Visited a Preserve trailhead	8%	N=23	14%	N=42	31%	N=91	47%	N=142	100%	N=298
Taken out of town visitors on any of these activities in the Preserve	1%	N=3	7%	N=20	39%	N=117	54%	N=161	100%	N=301

#### Table 50: Question 16

To what extent do you agree or disagree with each of				newhat		agree or		newhat		ongly			_	
the following statements?	Strong	gly agree	a	gree	disa	agree	dis	agree	dis	agree	Don'i	know	10	otal
The City of Scottsdale should do more to promote water conservation among residents	48%	N=143	32%	N=97	16%	N=48	2%	N=5	1%	N=3	2%	N=5	100%	N=301
My household could do more to conserve water	24%	N=72	39%	N=117	21%	N=62	9%	N=28	5%	N=16	2%	N=5	100%	N=300
My neighbors could do more to conserve water	27%	N=82	28%	N=84	22%	N=65	4%	N=11	2%	N=6	17%	N=52	100%	N=301

#### Table 51: Question 17

Please indicate if each of the following is a major source, minor source or not a source of information for you					Not	at all a		
about the City of Scottsdale and its activities:	Majo	r source	Minor	source	SC	urce	T T	otal
Scottsdale Update utility bill newsletter	20%	N=61	34%	N=101	46%	N=138	100%	N=301
Scottsdale Life (Parks, Recreation, Library and Human Services newsletter)	17%	N=50	35%	N=106	48%	N=145	100%	N=300
City website (ScottsdaleAZ.gov)	23%	N=68	41%	N=121	37%	N=109	100%	N=298
Scottsdale Update weekly email newsletter (or other City email newsletters)	6%	N=19	34%	N=101	60%	N=179	100%	N=299
City Cable Channel 11 or Scottsdale Video Network	7%	N=20	24%	N=71	70%	N=208	100%	N=299
Local newspapers, television or radio news	42%	N=125	36%	N=107	23%	N=69	100%	N=301
Facebook	18%	N=53	21%	N=62	62%	N=184	100%	N=298
Twitter	7%	N=21	14%	N=42	79%	N=239	100%	N=302
Next Door	16%	N=48	22%	N=67	62%	N=185	100%	N=300
YouTube	4%	N=12	14%	N=41	82%	N=248	100%	N=301
Word of mouth	35%	N=105	46%	N=137	19%	N=58	100%	N=300

#### Table 52: Question D1

How often, if at all, do you do each of the following, considering all of the times you could?	N	ever	Ra	rely	Som	etimes	Us	ually	Alv	ways	Тс	otal
Recycle at home	3%	N=10	6%	N=19	4%	N=11	22%	N=65	65%	N=197	100%	N=302
Purchase goods or services from a business located in Scottsdale	2%	N=5	0%	N=1	18%	N=54	51%	N=154	29%	N=87	100%	N=301
Eat at least 5 portions of fruits and vegetables a day	3%	N=9	12%	N=36	36%	N=106	33%	N=96	16%	N=48	100%	N=295
Participate in moderate or vigorous physical activity	2%	N=5	9%	N=27	19%	N=58	38%	N=112	32%	N=96	100%	N=298
Read or watch local news (via television, paper, computer, etc.)	1%	N=4	9%	N=27	24%	N=71	25%	N=75	40%	N=121	100%	N=298
Vote in local elections	5%	N=16	2%	N=7	4%	N=11	14%	N=43	74%	N=223	100%	N=300

#### Table 53: Question D2

Would you say that in general your health is:	Percent	Number
Excellent	34%	N=101
Very good	37%	N=111
Good	22%	N=67
Fair	5%	N=16
Poor	2%	N=6
Total	100%	N=299

#### Table 54: Question D3

What impact, if any, do you think the economy will have on your family income in the next 6 months? Do you think the impact will be:	Percent	Number
Very positive	12%	N=34
Somewhat positive	26%	N=78
Neutral	47%	N=140
Somewhat negative	15%	N=46
Very negative	1%	N=2
Total	100%	N=300

#### Table 55: Question D4

What is your employment status?	Percent	Number
Working full time for pay	56%	N=167
Working part time for pay	12%	N=35
Unemployed, looking for paid work	1%	N=4
Unemployed, not looking for paid work	2%	N=7
Fully retired	29%	N=86
Total	100%	N=298

#### Table 56: Question D5

Do you work inside the boundaries of Scottsdale?	Percent	Number
Yes, outside the home	31%	N=87
Yes, from home	13%	N=37
No	56%	N=160
Total	100%	N=284

#### Table 57: Question D6

How many years have you lived in Scottsdale?	Percent	Number
Less than 2 years	9%	N=26
2 to 5 years	24%	N=72
6 to 10 years	13%	N=38
11 to 20 years	23%	N=69
More than 20 years	32%	N=97
Total	100%	N=302

#### Table 58: Question D7

Which best describes the building you live in?	Percent	Number
One family house detached from any other houses	58%	N=173
Building with two or more homes (duplex, townhome, apartment or condominium)	41%	N=124
Mobile home	0%	N=0
Other	1%	N=4
Total	100%	N=301

#### Table 59: Question D8

Is this house, apartment or mobile home	Percent	Number
Rented	30%	N=90
Owned	70%	N=210
Total	100%	N=300

#### Table 60: Question D9

About how much is your monthly housing cost for the place you live (including rent, mortgage payment, property tax, property insurance and homeowners' association		
(HOA) fees)?	Percent	Number
Less than \$300 per month	2%	N=7
\$300 to \$599 per month	9%	N=26
\$600 to \$999 per month	10%	N=28
\$1,000 to \$1,499 per month	24%	N=70
\$1,500 to \$2,499 per month	29%	N=83
\$2,500 or more per month	26%	N=75
Total	100%	N=290

#### Table 61: Question D10

Do any children 17 or under live in your household?	Percent	Number
No	74%	N=223
Yes	26%	N=78
Total	100%	N=300

#### Table 62: Question D11

Are you or any other members of your household aged 65 or older?	Percent	Number
No	65%	N=195
Yes	35%	N=103
Total	100%	N=298

#### Table 63: Question D12

How much do you anticipate your household's total income before taxes will be for the current year? (Please include in your total income money from all sources for all		
persons living in your household.)	Percent	Number
Less than \$25,000	8%	N=22
\$25,000 to \$49,999	13%	N=35
\$50,000 to \$99,999	26%	N=70
\$100,000 to \$149,999	18%	N=48
\$150,000 or more	36%	N=98
Total	100%	N=273

#### Table 64: Question D13

Are you Spanish, Hispanic or Latino?	Percent	Number
No, not Spanish, Hispanic or Latino	92%	N=271
Yes, I consider myself to be Spanish, Hispanic or Latino	8%	N=24
Total	100%	N=295

#### Table 65: Question D14

What is your race? (Mark one or more races to indicate what race(s) you consider yourself to be.)	Percent	Number
American Indian or Alaskan Native	2%	N=5
Asian, Asian Indian or Pacific Islander	3%	N=8
Black or African American	0%	N=1
White	95%	N=278
Other	4%	N=11

Total may exceed 100% as respondents could select more than one option.

#### Table 66: Question D15

In which category is your age?	Percent	Number
18 to 24 years	2%	N=6
25 to 34 years	19%	N=58
35 to 44 years	11%	N=33
45 to 54 years	23%	N=67
55 to 64 years	12%	N=35
65 to 74 years	19%	N=56
75 years or older	14%	N=41
Total	100%	N=295

#### Table 67: Question D16

What is your sex?	Percent	Number
Female	52%	N=151
Male	48%	N=142
Total	100%	N=293

#### Table 68: Question D17

Do you consider a cell phone or landline your primary telephone number?	Percent	Number
Cell	76%	N=228
Land line	11%	N=33
Both	13%	N=40
Total	100%	N=300

## **Appendix B: Benchmark Comparisons**

#### **Comparison Data**

NRC's database of comparative resident opinion is comprised of resident perspectives gathered in surveys from over 500 communities whose residents evaluated the same kinds of topics on The National Citizen Survey<sup>™</sup>. The comparison evaluations are from the most recent survey completed in each community; most communities conduct surveys every year or in alternating years. NRC adds the latest results quickly upon survey completion, keeping the benchmark data fresh and relevant. The communities in the database represent a wide geographic and population range. The City of Scottsdale chose to have comparisons made to the entire database.

#### **Interpreting the Results**

Ratings are compared when there are at least five communities in which a similar question was asked. Where comparisons are available, four columns are provided in the table. The first column is Scottsdale's "percent positive." The percent positive is the combination of the top two most positive response options (i.e., "excellent" and "good," "very safe" and "somewhat safe," etc.), or, in the case of resident behaviors/participation, the percent positive represents the proportion of respondents indicating "yes" or participating in an activity at least once a month. The second column is the rank assigned to Scottsdale's rating among communities where a similar question was asked. The third column is the number of communities that asked a similar question. The final column shows the comparison of Scottsdale's rating to the benchmark.

In that final column, Scottsdale's results are noted as being "higher" than the benchmark, "lower" than the benchmark or "similar" to the benchmark, meaning that the average rating given by Scottsdale residents is statistically

similar to or different (greater or lesser) than the benchmark. Being rated as "higher" or "lower" than the benchmark means that Scottsdale's average rating for a particular item was more than 10 points different than the benchmark. If a rating was "much higher" or "much lower," then Scottsdale's average rating was more than 20 points different when compared to the benchmark.

Benchmark Database Characteristics		
Region	Percent	
New England	3%	
Middle Atlantic	5%	
East North Central	15%	
West North Central	13%	
South Atlantic	22%	
East South Central	3%	
West South Central	7%	
Mountain	16%	
Pacific	16%	
Population	Percent	
Less than 10,000	10%	
10,000 to 24,999	22%	
25,000 to 49,999	23%	
50,000 to 99,999	22%	
100,000 or more	23%	

## **National Benchmark Comparisons**

#### Table 69: Community Characteristics General

	Percent positive	Rank	Number of communities in comparison	Comparison to benchmark
The overall quality of life in Scottsdale	96%	13	454	Higher
Overall image or reputation of Scottsdale	90%	37	349	Higher
Scottsdale as a place to live	97%	25	391	Higher
Your neighborhood as a place to live	95%	22	313	Higher
Scottsdale as a place to raise children	86%	117	380	Similar
Scottsdale as a place to retire	90%	2	354	Much higher
Overall appearance of Scottsdale	90%	27	357	Higher

## Table 70: Community Characteristics by Facet

		Percent positive	Rank	Number of communities in comparison	Comparison to benchmark
	Overall feeling of safety in Scottsdale	95%	78	348	Higher
	In your neighborhood during the day	98%	61	356	Similar
Safety	In Scottsdale's downtown/commercial area during the day	<b>9</b> 5%	110	315	Similar
	Overall ease of getting to the places you usually have to visit	82%	54	265	Similar
	Availability of paths and walking trails	83%	40	318	Higher
	Ease of walking in Scottsdale	75%	80	306	Similar
	Ease of travel by bicycle in Scottsdale	63%	79	306	Similar
	Ease of travel by public transportation in Scottsdale	43%	105	225	Similar
	Ease of travel by car in Scottsdale	71%	100	305	Similar
	Ease of public parking	66%	66	224	Similar
Mobility	Traffic flow on major streets	56%	116	341	Similar
	Quality of overall natural environment in Scottsdale	91%	40	278	Higher
Natural	Cleanliness of Scottsdale	88%	46	285	Higher
Environment	Air quality	64%	201	247	Similar
	Overall "built environment" of Scottsdale (including overall design, buildings, parks and transportation systems)	79%	21	255	Higher
	Overall quality of new development in Scottsdale	71%	32	293	Higher
	Availability of affordable quality housing	36%	197	303	Similar
Built	Variety of housing options	64%	62	280	Similar
Environment	Public places where people want to spend time	85%	11	248	Higher
	Overall economic health of Scottsdale	88%	28	261	Higher
	Vibrant downtown/commercial area	84%	6	237	Much higher
	Overall quality of business and service establishments in Scottsdale	86%	11	274	Higher
	Cost of living in Scottsdale	43%	135	258	Similar
	Shopping opportunities	96%	1	297	Much higher
	Employment opportunities	71%	16	310	Much higher
	Scottsdale as a place to visit	96%	4	275	Much higher
Economy	Scottsdale as a place to work	90%	5	361	Much higher
	Health and wellness opportunities in Scottsdale	90%	3	256	Much higher
	Availability of affordable quality mental health care	64%	21	225	Higher
	Availability of preventive health services	83%	10	239	Higher
	Availability of affordable quality health care	77%	21	260	Higher
Recreation and	Availability of affordable quality food	78%	14	245	Higher
Wellness	Recreational opportunities	84%	26	297	Higher

		Percent positive	Rank	Number of communities in comparison	Comparison to benchmark
	Fitness opportunities (including exercise classes and paths or trails, etc.)	86%	11	246	Higher
	Overall opportunities for education and enrichment	83%	54	258	Higher
	Opportunities to participate in religious or spiritual events and activities	84%	40	207	Similar
	Opportunities to attend cultural/arts/music activities	83%	22	296	Higher
	Adult educational opportunities	66%	80	235	Similar
Education and	K-12 education	66%	183	273	Similar
Enrichment	Availability of affordable quality child care/preschool	52%	98	256	Similar
	Opportunities to participate in social events and activities	81%	19	265	Higher
	Neighborliness of Scottsdale	57%	168	250	Similar
Community	Openness and acceptance of the community toward people of diverse backgrounds	56%	209	294	Similar
	Opportunities to participate in community matters	68%	103	276	Similar
Engagement	Opportunities to volunteer	83%	36	266	Similar

#### Table 71: Governance General

	Percent positive	Rank	Number of communities in comparison	Comparison to benchmark
Services provided by the City of Scottsdale	86%	51	429	Higher
Overall customer service by Scottsdale employees (police, receptionists, planners, etc.)	79%	130	380	Similar
Value of services for the taxes paid to Scottsdale	64%	48	404	Similar
Overall direction that Scottsdale is taking	65%	101	318	Similar
Job Scottsdale government does at welcoming citizen involvement	56%	97	324	Similar
Overall confidence in Scottsdale government	58%	92	261	Similar
Generally acting in the best interest of the community	58%	118	261	Similar
Being honest	52%	149	253	Similar
Treating all residents fairly	56%	125	259	Similar
Services provided by the Federal Government	38%	87	252	Similar

## Table 72: Governance by Facet

		Percent positive	Rank	Number of communities in comparison	Comparison to benchmark
	Police services	90%	70	461	Similar
	Fire services	95%	47	388	Similar
	Ambulance or emergency medical services	95%	51	348	Similar
	Crime prevention	84%	92	363	Similar
	Fire prevention and education	88%	64	285	Similar
	Animal control	75%	49	341	Similar
Safety	Emergency preparedness (services that prepare the community for natural disasters or other emergency situations)	61%	149	279	Similar
-	Traffic enforcement	69%	142	371	Similar
	Street repair	67%	32	388	Higher
	Street cleaning	77%	33	323	Higher
	Street lighting	72%	55	327	Similar
Mobility	Sidewalk maintenance	76%	11	322	Higher
	Traffic signal timing	58%	69	265	Similar
	Bus or transit services	66%	64	230	Similar
Vatural	Garbage collection	91%	16	356	Similar
Environment	Recycling	82%	110	360	Similar

		Percent positive	Rank	Number of communities in comparison	Comparison to benchmark
	Yard waste pick-up	84%	34	270	Higher
	Drinking water	61%	214	315	Similar
	Preservation of natural areas such as open space, farmlands and greenbelts	74%	31	258	Higher
	Open space	88%	2	235	Much higher
	Storm drainage	72%	103	352	Similar
	Sewer services	80%	76	321	Similar
	Utility billing	73%	74	227	Similar
	Land use, planning and zoning	51%	105	302	Similar
Built	Code enforcement (weeds, abandoned buildings, etc.)	61%	67	389	Higher
Environment	Cable television	57%	58	203	Similar
Economy	Economic development	77%	16	286	Higher
	City parks	90%	61	326	Similar
Recreation and	Recreation programs or classes	86%	29	326	Higher
Wellness	Recreation centers or facilities	87%	37	279	Higher
Education and Enrichment	City-sponsored special events	82%	41	278	Similar
	Public library services	93%	20	339	Higher
Community Engagement	Public information services	78%	44	288	Similar

## Table 73: Participation General

	Percent positive	Rank	Number of communities in comparison	Comparison to benchmark
Sense of community	53%	208	312	Similar
Recommend living in Scottsdale to someone who asks	96%	30	288	Higher
Remain in Scottsdale for the next five years	90%	35	281	Similar
Contacted Scottsdale (in-person, phone, email or web)				
for help or information	34%	288	323	Lower

## Table 74: Participation by Facet

		Percent positive	Rank	Number of communities in comparison	Comparison to benchmark
	Stocked supplies in preparation for an emergency	21%	209	226	Lower
	Did NOT report a crime to the police	83%	86	252	Similar
Safety	Household member was NOT a victim of a crime	92%	91	273	Similar
	Used bus or other public transportation instead of driving	19%	98	205	Similar
	Carpooled with other adults or children instead of driving alone	42%	136	240	Similar
Mobility	Walked or biked instead of driving	61%	93	249	Similar
	Made efforts to conserve water	84%	87	234	Similar
Natural	Made efforts to make your home more energy efficient	74%	156	236	Similar
Environment	Recycle at home	90%	140	260	Similar
Built	Did NOT observe a code violation or other hazard in Scottsdale	60%	90	242	Similar
Environment	NOT experiencing housing costs stress	69%	134	259	Similar
	Purchase goods or services from a business located in Scottsdale	98%	68	246	Similar
	Economy will have positive impact on income	38%	62	260	Similar
Economy	Work inside boundaries of Scottsdale	44%	97	247	Similar
Recreation and Wellness	Used Scottsdale recreation centers or their services	61%	83	238	Similar

		Percent positive	Rank	Number of communities in comparison	Comparison to benchmark
	Visited a neighborhood park or City park	83%	164	270	Similar
	Eat at least 5 portions of fruits and vegetables a day	85%	94	238	Similar
	Participate in moderate or vigorous physical activity	89%	52	242	Similar
	In very good to excellent health	71%	36	242	Similar
	Used Scottsdale public libraries or their services	59%	162	249	Similar
Education and	Participated in religious or spiritual activities in Scottsdale	47%	90	205	Similar
Enrichment	Attended City-sponsored event	51%	161	247	Similar
Contac	Campaigned or advocated for an issue, cause or candidate	34%	31	230	Similar
	Contacted Scottsdale elected officials (in-person, phone, email or web) to express your opinion	17%	139	244	Similar
	Volunteered your time to some group/activity in Scottsdale	35%	160	266	Similar
	Participated in a club	32%	65	245	Similar
	Talked to or visited with your immediate neighbors	<b>9</b> 0%	156	244	Similar
	Done a favor for a neighbor	78%	181	239	Similar
	Attended a local public meeting	18%	176	264	Similar
	Watched (online or on television) a local public meeting	22%	125	231	Similar
Community	Read or watch local news (via television, paper, computer, etc.)	89%	35	247	Similar
Engagement	Vote in local elections	92%	17	260	Similar

# Communities included in national comparisons The communities included in Scottsdale's comparisons are listed on the following pages along with their population according to the 2010 Census.

Adams County, CO	
Airway Heights city, WA	6,114
Albany city, OR	50,158
Albemarle County, VA	
Albert Lea city, MN	
Alexandria city, VA	
Algonquin village, IL	
Aliso Viejo city, CA	47,823
American Canyon city, CA	
Ames city, IA	
Ankeny city, IA	45,582
Ann Arbor city, MI	
Apache Junction city, AZ	35,840
Arapahoe County, CO	
Arkansas City city, AR	
Arlington city, TX	
Arvada city, CO	
Asheville city, NC	83,393
Ashland city, OR	20,078
Ashland town, MA	
Ashland town, VA	7,225
Aspen city, CO	6,658
Athens-Clarke County, GA	115,452
Auburn city, AL	53,380
Augusta CCD, GA	134,777
Aurora city, CO	
Austin city, TX	
Avon town, CO	6,447

Avon town, IN	12 446
Avondale city, AZ	
Azusa city, CA	
Bainbridge Island city, WA	
Baltimore city, MD	
Baltimore County, MD	
Bartonville town, TX	
Battle Creek city, MI	
Bay City city, MI	
Bay Village city, OH	
Baytown city, TX	
Bedford city, TX	
Bedford town, MA	,
Bellevue city, WA	
Bellingham city, WA	
Benbrook city, TX	
Bend city, OR	
Bethlehem township, PA	
Bettendorf city, IA	
Billings city, MT	104,170
Bloomington city, IN	
Bloomington city, MN	82,893
Blue Springs city, MO	52,575
Boise City city, ID	205,671
Bonner Springs city, KS	7,314
Boone County, KY	118,811
Boulder city, CO	
Bowling Green city, KY	58,067

Bozeman city, MT	
Brentwood city, MO	8,055
Brentwood city, TN	
Brighton city, CO	
Brighton city, MI	
Bristol city, TN	
Broken Arrow city, OK	98,850
Brookline CDP, MA	58,732
Brooklyn Center city, MN	
Brooklyn city, OH	
Broomfield city, CO	
Brownsburg town, IN	21,285
Buffalo Grove village, IL	41,496
Burlingame city, CA	
Cabarrus County, NC	
Cambridge city, MA	105, 162
Canandaigua city, NY	
Cannon Beach city, OR	1,690
Cañon City city, CO	16,400
Canton city, SD	
Cape Coral city, FL	
Carlisle borough, PA	
Carlsbad city, CA	
Carroll city, IA	10,103
Cartersville city, GA	19,731
Cary town, NC	135 234
Castine town, ME	1 266
Castle Rock town, CO	
Cedar Hill city, TX	
Cedar Rapids city, IA	126,326
Celina city, TX	
Centennial city, CO	
Chandler city, AZ	
Chandler city, TX	
Chanhassen city, MN	
Chapel Hill town, NC	57,233
Chardon city, OH	5,148
Charles County, MD	
Charlotte city, NC	
Charlotte County, FL	
Charlottesville city, VA	
Chattanooga city, TN	167,674
Chautauqua town, NY	
Chesterfield County, VA	
Clackamas County, OR	275 002
Clarendon Hills village, IL	8,427
Clayton city, MO	15,939
Clearwater city, FL	107,685
Cleveland Heights city, OH	46,121
Clinton city, SC	
Clive city, IA	
Clovis city, CA	
College Park city, MD	30,413
College Station city, TX	93,857
Colleyville city, TX	22,807
Columbia city, MO	
Columbia city, SC	
Columbia Falls city, MT	
Commerce City city, CO	45,913
Concord city, CA	122,067
Concord town, MA	
Conshohocken borough, PA	
Coolidge city, AZ	11 075
Coon Rapids city, MN	
Copperas Cove city, TX	
Coral Springs city, FL	121,096
Coronado city, CA	
Corvallis city, OR	
Cottonwood Heights city, UT	
	33,433
Creve Coeur city, MO	17,833

Frui
Gah

26

Cupertino city, CA	
Dacono city, CO	
Dakota County, MN3 Dallas city, OR	
Dallas city, TX1,1	
Danville city, KY	16.218
Dardenne Prairie city, MO	11,494
Darien city, IL	22,086
Davenport city, FL	. 2,888
Davidson town, NC	
Dayton city, OH1	41,527
Dayton town, WY Dearborn city, MI	/5/
Decatur city, GA	
Del Mar city, CA	4.161
DeLand city, FL	
Delaware city, OH	34,753
Denison city, TX	
Denton city, TX1	
Denver city, CO	
Des Moines city, IA2 Des Peres city, MO	
Destin city, FL	
Dover city, NH	
Dublin city, CA	
Dublin city, OH	41,751
Duluth city, MN	
Durham city, NC2	28,330
Durham County, NC2	67,587
Dyer town, IN Eagan city, MN	16,390
Eagle Mountain city, UT	
Eagle town, CO	
Eau Claire city, WI	65,883
Eden Prairie city, MN	60,797
Eden town, VT	. 1,323
Edgerton city, KS	
Edgewater city, CO	
Edina city, MN Edmond city, OK	47,941 81 405
Edmonds city, WA	
El Cerrito city, CA	
El Dorado County, CA1	81,058
El Paso de Robles (Paso Robles) city, CA	29,793
Elk Grove city, CA1	
Elko New Market city, MN	
Elmhurst city, IL	
Englewood city, CO Erie town, CO	
Escambia County, FL2	
Estes Park town, CO	
Euclid city, OH	48,920
Fairview town, TX	
Farmers Branch city, TX	
Farmersville city, TX	. 3,301
Farmington Hills city, MI	
Farmington town, CT Fayetteville city, GA	25,340
Fayetteville city, NC2	
Fernandina Beach city, FL	11,487
Flagstaff city, AZ	65,870
Flower Mound town, TX	64,669
Forest Grove city, OR	21,083
Fort Collins city, CO1	
Franklin city, TN	
Frederick town, CO Fremont city, CA2	
Friendswood city, TX	35,805
Fruita city, CO	
Gahanna city, OH	

The	Nationa
Gaithersburg city, MD	. 59,933
Galveston city, TX	. 47.743
Gardner city, KS	
Georgetown city, TX	
Germantown city, TN	
Gilbert town, AZ	
Gillette city, WY	
Glen Ellyn village, IL	
Glendora city, CA	
Glenview village, IL	. 44,692
Golden city, CO	. 18,867
Golden Valley city, MN	. 20.371
Goodyear city, AZ	65.275
Grafton village, WI	
Grand Blanc city, MI	
Grants Pass city, OR	
Grass Valley city, CA	. 12,860
Greeley city, CO	. 92,889
Greenville city, NC	. 84,554
Greenwich town, CT	. 61,171
Greenwood Village city, CO	
Greer city, SC	
Gunnison County, CO	15 22/
Haltom City city, TX	. 42,409
Hamilton city, OH	
Hamilton town, MA	
Hampton city, VA	137,436
Hanover County, VA	. 99,863
Harrisburg city, SD	
Harrisonburg city, VA	
Harrisonville city, MO	
Hastings city, MN	
Hayward city, CA	144,186
Henderson city, NV	
Herndon town, VA	
High Point city, NC	104,371
Highland Park city, IL	. 29,763
Highlands Ranch CDP, CO	
Homer Glen village, IL	24 220
Honolulu County, HI	
Hooksett town, NH	
Hopkins city, MN	
Hopkinton town, MA	
Hoquiam city, WA	
Horry County, SC	269,291
Howard village, WI	. 17,399
Hudson town, CO	
Huntley village, IL	
Huntsville city, TX	
Hurst city, TX	
Hutchinson city, MN	
Hutto city, TX	
Independence city, MO	
Indianola city, IA	. 14,782
Indio city, CA	. 76,036
Iowa City city, IA	. 67,862
Irving city, TX	216,290
Issaquah city, WA	
Jackson city, MO	
Jackson County, MI	
James City County, VA	
Jefferson County, NY	
Jefferson Parish, LA	
Johnson City city, TN	
Johnston city, IA	
Jupiter town, FL	. 55,156
Kalamazoo city, MI	
Kansas City city, KS	
Kansas City city, MO	
Keizer city, OR	
Kolzor oty, OK	. 50,470

Kenmore city, WA	20,460
Kennedale city, TX	6 763
Kent site MA	02 411
Kent city, WA	
Kerrville city, TX	. 22,347
Kettering city, OH	
Key West city, FL	
King City city, CA	12,874
Kingman city, AZ	
Kirkland city, WA	
Kirkwood city, MO	27,540
Knoxville city, IA	7 313
La Plata town, MD	
La Vista city, NE	15,758
Laguna Niguel city, CA	
Lake Forest city, IL	. 19,375
Lake in the Hills village, IL	28,965
Lake Stevens city, WA	
Lake Worth city, FL	
Lake Zurich village, IL	19,631
Lakeville city, MN	
Lakewood city, CO	142,980
Lakewood city, WA	58,163
Lancaster County, SC	
Lane County, OR	
Lansing city, MI	114,297
Laramie city, WY	
Larimer County, CO	
Las Cruces city, NM	97.618
Las Vegas city, NM	
Lawrence city, KS	
Lawrenceville city, GA	28,546
Lee's Summit city, MO	91 364
	. 71,304
Lehi city, UT	
Lenexa city, KS	48,190
Lewisville city, TX	
	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
	12 420
Lewisville town, NC	
Libertyville village, IL	20,315
Libertyville village, IL	20,315
Libertyville village, IL Lincolnwood village, IL	20,315 12,590
Libertyville village, IL Lincolnwood village, IL Lindsborg city, KS	. 20,315 . 12,590 3,458
Libertyville village, IL Lincolnwood village, IL Lindsborg city, KS Little Chute village, WI	20,315 12,590 3,458 10,449
Libertyville village, IL Lincolnwood village, IL Lindsborg city, KS Little Chute village, WI Littleton city, CO	20,315 12,590 3,458 10,449 41,737
Libertyville village, IL Lincolnwood village, IL Lindsborg city, KS Little Chute village, WI Littleton city, CO	20,315 12,590 3,458 10,449 41,737
Libertyville village, IL Lincolnwood village, IL Lindsborg city, KS Little Chute village, WI Littleton city, CO Livermore city, CA	20,315 12,590 3,458 10,449 . 41,737 . 80,968
Libertyville village, IL Lincolnwood village, IL Lindsborg city, KS Little Chute village, WI Littleton city, CO Livermore city, CA Lombard village, IL	20,315 12,590 3,458 10,449 41,737 80,968 43,165
Libertyville village, IL Lincolnwood village, IL Lindsborg city, KS Little Chute village, WI Littleton city, CO Livermore city, CA Lombard village, IL Lone Tree city, CO	20,315 12,590 3,458 10,449 41,737 80,968 43,165 10,218
Libertyville village, IL Lincolnwood village, IL Lindsborg city, KS Little Chute village, WI Littleton city, CO Livermore city, CA Lombard village, IL Lone Tree city, CO Long Grove village, IL	. 20,315 . 12,590 3,458 . 10,449 . 41,737 . 80,968 . 43,165 . 10,218 8,043
Libertyville village, IL Lincolnwood village, IL Lindsborg city, KS Little Chute village, WI Littleton city, CO Livermore city, CA Lombard village, IL Lone Tree city, CO	. 20,315 . 12,590 3,458 . 10,449 . 41,737 . 80,968 . 43,165 . 10,218 8,043
Libertyville village, IL Lincolnwood village, IL Lindsborg city, KS Little Chute village, WI Littleton city, CO Livermore city, CA Lombard village, IL Lone Tree city, CO Long Grove village, IL Longmont city, CO	20,315 12,590 3,458 10,449 41,737 80,968 43,165 10,218 8,043 86,270
Libertyville village, IL Lincolnwood village, IL Lindsborg city, KS Little Chute village, WI Littleton city, CO Livermore city, CA Lombard village, IL Lone Tree city, CO Long Grove village, IL Longmont city, CO Longwiew city, TX	20,315 12,590 3,458 10,449 41,737 80,968 43,165 10,218 8,043 86,270 80,455
Libertyville village, IL Lincolnwood village, IL Lindsborg city, KS Little Chute village, WI Littleton city, CO Livermore city, CA Lombard village, IL Lone Tree city, CO Long Grove village, IL Longmont city, CO Longwiew city, TX Lonsdale city, MN	20,315 12,590 3,458 10,449 41,737 80,968 43,165 10,218 8,043 86,270 80,455 3,674
Libertyville village, IL Lincolnwood village, IL Lindsborg city, KS Little Chute village, WI Littleton city, CO Livermore city, CA Lombard village, IL Lone Tree city, CO Long Grove village, IL Longmont city, CO Longwiew city, TX. Lonsdale city, MN Los Alamos County, NM	20,315 12,590 3,458 10,449 41,737 80,968 43,165 10,218 8,043 86,270 80,455 3,674 17,950
Libertyville village, IL Lincolnwood village, IL Lindsborg city, KS Little Chute village, WI Littleton city, CO Livermore city, CA Lombard village, IL Lone Tree city, CO Long Grove village, IL Longmont city, CO Longwiew city, TX. Lonsdale city, MN Los Alamos County, NM	20,315 12,590 3,458 10,449 41,737 80,968 43,165 10,218 8,043 86,270 80,455 3,674 17,950
Libertyville village, IL Lincolnwood village, IL Lindsborg city, KS Little Chute village, WI Littleton city, CO Livermore city, CA Lombard village, IL Lone Tree city, CO Long Grove village, IL Longmont city, CO Longwiew city, TX. Lonsdale city, MN Los Alamos County, NM Los Altos Hills town, CA	20,315 12,590 3,458 10,449 41,737 80,968 43,165 10,218 8,043 86,270 80,455 3,674 17,950 7,922
Libertyville village, IL Lincolnwood village, IL Lindsborg city, KS Little Chute village, WI Littleton city, CO Livermore city, CA Lombard village, IL. Lone Tree city, CO Long Grove village, IL. Longmont city, CO Longwiew city, TX. Lonsdale city, MN. Los Altos Hills town, CA Loudoun County, VA.	20,315 12,590 3,458 10,449 41,737 80,968 43,165 10,218 8,043 86,270 80,455 3,674 17,950 7,922 312,311
Libertyville village, IL Lincolnwood village, IL Lindsborg city, KS Little Chute village, WI Littleton city, CO Livermore city, CA Lombard village, IL. Lone Tree city, CO Long Grove village, IL. Long Grove village, IL. Longmont city, CO Longview city, TX Lonsdale city, MN Los Alamos County, NM Los Altos Hills town, CA Loudoun County, VA Louisville city, CO	20,315 12,590 3,458 10,449 41,737 80,968 43,165 10,218 8,043 86,270 80,455 3,674 17,950 7,922 312,311 18,376
Libertyville village, IL Lincolnwood village, IL Lindsborg city, KS Little Chute village, WI Littleton city, CO Livermore city, CA Lombard village, IL. Lone Tree city, CO Long Grove village, IL. Long Grove village, IL. Longmont city, CO Longview city, TX Lonsdale city, MN Los Alamos County, NM Los Altos Hills town, CA Loudoun County, VA Louisville city, CO	20,315 12,590 3,458 10,449 41,737 80,968 43,165 10,218 8,043 86,270 80,455 3,674 17,950 7,922 312,311 18,376
Libertyville village, IL Lincolnwood village, IL Lindsborg city, KS Little Chute village, WI Littleton city, CO Livermore city, CA Lombard village, IL. Lone Tree city, CO Long Grove village, IL. Long Grove village, IL. Longmont city, CO Longview city, TX Lonsdale city, MN Los Alamos County, NM Los Altos Hills town, CA. Loudoun County, VA Lousville city, CO Lower Merion township, PA	20,315 12,590 3,458 10,449 41,737 80,968 43,165 10,218 8,043 86,270 80,455 3,674 17,950 7,922 312,311 18,376 57,825
Libertyville village, IL Lincolnwood village, IL Lindsborg city, KS Little Chute village, WI Littleton city, CO Livermore city, CA Lombard village, IL. Lone Tree city, CO Long Grove village, IL. Long Grove village, IL. Longmont city, CO Longwiew city, TX Lonsdale city, MN Los Alamos County, NM Los Alamos County, NM Loudoun County, VA Louisville city, CO Lower Merion township, PA Lynchburg city, VA	20,315 12,590 3,458 10,449 41,737 80,968 43,165 10,218 8,043 86,270 80,455 3,674 17,950 7,922 312,311 18,376 57,825 75,568
Libertyville village, IL Lincolnwood village, IL Lindsborg city, KS Little Chute village, WI Littleton city, CO Livermore city, CA Lombard village, IL. Lone Tree city, CO Long Grove village, IL. Long Grove village, IL. Longmont city, CO Longview city, TX Lonsdale city, MN Los Alamos County, NM Los Alamos County, NM Los Altos Hills town, CA Loudoun County, VA Lousville city, CO Lower Merion township, PA Lynchburg city, VA	20,315 12,590 3,458 10,449 41,737 80,968 43,165 10,218 8,043 80,455 3,674 17,950 7,922 312,311 18,376 57,825 75,568 35,836
Libertyville village, IL Lincolnwood village, IL Lindsborg city, KS Little Chute village, WI Littleton city, CO Livermore city, CA Lombard village, IL. Lone Tree city, CO Long Grove village, IL. Long Grove village, IL. Longmont city, CO Longview city, TX Lonsdale city, MN Los Alamos County, NM Los Alamos County, NM Los Altos Hills town, CA Loudoun County, VA Lousville city, CO Lower Merion township, PA Lynchburg city, VA	20,315 12,590 3,458 10,449 41,737 80,968 43,165 10,218 8,043 80,455 3,674 17,950 7,922 312,311 18,376 57,825 75,568 35,836
Libertyville village, IL Lincolnwood village, IL Lindsborg city, KS Little Chute village, WI Littleton city, CO Livermore city, CA Lombard village, IL. Lone Tree city, CO Long Grove village, IL. Long Grove village, IL. Longmont city, CO Longview city, TX Lonsdale city, MN Los Alamos County, NM Los Alamos County, NM Los Altos Hills town, CA Loudoun County, VA Lousville city, CO Lower Merion township, PA Lynchburg city, VA Lynnwood city, WA Macomb County, MI	20,315 12,590 3,458 10,449 41,737 80,968 43,165 10,218 8,043 86,270 80,455 3,674 17,950 7,922 312,311 18,376 57,825 .75,568 .35,836
Libertyville village, IL Lincolnwood village, IL Lindsborg city, KS Little Chute village, WI Littleton city, CO Livermore city, CA Lombard village, IL Lone Tree city, CO Long Grove village, IL Long Grove village, IL Longmont city, CO Longview city, TX Lonsdale city, MN Los Alamos County, NM Los Alamos County, NM Los Altos Hills town, CA Loudoun County, VA Louisville city, CO Lower Merion township, PA Lynchburg city, VA Lynnwood city, WA Macomb County, MI	20,315 12,590 3,458 10,449 41,737 80,968 43,165 10,218 8,043 86,270 80,455 3,674 17,950 7,922 312,311 18,376 57,825 .75,568 .35,836 840,978 .37,821
Libertyville village, IL Lincolnwood village, IL Lindsborg city, KS Little Chute village, WI Littleton city, CO Livermore city, CA Lombard village, IL Lone Tree city, CO Long Grove village, IL Longmont city, CO Longview city, TX Lonsdale city, MN Los Alamos County, NM Los Alamos County, NM Los Altos Hills town, CA Loudoun County, VA Louisville city, CO Lower Merion township, PA Lynchburg city, VA Lynnwood city, WA Macomb County, MI Manassas city, VA Manhattan Beach city, CA	20,315 12,590 3,458 10,449 41,737 80,968 43,165 10,218 8,043 86,270 80,455 3,674 17,950 7,922 312,311 18,376 57,825 .75,568 .35,836 840,978 .37,821 .35,135
Libertyville village, IL Lincolnwood village, IL Lindsborg city, KS Little Chute village, WI Littleton city, CO Livermore city, CA Lombard village, IL Lone Tree city, CO Long Grove village, IL Longmont city, CO Longview city, TX Lonsdale city, MN Los Alamos County, NM Los Alamos County, NM Los Altos Hills town, CA Loudoun County, VA Louisville city, CO Lower Merion township, PA Lynchburg city, VA Lynnwood city, WA Macomb County, MI Manassas city, VA Manhattan Beach city, CA	20,315 12,590 3,458 10,449 41,737 80,968 43,165 10,218 8,043 86,270 80,455 3,674 17,950 7,922 312,311 18,376 57,825 .75,568 .35,836 840,978 .37,821 .35,135
Libertyville village, IL Lincolnwood village, IL Lindsborg city, KS Little Chute village, WI Littleton city, CO Livermore city, CA Lombard village, IL Lone Tree city, CO Long Grove village, IL Longmont city, CO Longview city, TX Lonsdale city, MN Los Alamos County, NM Los Alamos County, NM Los Alamos County, NM Los Altos Hills town, CA Loudoun County, VA Louisville city, CO Lower Merion township, PA Lynchburg city, VA Lynnwood city, WA Macomb County, MI Manassas city, VA Manhattan Beach city, CA Manhattan city, KS	20,315 12,590 3,458 10,449 41,737 80,968 43,165 10,218 8,043 86,270 80,455 3,674 17,950 7,922 312,311 18,376 57,825 .75,568 35,836 840,978 .37,821 .35,135 .52,281
Libertyville village, IL Lincolnwood village, IL Lindsborg city, KS Little Chute village, WI Littleton city, CO Livermore city, CA Lombard village, IL Lone Tree city, CO. Long Grove village, IL Longmont city, CO. Longview city, TX Lonsdale city, MN Los Alamos County, NM Los Alamos County, NM Los Alamos County, NM Los Altos Hills town, CA Loudoun County, VA Louisville city, CO. Lower Merion township, PA Lynchburg city, VA Lynnwood city, WA Macamb County, MI Manassas city, VA Manhattan Beach city, CA Manhattan city, KS	20,315 12,590 3,458 10,449 41,737 80,968 43,165 10,218 8,043 86,270 80,455 3,674 17,950 7,922 312,311 18,376 57,825 75,568 35,836 840,978 37,821 35,135 52,281 39,309
Libertyville village, IL Lincolnwood village, IL Lindsborg city, KS Little Chute village, WI Littleton city, CO Livermore city, CA Lombard village, IL Lone Tree city, CO Long Grove village, IL Longmont city, CO Longview city, TX. Lonsdale city, MN Los Alamos County, NM Los Alamos County, NM Los Alamos County, NM Los Altos Hills town, CA Loudoun County, VA Louisville city, CO Lower Merion township, PA Lynchburg city, VA Lynnwood city, WA Macomb County, MI Manassas city, VA Manhattan Beach city, CA Manhattan city, KS. Mankato city, MN	20,315 12,590 3,458 10,449 41,737 80,968 43,165 10,218 8,043 86,270 80,455 3,674 17,950 7,922 312,311 18,376 57,825 .75,568 35,836 840,978 .37,821 .35,135 .52,281 .39,309 .61,567
Libertyville village, IL Lincolnwood village, IL Lindsborg city, KS Little Chute village, WI Littleton city, CO Livermore city, CA Lombard village, IL Lone Tree city, CO Long Grove village, IL Longmont city, CO Longview city, TX. Lonsdale city, MN Los Alamos County, NM Los Alamos County, NM Los Alamos County, NM Los Altos Hills town, CA Loudoun County, VA Louisville city, CO Lower Merion township, PA Lynchburg city, VA Lynnwood city, WA Macomb County, MI Manassas city, VA Manhattan Beach city, CA Manhattan city, KS. Mankato city, MN	20,315 12,590 3,458 10,449 41,737 80,968 43,165 10,218 8,043 86,270 80,455 3,674 17,950 7,922 312,311 18,376 57,825 .75,568 35,836 840,978 .37,821 .35,135 .52,281 .39,309 .61,567
Libertyville village, IL Lincolnwood village, IL Lindsborg city, KS Little Chute village, WI Littleton city, CO Livermore city, CA Lombard village, IL Lone Tree city, CO Long Grove village, IL Longmont city, CO Longview city, TX. Lonsdale city, MN. Los Alamos County, NM. Los Alamos County, NM. Los Alamos County, VA Loudoun County, VA Loudoun County, VA Lousville city, CO. Lower Merion township, PA. Lynchburg city, VA Lynnwood city, WA Macomb County, MI Manassas city, VA Manhattan Beach city, CA Manhattan city, KS Maple Grove city, MN	20,315 12,590 3,458 10,449 41,737 80,968 43,165 10,218 8,043 86,270 80,455 3,674 17,950 7,922 312,311 18,376 57,825 57,825 35,836 840,978 35,135 52,281 39,309 61,567 38,018
Libertyville village, IL Lincolnwood village, IL Lindsborg city, KS Little Chute village, WI Littleton city, CO Livermore city, CA Lombard village, IL Lone Tree city, CO Long Grove village, IL Longmont city, CO Longwiew city, TX. Lonsdale city, MN Los Alamos County, NM Los Alamos County, NM Los Alamos County, NM Los Alamos County, VA Loudoun County, VA Louisville city, CO. Lower Merion township, PA. Lynchburg city, VA Lynnwood city, WA Manastan Beach city, CA Manhattan Beach city, CA Manhattan city, KS. Mankato city, MN Maple Grove city, MN Maricopa County, AZ3,	20,315 12,590 3,458 10,449 41,737 80,968 43,165 10,218 8,043 86,270 80,455 3,674 17,950 7,922 312,311 18,376 57,825 .75,568 35,836 840,978 37,821 35,135 52,281 39,309 61,567 .38,018 817,117
Libertyville village, IL Lincolnwood village, IL Lindsborg city, KS Little Chute village, WI Littleton city, CO. Livermore city, CA. Lombard village, IL Lone Tree city, CO. Long Grove village, IL Longmont city, CO. Longwiew city, TX. Lonsdale city, MN. Los Alamos County, NM. Los Alamos County, NM. Los Alamos County, NM. Los Alamos County, VA. Loudoun County, VA Louisville city, CO. Lower Merion township, PA. Lynchburg city, VA Lynnwood city, WA Macomb County, MI Manassas city, VA. Manhattan Beach city, CA. Manhattan city, KS. Mankato city, MN Maplewood city, MN Maricopa County, AZ	20,315 12,590 3,458 10,449 41,737 80,968 43,165 10,218 8,043 86,270 80,455 3,674 17,950 7,922 312,311 18,376 57,825 75,568 35,836 840,978 35,135 52,281 35,135 52,281 39,309 61,567 38,018 817,117 252,409
Libertyville village, IL Lincolnwood village, IL Lindsborg city, KS Little Chute village, WI Littleton city, CO Livermore city, CA Lombard village, IL Lone Tree city, CO Long Grove village, IL Longmont city, CO Longwiew city, TX. Lonsdale city, MN Los Alamos County, NM. Los Alamos County, NM. Los Alamos County, VA Loudoun County, VA Loudoun County, VA Louisville city, CO Lower Merion township, PA. Lynchburg city, VA Lynnwood city, WA Macomb County, MI Manassas city, VA Manhattan Beach city, CA Manhattan city, KS. Mankato city, MN Maple Grove city, MN Maricopa County, AZ Marin County, CA Marion city, IA	20,315 12,590 3,458 10,449 41,737 80,968 43,165 10,218 8,043 86,270 3,674 17,950 7,922 312,311 18,376 57,825 .75,568 .35,836 840,978 .37,821 .35,135 .52,281 .39,309 .61,567 .38,018 817,117 252,409 .34,768
Libertyville village, IL Lincolnwood village, IL Lindsborg city, KS Little Chute village, WI Littleton city, CO Livermore city, CA Lombard village, IL Lone Tree city, CO Long Grove village, IL Longmont city, CO Longwiew city, TX. Lonsdale city, MN Los Alamos County, NM. Los Alamos County, NM. Los Alamos County, VA Loudoun County, VA Loudoun County, VA Louisville city, CO Lower Merion township, PA. Lynchburg city, VA Lynnwood city, WA Macomb County, MI Manassas city, VA Manhattan Beach city, CA Manhattan city, KS. Mankato city, MN Maple Grove city, MN Maricopa County, AZ Marin County, CA Marion city, IA	20,315 12,590 3,458 10,449 41,737 80,968 43,165 10,218 8,043 86,270 3,674 17,950 7,922 312,311 18,376 57,825 .75,568 .35,836 840,978 .37,821 .35,135 .52,281 .39,309 .61,567 .38,018 817,117 252,409 .34,768
Libertyville village, IL Lincolnwood village, IL Lindsborg city, KS Little Chute village, WI Littleton city, CO Livermore city, CA Lombard village, IL Lone Tree city, CO Long Grove village, IL Longmont city, CO Longwiew city, TX. Lonsdale city, MN Los Alamos County, NM. Los Altos Hills town, CA Loudoun County, VA Louisville city, CO Lower Merion township, PA. Lynchburg city, VA Lynnwood city, WA Macomb County, MI Manassas city, VA Manhattan city, KS. Manhattan city, KS Manhattan city, MN Maple Grove city, MN Maricopa County, AZ Marin County, CA.	20,315 12,590 3,458 10,449 41,737 80,968 43,165 10,218 8,043 86,270 3,674 17,950 7,922 312,311 18,376 57,825 75,568 35,836 840,978 .37,821 .35,135 52,281 .39,309 .61,567 .38,018 817,117 252,409 .34,768 .12,59
Libertyville village, IL Lincolnwood village, IL Lindsborg city, KS Little Chute village, WI Littleton city, CO Livermore city, CA Lombard village, IL Lone Tree city, CO Long Grove village, IL Longmont city, CO Longwiew city, TX. Lonsdale city, MN Los Alamos County, NM. Los Altos Hills town, CA Loudoun County, VA Louisville city, CO Lower Merion township, PA Lynchburg city, VA Lynnwood city, WA Macomb County, MI Manassas city, VA Manhattan Beach city, CA Manhattan city, KS Mankato city, MN Maple Grove city, MN Maricopa County, AZ Marin city, IA Mariposa County, CA Marshfield city, WI	20,315 12,590 3,458 10,449 41,737 80,968 43,165 10,218 8,043 86,270 80,455 3,674 17,950 7,922 312,311 18,376 57,825 75,568 35,836 840,978 35,135 52,281 39,309 61,567 38,018 817,117 252,409 .34,768 18,251 .19,118
Libertyville village, IL Lincolnwood village, IL Lindsborg city, KS Little Chute village, WI Littleton city, CO Livermore city, CA Lombard village, IL Lone Tree city, CO Long Grove village, IL Longmont city, CO Longview city, TX. Lonsdale city, MN Los Alamos County, NM. Los Altos Hills town, CA Loudoun County, VA Louisville city, CO Lower Merion township, PA Lynchburg city, VA Lynnwood city, WA Macomb County, MI Manassas city, VA Manhattan Beach city, CA Manhattan city, KS Mankato city, MN Maple Grove city, MN Maple Grove city, MN Maricopa County, CA Marin County, CA Marin County, CA Marshfield city, WI Martinez city, CA	20,315 12,590 3,458 10,449 41,737 80,968 43,165 10,218 8,043 86,270 80,455 3,674 17,950 7,922 312,311 18,376 57,825 75,568 35,836 840,978 35,836 840,978 35,135 52,281 39,309 61,567 38,018 817,117 252,409 34,768 18,251 19,118 .35,824
Libertyville village, IL Lincolnwood village, IL Lindsborg city, KS Little Chute village, WI Littleton city, CO Livermore city, CA Lombard village, IL Lone Tree city, CO Long Grove village, IL Longmont city, CO Longwiew city, TX. Lonsdale city, MN Los Alamos County, NM. Los Altos Hills town, CA Loudoun County, VA Louisville city, CO Lower Merion township, PA Lynchburg city, VA Lynnwood city, WA Macomb County, MI Manassas city, VA Manhattan Beach city, CA Manhattan city, KS Mankato city, MN Maple Grove city, MN Maricopa County, AZ Marin city, IA Mariposa County, CA Marshfield city, WI	20,315 12,590 3,458 10,449 41,737 80,968 43,165 10,218 8,043 86,270 80,455 3,674 17,950 7,922 312,311 18,376 57,825 75,568 35,836 840,978 35,135 52,281 35,135 52,281 39,309 61,567 38,018 317,117 252,409 34,768 18,251 19,118 35,824 35,824 35,824 35,824

Maui County, HI McAllen city, TX	
	154,834
MCAILED CITY IX	
McKinney city, TX	
McMinnville city, OR	32,187
Mecklenburg County, NC	919.628
Menlo Park city, CA	22 024
Menomonee Falls village, WI	
Mercer Island city, WA	22.699
Meridian charter township, MI	20 6 8 8
Meridian city, ID	
Merriam city, KS	11,003
Mesa city, AZ	439 041
Mesa County, CO	
Miami Beach city, FL	
Miami city, FL	399,457
Middleton city, WI	17.442
Midland city, MI	
Milford city, DE	
Milton city, GA	32,661
Minneapolis city, MN	382.578
Minnetrista city, MN	
Missevel Oltradity, WN	0,304
Missouri City city, TX	
Modesto city, CA	201,165
Moline city, IL	43,483
Monroe city, MI	
Monterey city, CA	27,810
Montgomery city, MN	2,956
Montgomery County, MD	971.777
Monticello city, UT	1 072
Montrose city, CO	19,132
Monument town, CO	5,530
Moraga town, CA	16.016
Morristown city, TN	
Morrisville town, NC	
Morro Bay city, CA	
Mountain Village town, CO	1,320
Mountlake Terrace city, WA	
Murphy city, TX	
Naperville city, IL	141,853
Napoleon city, OH	8,749
Nederland city, TX	
Needham CDP, MA	28,886
Needham CDP, MA Nevada City city, CA	28,886 3,068
Needham CDP, MA	28,886 3,068
Needham CDP, MA Nevada City city, CA Nevada County, CA	28,886 3,068 98,764
Needham CDP, MA Nevada City city, CA Nevada County, CA New Braunfels city, TX	28,886 3,068 98,764 57,740
Needham CDP, MA Nevada City city, CA Nevada County, CA New Braunfels city, TX New Brighton city, MN	28,886 3,068 98,764 57,740 21,456
Needham CDP, MA Nevada City city, CA Nevada County, CA New Braunfels city, TX New Brighton city, MN New Concord village, OH	28,886 3,068 98,764 57,740 21,456 2,491
Needham CDP, MA Nevada City city, CA Nevada County, CA New Braunfels city, TX New Brighton city, MN	28,886 3,068 98,764 57,740 21,456 2,491
Needham CDP, MA Nevada City city, CA Nevada County, CA New Braunfels city, TX New Brighton city, MN New Concord village, OH New Hope city, MN	28,886 3,068 98,764 57,740 21,456 2,491 20,339
Needham CDP, MA Nevada City city, CA Nevada County, CA New Braunfels city, TX New Brighton city, MN New Concord village, OH New Hope city, MN New Orleans city, LA	28,886 3,068 98,764 57,740 21,456 2,491 20,339 343,829
Needham CDP, MA Nevada City city, CA Nevada County, CA New Braunfels city, TX New Brighton city, MN New Concord village, OH New Hope city, MN New Orleans city, LA New Smyrna Beach city, FL	28,886 3,068 98,764 57,740 21,456 2,491 20,339 343,829 22,464
Needham CDP, MA Nevada City city, CA Nevada County, CA New Braunfels city, TX New Brighton city, MN New Concord village, OH New Hope city, MN New Orleans city, LA New Smyrna Beach city, FL New Ulm city, MN	28,886 3,068 98,764 57,740 21,456 2,491 20,339 343,829 22,464 13,522
Needham CDP, MA Nevada City city, CA Nevada County, CA New Braunfels city, TX New Brighton city, MN New Concord village, OH New Hope city, MN New Orleans city, LA New Smyrna Beach city, FL New Ulm city, MN Newberg city, OR	28,886 3,068 98,764 57,740 21,456 2,491 20,339 343,829 22,464 13,522 22,068
Needham CDP, MA Nevada City city, CA Nevada County, CA New Braunfels city, TX New Brighton city, MN New Concord village, OH New Hope city, MN New Orleans city, LA New Smyrna Beach city, FL New Ulm city, MN	28,886 3,068 98,764 57,740 21,456 2,491 20,339 343,829 22,464 13,522 22,068
Needham CDP, MA Nevada City city, CA Newada County, CA New Braunfels city, TX New Brighton city, MN New Concord village, OH New Hope city, MN New Orleans city, LA New Smyrna Beach city, FL New Ulm city, MN Newberg city, OR Newport city, RI	28,886 3,068 98,764 57,740 21,456 2,491 20,339 343,829 22,464 13,522 22,068 24,672
Needham CDP, MA Nevada City city, CA Nevada County, CA New Braunfels city, TX New Brighton city, MN New Concord village, OH New Hope city, MN New Orleans city, LA New Orleans city, LA New Smyrna Beach city, FL New Ulm city, MN Newberg city, OR Newport city, RI Newport News city, VA	28,886 3,068 98,764 57,740 21,456 2,491 20,339 343,829 22,464 13,522 22,068 24,672 180,719
Needham CDP, MA Nevada City city, CA Nevada County, CA New Braunfels city, TX New Brighton city, MN New Concord village, OH New Hope city, MN New Orleans city, LA New Orleans city, LA New Smyrna Beach city, FL New Ulm city, MN Newberg city, OR Newbort city, RI Newport News city, VA Newton city, IA	28,886 3,068 98,764 57,740 21,456 2,491 20,339 343,829 22,464 13,522 22,068 24,672 180,719 15,254
Needham CDP, MA Nevada City city, CA Nevada County, CA New Braunfels city, TX New Brighton city, MN New Concord village, OH New Hope city, MN New Orleans city, LA New Orleans city, LA New Smyrna Beach city, FL New Ulm city, MN Newberg city, OR Newport city, RI Newport city, RI Newport News city, VA Newton city, IA Noblesville city, IN	28,886 3,068 98,764 57,740 21,456 2,491 20,339 343,829 22,464 13,522 22,068 24,672 180,719 15,254 51,969
Needham CDP, MA Nevada City city, CA Nevada County, CA New Braunfels city, TX New Brighton city, MN New Concord village, OH New Hope city, MN New Orleans city, LA New Smyrna Beach city, FL New Ulm city, MN Newberg city, OR Newbort city, RI Newport News city, VA Newton city, IA	28,886 3,068 98,764 57,740 21,456 2,491 20,339 343,829 22,464 13,522 22,068 24,672 180,719 15,254 51,969
Needham CDP, MA Nevada City city, CA Nevada County, CA New Braunfels city, TX New Brighton city, MN New Concord village, OH New Hope city, MN New Orleans city, LA New Orleans city, LA New Smyrna Beach city, FL New Ulm city, MN Newberg city, OR Newport city, RI Newport city, RI Newport News city, VA Newton city, IA Noblesville city, IN	28,886 3,068 98,764 57,740 21,456 2,491 20,339 343,829 22,464 13,522 22,068 24,672 180,719 15,254 9,116
Needham CDP, MA Nevada City city, CA Nevada County, CA New Braunfels city, TX New Brighton city, MN New Concord village, OH New Hope city, MN New Orleans city, LA New Orleans city, LA New Smyrna Beach city, FL New Ulm city, MN Newberg city, OR Newport city, RI Newport city, RI Newport News city, VA Newton city, IA Noblesville city, IN Norcross city, GA Norfolk city, NE	28,886 3,068 98,764 57,740 21,456 2,491 20,339 343,829 22,464 13,522 22,068 24,672 180,719 15,254 9,116 24,210
Needham CDP, MA Nevada City city, CA New Braunfels city, TX New Brighton city, MN New Concord village, OH New Concord village, OH New Hope city, MN New Orleans city, LA New Orleans city, LA New Smyrna Beach city, FL New Ulm city, MN Newberg city, OR Newport city, RI Newport city, RI Newport News city, VA Newton city, IA Noblesville city, IN Norcross city, GA Norfolk city, NE Norfolk city, VA	28,886 3,068 98,764 57,740 21,456 2,491 20,339 22,464 13,522 22,068 24,672 180,719 15,254 51,969 9,116 24,210 242,803
Needham CDP, MA Nevada City city, CA Newada County, CA New Braunfels city, TX New Brighton city, MN New Concord village, OH New Concord village, OH New Concord village, OH New Hope city, MN New Orleans city, LA New Orleans city, LA New Smyrna Beach city, FL New Ulm city, MN Newberg city, OR Newport News city, FL Newport News city, VA Newton city, IA Noblesville city, IN Norcoss city, GA Norfolk city, NE Norfolk city, VA North Mankato city, MN	28,886 3,068 98,764 57,740 21,456 2,491 20,339 22,464 13,522 22,068 24,672 180,719 15,254 51,969 9,116 24,210 242,803 13,394
Needham CDP, MA Nevada City city, CA Newada County, CA New Braunfels city, TX New Brighton city, MN New Concord village, OH New Concord village, OH New Hope city, MN New Orleans city, LA New Orleans city, LA New Smyrna Beach city, FL New Ulm city, MN Newberg city, OR Newport city, RI Newport city, RI Newport News city, VA Newton city, IA Noblesville city, IN Norcross city, GA Norfolk city, NE Norfolk city, VA North Mankato city, MN North Port city, FL	28,886 3,068 98,764 57,740 21,456 2,491 20,339 343,829 22,464 13,522 22,068 24,672 180,719 15,254 51,969 9,116 24,210 242,803 13,394 57,357
Needham CDP, MA Nevada City city, CA Newada County, CA New Braunfels city, TX New Brighton city, MN New Concord village, OH New Hope city, MN New Orleans city, LA New Orleans city, LA New Orleans city, LA New Ulm city, MN Newberg city, OR Newberg city, OR Newport city, RI Newport News city, VA Newton city, IA Noblesville city, IN Norcross city, GA Norfolk city, NE North Kankato city, MN North Port city, FL North Richland Hills city, TX	28,886 3,068 98,764 57,740 21,456 2,491 20,339 22,464 13,522 22,068 24,672 180,719 15,254 51,969 9,116 24,210 242,803 13,394 57,357 63,343
Needham CDP, MA Nevada City city, CA Newada County, CA New Braunfels city, TX New Brighton city, MN New Concord village, OH New Hope city, MN New Orleans city, LA New Orleans city, LA New Orleans city, LA New Ulm city, MN Newberg city, OR Newberg city, OR Newport city, RI Newport News city, VA Newton city, IA Noblesville city, IN Norcross city, GA Norfolk city, NE North Kankato city, MN North Port city, FL North Richland Hills city, TX	28,886 3,068 98,764 57,740 21,456 2,491 20,339 22,464 13,522 22,068 24,672 180,719 15,254 51,969 9,116 24,210 242,803 13,394 57,357 63,343
Needham CDP, MA Nevada City city, CA Newada County, CA New Braunfels city, TX New Brighton city, MN New Concord village, OH New Concord village, OH New Concord village, OH New Concord village, OH New Orleans city, MN New Orleans city, LA New Orleans city, LA New Orleans city, LA New Ulm city, MN Newberg city, OR Newberg city, OR Newport city, RI Newport News city, VA Newton city, IA Noblesville city, IN Norcross city, GA Norfolk city, NE North Kankato city, MN North Port city, FL North Richland Hills city, TX North Yarmouth town, ME	28,886 3,068 98,764 57,740 21,456 2,491 20,339 22,464 13,522 22,068 24,672 180,719 15,254 51,969 9,116 24,210 24,210 13,394 57,357 63,343 3,565
Needham CDP, MA Nevada City city, CA Newada County, CA New Braunfels city, TX New Brighton city, MN New Concord village, OH New Concord village, OH New Concord village, OH New Concord village, OH New Orleans city, LA New Orleans city, LA New Orleans city, LA New Orleans city, LA New Ulm city, MN Newberg city, OR Newberg city, OR Newport city, RI Newport News city, VA Newton city, IA Noblesville city, IN Norcross city, GA Norfolk city, VA North Mankato city, MN North Port city, FL North Richland Hills city, TX North Yarmouth town, ME Novato city, CA	28,886 3,068 98,764 57,740 21,456 2,491 20,339 22,464 13,522 22,068 24,672 180,719 15,254 51,969 24,210 242,803 13,394 57,357 63,343 3,565 51,904
Needham CDP, MA Nevada City city, CA Newada County, CA New Braunfels city, TX New Brighton city, MN New Concord village, OH New Concord village, OH New Concord village, OH New Concord village, OH New Orleans city, MN New Orleans city, LA New Orleans city, LA New Orleans city, LA New Ulm city, MN Newberg city, OR Newberg city, OR Newport city, RI Newport News city, VA Newton city, IA Noblesville city, IN Norcross city, GA Norfolk city, NE North Kankato city, MN North Port city, FL North Richland Hills city, TX North Yarmouth town, ME Novato city, CA Novi city, MI	28,886 3,068 98,764 57,740 21,456 2,491 20,339 22,464 13,522 22,068 24,672 180,719 15,254 51,969 24,210 242,803 13,394 57,357 63,343 3,565 51,904 55,224
Needham CDP, MA Nevada City city, CA Newada County, CA New Braunfels city, TX New Brighton city, MN New Concord village, OH New Hope city, MN New Corleans city, LA New Orleans city, LA New Orleans city, LA New Orleans city, LA New Ulm city, MN Newberg city, OR Newberg city, OR Newport city, RI Newport News city, VA Newton city, IA Noblesville city, IN Norcross city, GA Norfolk city, NE Norfolk city, VA North Mankato city, MN North Port city, FL North Richland Hills city, TX North Yarmouth town, ME Novato city, CA Novi city, MI O'Fallon city, IL	28,886 3,068 98,764 57,740 21,456 2,491 20,339 22,464 13,522 22,068 24,672 180,719 15,254 9,116 24,210 24,210 13,394 57,357 63,343 3,565 51,904 28,281
Needham CDP, MA Nevada City city, CA Nevada County, CA New Braunfels city, TX New Brighton city, MN New Concord village, OH New Concord village, OH New Orleans city, MN New Orleans city, LA New Orleans city, LA New Smyrna Beach city, FL New Ulm city, MN Newberg city, OR Newbort city, RI Newport News city, VA Newton city, IA Newton city, IA Norcross city, GA Norfolk city, NE Norfolk city, NE Norfolk city, VA North Mankato city, MN North Port city, FL North Richland Hills city, TX North Yarmouth town, ME Novato city, CA Novi city, MI O'Fallon city, IL	28,886 3,068 98,764 57,740 21,456 2,491 20,339 24,464 13,522 22,068 24,672 180,719 15,254 9,116 24,210 24,2803 9,116 24,210 24,2803 3,565 3,565 3,565 51,904 55,224 28,281 79,329
Needham CDP, MA Nevada City city, CA Nevada County, CA New Braunfels city, TX New Brighton city, MN New Concord village, OH New Concord village, OH New Orleans city, MN New Orleans city, LA New Orleans city, LA New Smyrna Beach city, FL New Ulm city, MN Newberg city, OR Newbort city, RI Newport News city, VA Newton city, IA Newton city, IA Norcross city, GA Norfolk city, NE Norfolk city, NE Norfolk city, VA North Mankato city, MN North Port city, FL North Richland Hills city, TX North Yarmouth town, ME Novato city, CA Novi city, MI O'Fallon city, IL	28,886 3,068 98,764 57,740 21,456 2,491 20,339 24,464 13,522 22,068 24,672 180,719 15,254 9,116 24,210 24,2803 9,116 24,210 24,2803 3,565 3,565 3,565 51,904 55,224 28,281 79,329
Needham CDP, MA Nevada City city, CA Nevada County, CA New Braunfels city, TX New Brighton city, MN New Concord village, OH New Concord village, OH New Orleans city, MN New Orleans city, LA New Orleans city, LA New Smyrna Beach city, FL New Ulm city, MN Newberg city, OR Newbort city, RI Newport kews city, VA Newton city, IA Newton city, IA Noblesville city, IN Norcross city, GA Norfolk city, NE Norfolk city, VA North Mankato city, MN North Port city, FL North Richland Hills city, TX North Yarmouth town, ME Novato city, CA Novi city, MI O'Fallon city, IL O'Fallon city, MO Oak Park village, IL	28,886 3,068 98,764 2,7740 21,456 2,491 2,491 24,464 13,522 22,068 24,672 180,719 15,254 9,116 24,210 24,2803 13,394 57,357 63,343 3,565 3,565 3,565 3,565 3,565 3,565 3,565 3,565 3,565 3,565 3,565 
Needham CDP, MA Nevada City city, CA Newada County, CA New Braunfels city, TX New Brighton city, MN New Concord village, OH New Hope city, MN New Orleans city, LA New Orleans city, LA New Smyrna Beach city, FL New Ulm city, MN Newberg city, OR Newbort city, RI Newport News city, VA Newton city, IA Newton city, IA Noblesville city, IN Norcross city, GA Norfolk city, NE Norfolk city, NE Norfolk city, VA North Mankato city, MN North Port city, FL North Richland Hills city, TX North Yarmouth town, ME Novato city, CA	28,886 3,068 98,764 57,740 21,456 2,491 20,339 22,464 13,522 22,068 24,672 180,719 15,254 9,116 24,210 24,210 24,2803 3,565 51,904 3,565 51,904 51,904 51,904 51,878 390,724
Needham CDP, MA Nevada City city, CA Nevada County, CA New Braunfels city, TX New Brighton city, MN New Concord village, OH New Concord village, OH New Orleans city, MN New Orleans city, LA New Orleans city, LA New Smyrna Beach city, FL New Ulm city, MN Newberg city, OR Newbort city, RI Newport kews city, VA Newton city, IA Newton city, IA Noblesville city, IN Norcross city, GA Norfolk city, NE Norfolk city, VA North Mankato city, MN North Port city, FL North Richland Hills city, TX North Yarmouth town, ME Novato city, CA Novi city, MI O'Fallon city, IL O'Fallon city, MO Oak Park village, IL	28,886 3,068 98,764 57,740 21,456 2,491 20,339 22,464 13,522 22,068 24,672 180,719 15,254 9,116 24,210 24,210 24,2803 3,565 51,904 3,565 51,904 51,904 51,904 51,878 390,724

Oklahoma City city, OK	.579,999
Olathe city, KS	.125,872
Old Town city, ME	7,840
Olmsted County, MN	
Olympia city, WA	46,478
Orange village, OH	3,323
Orland Park village, IL	
Orleans Parish, LA	.343,829
Oshkosh city, WI	66,083
Oshtemo charter township, MI	21,705
Oswego village, IL	30,355
Ottawa County, MI	
Overland Park city, KS	
Paducah city, KY	25,024
Palm Beach Gardens city, FL	
Palm Coast city, FL	
Palo Alto city, CA	64,403
Palos Verdes Estates city, CA	
Papillion city, NE	18,894
Paradise Valley town, AZ	12,820
Park City city, UT	7,558
Parker town, CO	
Parkland city, FL	
Pasco city, WA	
Pasco County, FL	
Payette city, ID	
Pearland city, TX	91,252
Peoria city, AZ	
Peoria city, IL	
Pflugerville city, TX	46,936
Pinehurst village, NC	13,124
Piqua city, OH	20,522
Pitkin County, CO	17,148
Plano city, TX	
Platte City city, MO	
Pleasant Hill city, IA	
Pleasanton city, CA	70,285
Polk County, IA	
Pompano Beach city, FL	99,845
Port Orange city, FL	
Port St. Lucie city, FL	
Portland city, OR	
Powell city, OH	
Powhatan County, VA	28,046
Prince William County, VA	
Prior Lake city, MN	
Pueblo city, CO	.106,595
Purcellville town, VA	
Queen Creek town, AZ	
Raleigh city, NC	
Ramsey city, MN	
Raymond town, ME	
Raymore city, MO	
Redmond city, OR	
Redmond city, WA	54,144
Redwood City city, CA	
Reno city, NV	.225,221
Reston CDP, VA	58,404
Richland city, WA	48,058
Richmond city, CA	
Richmond Heights city, MO	
Rio Rancho city, NM	
River Falls city, WI	15,000
Riverside city, CA	
Roanoke city, VA	
Roanoke County, VA	
Rochester city, NY	
Rochester Hills city, MI Rock Hill city, SC	
Rockville city, MD	

Roeland Park city, KS	
Rogers city, MN	8,597
Rohnert Park city, CA	
Rolla city, MO	
Roselle village, IL	
Rosemount city, MN	
Rosenberg city, TX	30,618
Roseville city, MN	33,660
Round Rock city, TX	
Royal Oak city, MI	
Royal Palm Beach village, FL	24 140
Sacramento city, CA	
Sahuarita town, AZ	
Sammamish city, WA	45,780
San Anselmo town, CA	12,336
San Diego city, CA	
San Francisco city, CA	805 235
San Jose city, CA	
San Marcos city, CA	
San Marcos city, TX	44,894
San Rafael city, CA	57,713
Sangamon County, IL	
Santa Fe city, NM	
Santa Fe County, NM	
Santa Monica city, CA	
Sarasota County, FL	
Savage city, MN	
Schaumburg village, IL	74,227
Schertz city, TX	
Scott County, MN	
Scottsdale city, AZ	
Sedona city, AZ	
Sevierville city, TN	14,807
Shakopee city, MN	37,076
Sharonville city, OH	
Shawnee city, KS	
Shawnee city, OK	
Sherborn town, MA	4,119
Shoreline city, WA	53 007
Shoreview city, MN	
Shoreview city, MN	25,043
Shoreview city, MN Shorewood village, IL	25,043 15,615
Shoreview city, MN Shorewood village, IL Shorewood village, WI	25,043 15,615 13,162
Shoreview city, MN Shorewood village, IL Shorewood village, WI Sierra Vista city, AZ	25,043 15,615 13,162 43,888
Shoreview city, MN Shorewood village, IL Shorewood village, WI Sierra Vista city, AZ Silverton city, OR	25,043 15,615 13,162 43,888 9,222
Shoreview city, MN Shorewood village, IL Shorewood village, WI Sierra Vista city, AZ Silverton city, OR Sioux Center city, IA	25,043 15,615 13,162 43,888 9,222 7,048
Shoreview city, MN Shorewood village, IL Shorewood village, WI Sierra Vista city, AZ Silverton city, OR Sioux Center city, IA Sioux Falls city, SD	25,043 15,615 13,162 43,888 9,222 7,048 153,888
Shoreview city, MN Shorewood village, IL Shorewood village, WI Sierra Vista city, AZ Silverton city, OR Sioux Center city, IA Sioux Falls city, SD	25,043 15,615 13,162 43,888 9,222 7,048 153,888
Shoreview city, MN Shorewood village, IL Shorewood village, WI Sierra Vista city, AZ Silverton city, OR Sioux Center city, IA Sioux Falls city, SD Skokie village, IL	25,043 15,615 13,162 43,888 9,222 7,048 153,888 64,784
Shoreview city, MN Shorewood village, IL Shorewood village, WI Sierra Vista city, AZ Silverton city, OR Sioux Center city, IA Sioux Falls city, SD Skokie village, IL Snoqualmie city, WA	25,043 15,615 13,162 43,888 9,222 7,048 153,888 64,784 10,670
Shoreview city, MN Shorewood village, IL Shorewood village, WI Sierra Vista city, AZ Silverton city, OR Sioux Center city, IA Sioux Falls city, SD Skokie village, IL Snoqualmie city, WA Snowmass Village town, CO	25,043 15,615 13,162 43,888 9,222 7,048 153,888 64,784 10,670 2,826
Shoreview city, MN Shorewood village, IL Shorewood village, WI Sierra Vista city, AZ Silverton city, OR Sioux Center city, IA Sioux Falls city, SD Skokie village, IL Snoqualmie city, WA Snowmass Village town, CO Somerset town, MA	25,043 15,615 13,162 43,888 9,222 7,048 153,888 64,784 10,670 2,826 18,165
Shoreview city, MN Shorewood village, IL Shorewood village, WI Sierra Vista city, AZ Silverton city, OR Sioux Center city, IA Sioux Falls city, SD Skokie village, IL Snoqualmie city, WA Snowmass Village town, CO Somerset town, MA South Jordan city, UT	25,043 15,615 13,162 43,888 9,222 7,048 153,888 64,784 10,670 2,826 18,165 50,418
Shoreview city, MN Shorewood village, IL Shorewood village, WI Sierra Vista city, AZ Silverton city, OR Sioux Center city, IA Sioux Falls city, SD Skokie village, IL Snoqualmie city, WA Snowmass Village town, CO Somerset town, MA South Jordan city, UT South Lake Tahoe city, CA	25,043 15,615 13,162 43,888 9,222 7,048 153,888 64,784 10,670 2,826 18,165 50,418 21,403
Shoreview city, MN Shorewood village, IL Shorewood village, VI Sierra Vista city, AZ Silverton city, OR Sioux Center city, IA Sioux Falls city, SD Skokie village, IL Snoqualmie city, WA Snowmass Village town, CO Somerset town, MA South Jordan city, UT South Jordan city, UT South Lake Tahoe city, CA Southlake city, TX	25,043 15,615 13,162 43,888 9,222 7,048 153,888 64,784 10,670 2,826 18,165 50,418 21,403 26,575
Shoreview city, MN Shorewood village, IL Shorewood village, WI Sierra Vista city, AZ Silverton city, OR Sioux Center city, IA Sioux Falls city, SD Skokie village, IL Snoqualmie city, WA Snowmass Village town, CO Somerset town, MA South Jordan city, UT South Lake Tahoe city, CA	25,043 15,615 13,162 43,888 9,222 7,048 153,888 64,784 10,670 2,826 18,165 50,418 21,403 26,575
Shoreview city, MN Shorewood village, IL Shorewood village, VI Sierra Vista city, AZ Silverton city, OR Sioux Center city, IA Sioux Falls city, SD Skokie village, IL Snoqualmie city, WA Snowmass Village town, CO Somerset town, MA South Jordan city, UT South Jordan city, UT South Lake Tahoe city, CA Spearfish city, SD	25,043 15,615 13,162 43,888 9,222 7,048 153,888 64,784 10,670 2,826 18,165 50,418 21,403 26,575 10,494
Shoreview city, MN Shorewood village, IL Shorewood village, VI Sierra Vista city, AZ Silverton city, OR Sioux Center city, IA Sioux Falls city, SD Skokie village, IL Snoqualmie city, WA Snowmass Village town, CO Somerset town, MA South Jordan city, UT South Jordan city, UT South Lake Tahoe city, CA Spearfish city, SD Spring Hill city, KS	25,043 15,615 13,162 43,888 9,222 7,048 153,888 64,784 10,670 2,826 18,165 50,418 21,403 26,575 10,494 5,437
Shoreview city, MN Shorewood village, IL Shorewood village, WI Sierra Vista city, AZ Silverton city, OR Sioux Center city, IA Sioux Falls city, SD Skokie village, IL. Snoqualmie city, WA Snowmass Village town, CO Somerset town, MA South Jordan city, UT South Lake Tahoe city, CA Southlake city, TX Spearfish city, SD Spring Hill city, KS Springfield city, MO	25,043 15,615 13,162 43,888 9,222 7,048 153,888 64,784 10,670 2,826 18,165 50,418 21,403 26,575 10,494 5,437 159,498
Shoreview city, MN Shorewood village, IL Shorewood village, WI Sierra Vista city, AZ Silverton city, OR Sioux Center city, IA Sioux Falls city, SD Skokie village, IL Snoqualmie city, WA Snowmass Village town, CO Somerset town, MA South Jordan city, UT South Lake Tahoe city, CA Southlake city, TX Spearfish city, SD Spring Hill city, KS Springfield city, MO Springville city, UT	25,043 15,615 13,162 43,888 9,222 7,048 153,888 64,784 10,670 2,826 18,165 50,418 21,403 26,575 10,494 5,437 159,498 29,466
Shoreview city, MN Shorewood village, IL Shorewood village, WI Sierra Vista city, AZ Silverton city, OR Sioux Center city, IA Sioux Falls city, SD Skokie village, IL. Snoqualmie city, WA Snowmass Village town, CO Somerset town, MA South Jordan city, UT South Lake Tahoe city, CA South Lake Tahoe city, CA South lake city, TX Spearfish city, SD Spring Hill city, KS Springfield city, MO Springville city, UT St. Augustine city, FL	25,043 15,615 13,162 43,888 9,222 7,048 153,888 64,784 10,670 2,826 18,165 50,418 21,403 26,575 10,494 5,437 159,498 29,466 12,975
Shoreview city, MN Shorewood village, IL Shorewood village, VI Sierra Vista city, AZ Silverton city, OR Sioux Center city, IA Sioux Falls city, SD Skokie village, IL Snoqualmie city, WA Snowmass Village town, CO Somerset town, MA South Jordan city, UT South Lake Tahoe city, CA South Lake Tahoe city, CA Sparfish city, SD Spring Hill city, KS Springfield city, MO Springville city, UT St. Augustine city, FL	25,043 15,615 13,162 43,888 9,222 7,048 153,888 64,784 10,670 2,826 18,165 50,418 21,403 26,575 10,494 5,437 159,498 29,466 12,975 32,974
Shoreview city, MN Shorewood village, IL Shorewood village, VI Sierra Vista city, AZ Silverton city, OR Sioux Center city, IA Sioux Falls city, SD Skokie village, IL Snoqualmie city, WA Snowmass Village town, CO Somerset town, MA South Jordan city, UT South Lake Tahoe city, CA South Lake Tahoe city, CA Southlake city, TX Spearfish city, SD Spring Hill city, KS Springfield city, MO Springville city, UT St. Augustine city, FL St. Charles city, IL	25,043 15,615 13,162 43,888 9,222 7,048 153,888 64,784 10,670 2,826 18,165 50,418 21,403 26,575 10,494 5,437 159,498 29,466 12,975 32,974 35,183
Shoreview city, MN Shorewood village, IL Shorewood village, VI Sierra Vista city, AZ Silverton city, OR Sioux Center city, IA Sioux Falls city, SD. Skokie village, IL. Snoqualmie city, WA Snowmass Village town, CO Somerset town, MA South Jordan city, UT South Lake Tahoe city, CA South Lake Tahoe city, CA Southlake city, TX. Spearfish city, SD. Spring Hill city, KS. Springfield city, MO Springville city, UT St. Augustine city, FL. St. Charles city, IL St. Joseph city, MO	25,043 15,615 13,162 43,888 9,222 7,048 153,888 64,784 10,670 2,826 18,165 50,418 21,403 26,575 10,494 5,437 159,498 29,466 12,975 32,974 35,183 76,780
Shoreview city, MN Shorewood village, IL Shorewood village, VI Sierra Vista city, AZ Silverton city, OR Sioux Center city, IA Sioux Falls city, SD Skokie village, IL Snoqualmie city, WA Snowmass Village town, CO Somerset town, MA South Jordan city, UT South Lake Tahoe city, CA South Lake Tahoe city, CA Southlake city, TX Spearfish city, SD Spring Hill city, KS Springfield city, MO Springville city, UT St. Augustine city, FL St. Charles city, IL	25,043 15,615 13,162 43,888 9,222 7,048 153,888 64,784 10,670 2,826 18,165 50,418 21,403 26,575 10,494 5,437 159,498 29,466 12,975 32,974 35,183 76,780
Shoreview city, MN Shorewood village, IL Shorewood village, IL Shorewood village, WI Sierra Vista city, AZ Silverton city, OR Sioux Center city, IA Sioux Falls city, SD. Skokie village, IL. Snoqualmie city, WA Snowmass Village town, CO Somerset town, MA South Jordan city, UT South Jordan city, UT South Lake Tahoe city, CA Southlake city, TX Spearfish city, SD. Spring Hill city, KS. Springfield city, MO Springville city, IL St. Charles city, IL St. Cloud city, FL St. Joseph city, MO St. Joseph town, WI	25,043 15,615 13,162 43,888 9,222 7,048 153,888 64,784 10,670 2,826 18,165 50,418 21,403 26,575 10,494 5,437 159,498 29,466 12,975 32,974 35,183 76,780 3,842
Shoreview city, MN Shorewood village, IL Shorewood village, IL Shorewood village, WI Sierra Vista city, AZ Silverton city, OR Sioux Center city, IA Sioux Falls city, SD Skokie village, IL Snoqualmie city, WA Snowmass Village town, CO Somerset town, MA South Jordan city, UT South Jordan city, UT South Lake Tahoe city, CA Southlake city, TX Spearfish city, SD Spring Hill city, KS Springfield city, MO Springville city, IL St. Augustine city, FL St. Cloud city, FL St. Joseph city, MO St. Joseph town, WI St. Louis County, MN	25,043 15,615 13,162 43,888 9,222 7,048 153,888 64,784 10,670 2,826 18,165 50,418 21,403 26,575 10,494 5,437 159,498 29,466 12,975 32,974 35,183 76,780 
Shoreview city, MN Shorewood village, IL Shorewood village, IL Shorewood village, WI Sierra Vista city, AZ Silverton city, OR Sioux Center city, IA Sioux Falls city, SD Skokie village, IL Snoqualmie city, VA Snowmass Village town, CO Somerset town, MA South Jordan city, UT South Lake Tahoe city, CA Southlake city, TX Spearfish city, SD Spring Hill city, KS Springfield city, MO Springville city, UT St. Augustine city, FL St. Charles city, IL St. Cloud city, FL St. Joseph town, WI St. Louis County, MN State College borough, PA	25,043 15,615 13,162 43,888 9,222 7,048 153,888 64,784 10,670 2,826 18,165 50,418 21,403 26,575 10,494 5,437 159,498 29,466 12,975 32,974 35,183 76,780 3,842 200,226 42,034
Shoreview city, MN Shorewood village, IL Shorewood village, IL Shorewood village, WI Sierra Vista city, AZ Silverton city, OR Sioux Center city, IA Sioux Falls city, SD Skokie village, IL Snoqualmie city, VA Snowmass Village town, CO Somerset town, MA South Jordan city, UT South Jordan city, UT South Lake Tahoe city, CA Southlake city, TX Spearfish city, SD Spring Hill city, KS Springfield city, MO St. Augustine city, FL St. Charles city, IL St. Cloud city, FL St. Joseph town, WI St. Louis County, MN State College borough, PA Steamboat Springs city, CO	25,043 15,615 13,162 43,888 9,222 7,048 153,888 64,784 10,670 2,826 18,165 50,418 21,403 26,575 10,494 5,437 159,498 29,466 12,975 32,974 35,183 76,780 3,842 200,226 42,034 12,088
Shoreview city, MN	25,043 15,615 13,162 43,888 9,222 7,048 153,888 64,784 10,670 2,826 18,165 50,418 21,403 26,575 10,494 5,437 159,498 29,466 12,975 32,974 35,183 76,780 3,842 200,226 42,034 12,088 8,997
Shoreview city, MN Shorewood village, IL Shorewood village, VI Sierra Vista city, AZ Silverton city, OR Sioux Center city, IA Sioux Falls city, SD Skokie village, IL Snoqualmie city, WA Snowmass Village town, CO Somerset town, MA South Jordan city, UT South Lake Tahoe city, CA South Jordan city, UT South Lake Tahoe city, CA Southlake city, TX Spearfish city, SD Spring Hill city, KS Springfield city, MO Springville city, UT St. Augustine city, FL St. Charles city, IL St. Cloud city, FL St. Joseph town, WI St. Louis County, MN State College borough, PA Steamboat Springs city, CO Sugar Grove village, IL Sugar Land city, TX	25,043 15,615 13,162 43,888 9,222 7,048 153,888 64,784 10,670 2,826 18,165 50,418 21,403 26,575 10,494 5,437 5,437 159,498 29,466 12,975 32,974 35,183 76,780 3,842 200,226 42,034 12,088 78,817
Shoreview city, MN	25,043 15,615 13,162 43,888 9,222 7,048 153,888 64,784 10,670 2,826 18,165 21,403 26,575 10,494 5,437 5,437 159,498 29,466 12,975 32,974 35,183 76,780 3,842 200,226 42,034 12,088 8,997 78,817 28,111
Shoreview city, MN Shorewood village, IL Shorewood village, VI Sierra Vista city, AZ Silverton city, OR Sioux Center city, IA Sioux Falls city, SD Skokie village, IL Snoqualmie city, WA Snowmass Village town, CO Somerset town, MA South Jordan city, UT South Lake Tahoe city, CA South Jordan city, UT South Lake Tahoe city, CA Southlake city, TX Spearfish city, SD Spring Hill city, KS Springfield city, MO Springville city, UT St. Augustine city, FL St. Charles city, IL St. Cloud city, FL St. Joseph town, WI St. Louis County, MN State College borough, PA Steamboat Springs city, CO Sugar Grove village, IL Sugar Land city, TX	25,043 15,615 13,162 43,888 9,222 7,048 153,888 64,784 10,670 2,826 18,165 21,403 26,575 10,494 5,437 5,437 159,498 29,466 12,975 32,974 35,183 76,780 3,842 200,226 42,034 12,088 8,997 78,817 28,111

Sunnyvale city, CA	11,054
	.140,081
Surprise city, AZ	
Suwanee city, GA	
Tacoma city, WA	.198,397
Takoma Park city, MD	16,715
Tamarac city, FL	60,427
Temecula city, CA	
Tempe city, AZ	
Temple city, TX	66,102
Texarkana city, TX	36,411
The Woodlands CDP, TX	93,847
Thousand Oaks city, CA	
Tigard city, OR	48,035
Tracy city, CA	
Trinidad CCD, CO	
Tualatin city, OR	
Tulsa city, OK	.391,906
Tustin city, CA	75,540
Twin Falls city, ID	
Unalaska city, AK	4,376
University Heights city, OH	13,539
University Park city, TX	23,068
Upper Arlington city, OH	33,771
Urbandale city, IA	39,463
Vail town, CO	
Ventura CCD, CA	
Vernon Hills village, IL	25,113
Vestavia Hills city, AL	34,033
Victoria city, MN	7,345
Vienna town, VA	
Virginia Beach city, VA	
Walnut Creek city, CA	
Warrensburg city, MO	
Washington County, MN	
Washington town, NH	1,123
Washoe County, NV	
Washougal city, WA	14.095
Washougal city, WA	14,095
Washougal city, WA Wauwatosa city, WI	14,095 46,396
Washougal city, WA Wauwatosa city, WI Waverly city, IA	14,095 46,396 9,874
Washougal city, WA Wauwatosa city, WI Waverly city, IA Wentzville city, MO	14,095 46,396 9,874 29,070
Washougal city, WA Wauwatosa city, WI Waverly city, IA Wentzville city, MO West Carrollton city, OH	14,095 46,396 9,874 29,070 13,143
Washougal city, WA Wauwatosa city, WI Waverly city, IA Wentzville city, MO West Carrollton city, OH Western Springs village, IL	14,095 46,396 9,874 29,070 13,143 12,975
Washougal city, WA Wauwatosa city, WI Waverly city, IA Wentzville city, MO West Carrollton city, OH Western Springs village, IL Westerville city, OH	14,095 46,396 9,874 29,070 13,143 12,975 36,120
Washougal city, WA Wauwatosa city, WI Waverly city, IA Wentzville city, MO West Carrollton city, OH Western Springs village, IL Westerville city, OH Westlake town, TX	14,095 46,396 9,874 29,070 13,143 12,975 36,120 
Washougal city, WA Wauwatosa city, WI Waverly city, IA Wentzville city, MO West Carrollton city, OH Western Springs village, IL Westerville city, OH Westlake town, TX Westminster city, CO	14,095 46,396 9,874 29,070 13,143 12,975 36,120 
Washougal city, WA Wauwatosa city, WI Waverly city, IA Wentzville city, MO West Carrollton city, OH Western Springs village, IL Westerville city, OH Westlake town, TX Westminster city, CO Weston town, MA	14,095 46,396 9,874 29,070 13,143 12,975 36,120 992 .106,114 11,261
Washougal city, WA Wauwatosa city, WI Waverly city, IA Wentzville city, MO West Carrollton city, OH Western Springs village, IL Westerville city, OH Westlake town, TX Westminster city, CO Weston town, MA Wheat Ridge city, CO	14,095 46,396 9,874 29,070 13,143 12,975 36,120 992 .106,114 11,261 30,166
Washougal city, WA Wauwatosa city, WI Waverly city, IA Wentzville city, MO West Carrollton city, OH Western Springs village, IL Westerville city, OH Westake town, TX Westlake town, TX Westminster city, CO Weston town, MA Wheat Ridge city, CO White House city, TN	14,095 46,396 9,874 29,070 13,143 12,975 36,120 992 .106,114 11,261 30,166 10,255
Washougal city, WA Wauwatosa city, WI Waverly city, IA Wentzville city, MO West Carrollton city, OH Western Springs village, IL Westerville city, OH Westlake town, TX Westminster city, CO Weston town, MA Wheat Ridge city, CO White House city, TN. Wichita city, KS	14,095 46,396 9,874 29,070 13,143 12,975 36,120 992 .106,114 11,261 30,166 10,255 .382,368
Washougal city, WA Wauwatosa city, WI Waverly city, IA Wentzville city, MO West Carrollton city, OH Western Springs village, IL Westerville city, OH Westake town, TX Westminster city, CO Wheat Ridge city, CO Wheat Ridge city, CO White House city, TN Wichita city, KS Williamsburg city, VA	14,095 46,396 9,874 29,070 13,143 12,975 36,120 
Washougal city, WA Wauwatosa city, WI Waverly city, IA Wentzville city, MO West Carrollton city, OH Western Springs village, IL Westerville city, OH Westake town, TX Westminster city, CO Weston town, MA What Ridge city, CO White House city, TN Wichita city, KS Williamsburg city, VA Willowbrook village, IL	14,095 46,396 9,874 29,070 13,143 12,975 36,120 
Washougal city, WA Wauwatosa city, WI Waverly city, IA Wentzville city, MO West Carrollton city, OH Western Springs village, IL Westerville city, OH Westake town, TX Westlake town, TX Westinister city, CO Weston town, MA Wheat Ridge city, CO White House city, TN Wichita city, KS Williamsburg city, VA Willowbrook village, IL	14,095 46,396 9,874 29,070 13,143 12,975 36,120 
Washougal city, WA Wauwatosa city, WI Waverly city, IA Wentzville city, MO West Carrollton city, OH Western Springs village, IL Westerville city, OH Westake town, TX Westminster city, CO Weston town, MA Wheat Ridge city, CO White House city, TN Wichita city, KS Williamsburg city, VA Willowbrook village, IL Wilmington city, NC	14,095 46,396 9,874 29,070 13,143 12,975 36,120 
Washougal city, WA Wauwatosa city, WI Waverly city, IA Wentzville city, MO West Carrollton city, OH Western Springs village, IL Westerville city, OH Westake town, TX Westlake town, TX Westinster city, CO Whate House city, CO White House city, TN Wichita city, KS Williamsburg city, VA Williamsburg city, VA Williowbrook village, IL Williowbrook village, IL Williowbrook city, OR Wilsonville city, OR	14,095 46,396 9,874 29,070 13,143 12,975 36,120 
Washougal city, WA Wauwatosa city, WI Waverly city, IA Wentzville city, MO West Carrollton city, OH Western Springs village, IL Westerville city, OH Westake town, TX Westlake town, TX Westinster city, CO Whate Ridge city, CO Whate House city, TN White House city, TN Wichita city, KS Williamsburg city, VA Williamsburg city, VA Williowbrook village, IL Wilmington city, NC Windsor town, CO Windsor town, CT	14,095 46,396 9,874 29,070 13,143 12,975 36,120 
Washougal city, WA Wauwatosa city, WI Waverly city, IA Wentzville city, MO West Carrollton city, OH Western Springs village, IL Westerville city, OH Westake town, TX Westlake town, TX Westinster city, CO Weston town, MA Wheat Ridge city, CO White House city, TN Wichita city, KS Wilhiamsburg city, VA Williamsburg city, VA Williamsburg city, NC Willisonville city, OR Windsor town, CO Windsor town, CT	14,095 46,396 9,874 29,070 13,143 12,975 36,120 
Washougal city, WA Wauwatosa city, WI Waverly city, IA Wentzville city, MO West Carrollton city, OH Western Springs village, IL Westerville city, OH Westerville city, OH Westake town, TX Westminster city, CO Weston town, MA Wheat Ridge city, CO Wheat Ridge city, CO Wheat Ridge city, CO Wheat Ridge city, CO White House city, TN Wichita city, KS Williamsburg city, VA Williamsburg city, VA Willowbrook village, IL Wilndsor town, CO Windsor town, CT Winnetka village, IL Winter Garden city, FL	14,095 46,396 9,874 29,070 13,143 12,975 36,120 992 .106,114 11,261 30,166 10,255 .382,368 14,068 106,476 105,509 18,544 29,044 12,187 34,568
Washougal city, WA	14,095 46,396 9,874 29,070 13,143 12,975 36,120 992 .106,114 11,261 30,166 10,255 .382,368 14,068 48,540 .106,476 19,509 18,644 29,044 12,187 34,568 61,961
Washougal city, WA	14,095 46,396 9,874 29,070 13,143 12,975 36,120 992 .106,114 11,261 30,166 10,255 .382,368 14,068 106,476 105,09 18,644 29,044 12,187 34,568 61,961 10,938
Washougal city, WA	14,095 46,396 9,874 29,070 13,143 12,975 36,120 992 .106,114 11,261 30,166 10,255 .382,368 14,068 106,476 105,509 18,644 29,044 12,187 34,568 61,961 10,938 55,468
Washougal city, WA	14,095 46,396 9,874 29,070 13,143 12,975 36,120 992 .106,114 11,261 30,166 10,255 .382,368 14,068 14,068 106,476 10,509 18,644 29,044 12,187 34,568 61,961 10,938 55,468 157,505
Washougal city, WA	14,095 46,396 9,874 29,070 13,143 12,975 36,120 992 .106,114 11,261 30,166 10,255 .382,368 14,068 8,540 .106,476 19,509 18,644 29,044 29,044 29,044 29,044 12,187 34,568 61,961 10,938 55,468 .157,505 91,067
Washougal city, WA	14,095 46,396 9,874 29,070 13,143 12,975 36,120 992 .106,114 30,166 10,255 .382,368 14,068 8,540 .106,476 19,509 18,644 29,044 12,187 34,568 61,961 10,938 55,468
Washougal city, WA	14,095 46,396 9,874 29,070 13,143 12,975 36,120 992 .106,114 11,261 30,166 10,255 .382,368 14,068 8,540 .106,476 19,509 18,644 29,044 29,044 29,044 12,187 34,568 61,961 10,938 55,468 55,464 9,405
Washougal city, WA Wauwatosa city, WI Waverly city, IA Wentzville city, MO West Carrollton city, OH Western Springs village, IL Westerville city, OH Westake town, TX Westlake town, TX Westinster city, CO Whate House city, CO White House city, TN Wichita city, KS Williamsburg city, VA Williamsburg city, VA Williamsburg city, NC Willisonville city, OR Windsor town, CO Windsor town, CT	14,095 46,396 9,874 29,070 13,143 12,975 36,120 992 .106,114 11,261 30,166 10,255 .382,368 14,068 8,540 .106,476 19,509 18,644 29,044 29,044 12,187 34,568 61,961 10,938 55,468 55,464 9,405 9405 16,921

## **Appendix C: Detailed Survey Methods**

The National Citizen Survey (The NCS<sup>™</sup>), conducted by National Research Center, Inc., was developed to provide communities an accurate, affordable and easy way to assess and interpret resident opinion about important local topics. Standardization of common questions and survey methods provide the rigor to assure valid results, and each community has enough flexibility to construct a customized version of The NCS.

Results offer insight into residents' perspectives about the community as a whole, including local amenities, services, public trust, resident participation and other aspects of the community in order to support budgeting, land use and strategic planning and communication with residents. Resident demographic characteristics permit comparison to the Census as well as comparison of results for different subgroups of residents. The City of Scottsdale funded this research. Please contact Scottsdale Assistant City Manager Brent Stockwell at BStockwell@scottsdaleaz.gov if you have any questions about the survey.

## **Survey Validity**

The question of survey validity has two parts: 1) how can a community be confident that the results from those who completed the questionnaire are representative of the results that would have been obtained had the survey been administered to the entire population? and 2) how closely do the perspectives recorded on the survey reflect what residents really believe or do?

To answer the first question, the best survey research practices were used for the resources spent to ensure that the results from the survey respondents reflect the opinions of residents in the entire community. These practices include:

- Using a mail-out/mail-back methodology, which typically gets a higher response rate than phone for the same dollars spent. A higher response rate lessens the worry that those who did not respond are different than those who did respond.
- Selecting households at random within the community to receive the survey to ensure that the households selected to receive the survey are representative of the larger community.
- Over-sampling multi-family housing units to improve response from hard-to-reach, lower income or younger apartment dwellers.
- Selecting the respondent within the household using an unbiased sampling procedure; in this case, the "birthday method." The cover letter included an instruction requesting that the respondent in the household be the adult (18 years old or older) who most recently had a birthday, irrespective of year of birth.
- Contacting potential respondents three times to encourage response from people who may have different opinions or habits than those who would respond with only a single prompt.
- Inviting response in a compelling manner (using appropriate letterhead/logos and a signature of a visible leader) to appeal to recipients' sense of civic responsibility.
- Providing a pre-addressed, postage-paid return envelope.
- Offering the survey in Spanish or other language when requested by a given community.
- Weighting the results to reflect the demographics of the population.

The answer to the second question about how closely the perspectives recorded on the survey reflect what residents really believe or do is more complex. Resident responses to surveys are influenced by a variety of factors. For questions about service quality, residents' expectations for service quality play a role as well as the "objective" quality of the service provided, the way the resident perceives the entire community (that is, the context in which the service is provided), the scale on which the resident is asked to record his or her opinion and, of course, the opinion, itself, that a resident holds about the service. Similarly a resident's report of certain behaviors is colored by what he or she believes is the socially desirable response (e.g., reporting tolerant behaviors toward "oppressed groups," likelihood of voting for a tax increase for services to poor people, use of alternative modes of travel to work besides the single occupancy vehicle), his or her memory of the actual behavior (if it is not a question speculating about future actions, like a vote), his or her confidence that he or she can be honest without suffering any negative consequences (thus the need for anonymity) as well as the actual behavior itself.

How closely survey results come to recording the way a person really feels or behaves often is measured by the coincidence of reported behavior with observed current behavior (e.g., driving habits), reported intentions to behave with observed future behavior (e.g., voting choices) or reported opinions about current community quality

with objective characteristics of the community (e.g., feelings of safety correlated with rates of crime). There is a body of scientific literature that has investigated the relationship between reported behaviors and actual behaviors. Well-conducted surveys, by and large, do capture true respondent behaviors or intentions to act with great accuracy. Predictions of voting outcomes tend to be quite accurate using survey research, as do reported behaviors that are not about highly sensitive issues (e.g., family abuse or other illegal or morally sanctioned activities). For self-reports about highly sensitive issues, statistical adjustments can be made to correct for the respondents' tendency to report what they think the "correct" response should be.

Research on the correlation of resident opinion about service quality and "objective" ratings of service quality vary, with some showing stronger relationships than others. NRC's own research has demonstrated that residents who report the lowest ratings of street repair live in communities with objectively worse street conditions than those who report high ratings of street repair (based on road quality, delay in street repair, number of road repair employees). Similarly, the lowest rated fire services appear to be "objectively" worse than the highest rated fire services (expenditures per capita, response time, "professional" status of firefighters, breadth of services and training provided). Resident opinion commonly reflects objective performance data but is an important measure on its own. NRC principals have written, "If you collect trash three times a day but residents think that your trash haul is lousy, you still have a problem."

#### **Selecting Survey Recipients**

"Sampling" refers to the method by which households were chosen to receive the survey. All households within the City of Scottsdale were eligible to participate in the survey. A list of all households within the zip codes serving Scottsdale was purchased from Go-Dog Direct based on updated listings from the United States Postal Service. Since some of the zip codes that serve the City of Scottsdale households may also serve addresses that lie outside of the community, the exact geographic location of each housing unit was compared to community boundaries using the most current municipal boundary file (updated on a quarterly basis) and addresses located outside of the City of Scottsdale boundaries were removed from consideration. Each address identified as being within City boundaries was further identified as being within one of three geographic subareas (South of Indian Bend Road, North of Indian Bend Road and South or West of CAP Canal, North or East of CAP Canal).

To choose the 1,600 survey recipients, a systematic sampling method was applied to the list of households previously screened for geographic location. Systematic sampling is a procedure whereby a complete list of all possible households is culled, selecting every *Nth* one, giving each eligible household a known probability of selection, until the appropriate number of households is selected. Multi-family housing units were selected at a higher rate as residents of this type of housing typically respond at lower rates to surveys than do those in single-family housing units. Figure 1 displays a map of the households selected to receive the survey. In general, because of the random sampling techniques used, the displayed sampling density will closely mirror the overall housing unit density (which may be different from the population density). While the theory of probability assumes no bias in selection, there may be some minor variations in practice (meaning, an area with only 15% of the housing units might be selected at an actual rate that is slightly above or below that).

An individual within each household was selected using the birthday method. The birthday method selects a person within the household by asking the "person whose birthday has most recently passed" to complete the questionnaire. The underlying assumption in this method is that day of birth has no relationship to the way people respond to surveys. This instruction was contained in the cover letter accompanying the questionnaire.

In addition to the scientific, random selection of households, a link to an online "opt-in" survey was publicized and posted to the City of Scottsdale website. This opt-in survey was identical to the scientific survey and open to all City residents. The data presented in this report exclude the opt-in survey data. These data can be found in the *Supplemental Online Survey Results* provided under separate cover.

Figure 1: Location of Survey Recipients



#### **Survey Administration and Response**

Selected households received three mailings, one week apart, beginning on November 21, 2018. The first mailing was a prenotification postcard announcing the upcoming survey. The next mailing contained a letter from the Mayor inviting the household to participate, a questionnaire and a postage-paid return envelope. The final mailing contained a reminder letter, another survey and a postage-paid return envelope. The second cover letter asked those who had not completed the survey to do so and those who had already done so to refrain from turning in another survey. The survey was available in English. The City of Scottsdale chose to augment their administration of The NCS with several additional services, including demographic and geographic subgroup comparisons. The results of these additional services have been provided under separate cover. Completed surveys were collected over the following seven weeks. The online "opt-in" survey became available to all residents on December 26, 2018 and remained open for six weeks.

About 9% of the 1,600 surveys mailed were returned because the housing unit was vacant or the postal service was unable to deliver the survey as addressed. Of the remaining 1,450 households that received the survey, 305 completed the survey, providing an overall response rate of 21%. Of the 305 completed surveys, 31 were completed online. Additionally, responses were tracked by geographic subareas; response rates by area ranged from 19% to 26%. The response rates were calculated using AAPOR's response rate #2<sup>1</sup> for mailed surveys of unnamed persons. Additionally, 104 opt-in residents completed the online opt-in survey; results of the opt-in survey can be found in the *Supplemental Online Survey Results* report provided under separate cover.

 Table 75: Survey Response Rates by Geographic Subarea

	Area 1	Area2	Area 3	Overall
Total sample used	619	550	431	1,600
I=Complete Interviews	117	91	94	301
P=Partial Interviews	1	1	2	4
R=Refusal and break off	0	0	0	0
NC=Non Contact	0	0	0	0
0=0ther	0	0	0	0
UH=Unknown household	0	0	0	0
UO=Unknown other	448	404	286	1,138
Response rate: (I+P)/(I+P) + (R+NC+O) + (UH+UO)	21%	19%	26%	21%

## **Confidence Intervals**

It is customary to describe the precision of estimates made from surveys by a "level of confidence" and accompanying "confidence interval" (or margin of error). A traditional level of confidence, and the one used here, is 95%. The 95% confidence interval can be any size and quantifies the sampling error or imprecision of the survey results because some residents' opinions are relied on to estimate all residents' opinions.<sup>2</sup>

The margin of error for the City of Scottsdale survey is no greater than plus or minus six percentage points around any given percent reported for all respondents (305 completed surveys).

For subgroups of responses, the margin of error increases because the number of respondents for the subgroup is smaller.

## Survey Processing (Data Entry)

Upon receipt, completed surveys were assigned a unique identification number. Additionally, each survey was reviewed and "cleaned" as necessary. For example, a question may have asked a respondent to pick two items out of a list of five, but the respondent checked three; in this case, NRC would use protocols to randomly choose two of the three selected items for inclusion in the dataset.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See AAPOR's Standard Definitions here: <u>http://www.aapor.org/Standards-Ethics/Standard-Definitions-(1).aspx</u> for more information <sup>2</sup> A 95% confidence interval indicates that for every 100 random samples of this many residents, 95 of the confidence intervals created will include the "true" population response. This theory is applied in practice to mean that the "true" perspective of the target population lies within the confidence interval created for a single survey. For example, if 75% of residents rate a service as "excellent" or "good," then the 4% margin of error (for the 95% confidence interval) indicates that the range of likely responses for the entire community is between 71% and 79%. This source of uncertainty is called sampling error. In addition to sampling error, other sources of error may affect any survey, including the non-response of residents with opinions different from survey responders. Though standardized on The NCS, on other surveys, differences in question wording, order, translation and data entry, as examples, can lead to somewhat varying results.
### The National Citizen Survey™

All surveys then were entered twice into an electronic dataset; any discrepancies were resolved in comparison to the original survey form. Range checks as well as other forms of quality control were also performed.

NRC used SurveyGizmo, a web-based survey and analytics platform, to collect the online survey data. Use of an online system means all collected data are entered into the dataset when the respondents submit the surveys. Skip patterns are programmed into system so respondents are automatically "skipped" to the appropriate question based on the individual responses being given. Online programming also allows for more rigid control of the data format, making extensive data cleaning unnecessary.

A series of quality control checks were also performed in order to ensure the integrity of the web data. Steps may include and not be limited to reviewing the data for clusters of repeat IP addresses and time stamps (indicating duplicate responses) and removing empty submissions (questionnaires submitted with no questions answered).

### **Survey Data Weighting**

The demographic characteristics of the survey respondents were compared to those found in the 2010 Census and American Community Survey estimates for adults in the City of Scottsdale. The primary objective of weighting survey data is to make the survey respondents reflective of the larger population of the community. The characteristics used for weighting were housing tenure (rent or own), race and ethnicity and sex and age. No adjustments were made for design effects. The results of the weighting scheme are presented in the following table.

Characteristic	c Population Norm Unweighted Data			
Housing				
Rent home	32%	17%	30%	
Own home	68%	83%	70%	
Detached unit*	59%	61%	58%	
Attached unit*	41%	39%	42%	
Race and Ethnicity				
White	91%	91%	91%	
Not white	9%	9%	9%	
Not Hispanic	93%	94%	92%	
Hispanic	7%	6%	8%	
Sex and Age				
Female	52%	51%	52%	
Male	48%	49%	48%	
18-34 years of age	23%	6%	22%	
35-54 years of age	35%	21%	34%	
55+ years of age	42%	73%	45%	
Females 18-34	11%	3%	10%	
Females 35-54	18%	12%	18%	
Females 55+	23%	36%	24%	
Males 18-34	12%	3%	12%	
Males 35-54	17%	9%	17%	
Males 55+	19%	37%	20%	
Area				
South of Indian Bend Road	36%	38%	40%	
North of Indian Bend Road and South or West	32%	30%	30%	
North or East of CAP Canal	31%	31%	29%	

### Table 76: Scottsdale, AZ 2018 Weighting Table

\* U.S. Census Bureau, 2011-2015 American Community Survey 5-Year Estimates

### **Survey Data Analysis and Reporting**

The survey dataset was analyzed using the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS). For the most part, the percentages presented in the reports represent the "percent positive." The percent positive is the combination of the top two most positive response options (i.e., "excellent" and "good," "very safe" and "somewhat safe," "essential" and "very important," etc.), or, in the case of resident behaviors/participation, the percent positive represents the proportion of respondents indicating "yes" or participating in an activity at least once a month.

### The National Citizen Survey<sup>™</sup>

On many of the questions in the survey respondents may answer "don't know." The proportion of respondents giving this reply is shown in the full set of responses included in Appendix A. However, these responses have been removed from the analyses presented in the reports. In other words, the tables and graphs display the responses from respondents who had an opinion about a specific item. When a table for a question that only permitted a single response does not total to exactly 100%, it is due to the common practice of percentages being rounded to the nearest whole number.

The data for the opt-in survey are presented separately in the report titled Supplemental Online Survey Results.

# **Appendix D: Survey Materials**

Dear Scottsdale Resident,

It won't take much of your time to make a big difference!

Your household has been randomly selected to participate in a survey about your community. Your survey will arrive in a few days.

Thank you for helping create a better City!

Sincerely,

W. J. "Jim" Lane Mayor

It won't take much of your time to make a big difference!

Your household has been randomly selected to participate in a survey about your community. Your survey will arrive in a few days.

Thank you for helping create a better City!

Sincerely,

Dear Scottsdale Resident,

W. J. "Jim" Lane Mayor

Dear Scottsdale Resident,

It won't take much of your time to make a big difference!

Your household has been randomly selected to participate in a survey about your community. Your survey will arrive in a few days.

Thank you for helping create a better City!

Sincerely,

W. J. "Jim" Lane Mayor

Dear Scottsdale Resident,

It won't take much of your time to make a big difference!

Your household has been randomly selected to participate in a survey about your community. Your survey will arrive in a few days.

Thank you for helping create a better City!

Sincerely,

W. J. "Jim" Lane Mayor



City of Scottsdale 3939 N. Drinkwater Blvd. Scottsdale, AZ 85251





City of Scottsdale 3939 N. Drinkwater Blvd. Scottsdale, AZ 85251 Presorted First Class Mail US Postage PAID Boulder, CO Permit NO. 94



City of Scottsdale 3939 N. Drinkwater Blvd. Scottsdale, AZ 85251 Presorted First Class Mail US Postage PAID Boulder, CO Permit NO. 94



City of Scottsdale 3939 N. Drinkwater Blvd. Scottsdale, AZ 85251 Presorted First Class Mail US Postage PAID Boulder, CO Permit NO. 94



W.J. "Jim" Lane, Mayor

3939 N. Drinkwater Boulevard Scottsdale, AZ 85251 
 PHONE
 480-312-2433

 FAX
 480-312-2738

 WEB
 www.ScottsdaleAZ.gov

November 2018

Dear City of Scottsdale Resident:

Please help us shape the future of Scottsdale! You have been selected at random to participate in the 2018 Scottsdale Citizen Survey.

Please take a few minutes to fill out the enclosed survey. Your participation in this survey is very important – especially since your household is one of only a small number of households being surveyed. Your feedback will help Scottsdale make decisions that affect our City. Our independent survey firm crafted unbiased questions and selected households and residents at random to assure every resident in Scottsdale had a chance to participate and every result includes a range of certainty known as the margin of error.

### A few things to remember:

- Your responses are completely anonymous.
- In order to hear from a diverse group of residents, the adult 18 years or older in your household who most recently had a birthday should complete this survey.
- You may return the survey by mail in the enclosed postage-paid envelope, or you can complete the survey online at:

### www.bit.ly/XXXXPlaceholderXXXXX

If you have any questions about the survey, please call my office at 480-312-2800. After completing the survey, you are welcome to contact us with any suggestions for improvement by phone or by emailing us at feedback@ScottsdaleAZ.gov. Please help us shape the future of Scottsdale.

Thank you for your time and participation!

Sincerely,

W.J. "Jim" Lane Mayor

Si requiere ayuda para completar esta encuesta sobre la comunidad y servicios municipales, pídale a algún amigo o familiar que le ayude. También puede llamar al Paiute Neighborhood Center al telefono: 480-312-2529.

If you need help completing this survey about the community and City services, ask a friend or family member to help. You can also call the Paiute Neighborhood Center at: 480-312-2529.



W.J. "Jim" Lane, Mayor

3939 N. Drinkwater Boulevard Scottsdale, AZ 85251 
 PHONE
 480-312-2433

 FAX
 480-312-2738

 WEB
 www.ScottsdaleAZ.gov

December 2018

Dear City of Scottsdale Resident:

# Here's a second chance if you haven't already responded to the 2018 Scottsdale Citizen Survey! (If you completed it and sent it back, we thank you for your time and ask you to recycle this survey. Please do not respond twice.)

Please help us shape the future of Scottsdale! You have been selected at random to participate in the 2018 Scottsdale Citizen Survey.

Please take a few minutes to fill out the enclosed survey. Your participation in this survey is very important – especially since your household is one of only a small number of households being surveyed. Your feedback will help Scottsdale make decisions that affect our City. Our independent survey firm crafted unbiased questions and selected households and residents at random to assure every resident in Scottsdale had a chance to participate and every result includes a range of certainty known as the margin of error.

### A few things to remember:

- Your responses are completely anonymous.
- In order to hear from a diverse group of residents, the adult 18 years or older in your household who most recently had a birthday should complete this survey.
- You may return the survey by mail in the enclosed postage-paid envelope, or you can complete the survey online at:

### www.bit.ly.com/XXXXPlaceholderXXXX

If you have any questions about the survey, please call my office at 480-312-2800. After completing the survey, you are welcome to contact us with any suggestions for improvement by phone or by emailing us at feedback@ScottsdaleAZ.gov.

Thank you for your time and participation!

Sincerely,

W.J. "Jim" Lane Mayor

Si requiere ayuda para completar esta encuesta sobre la comunidad y servicios municipales, pídale a algún amigo o familiar que le ayude. También puede llamar al Paiute Neighborhood Center al telefono: 480-312-2529.

If you need help completing this survey about the community and City services, ask a friend or family member to help. You can also call the Paiute Neighborhood Center at: 480-312-2529.

# The City of Scottsdale 2018 Citizen Survey

Please complete this questionnaire if you are the adult (age 18 or older) in the household who most recently had a birthday. The adult's year of birth does not matter. Please select the response (by circling the number or checking the box) that most closely represents your opinion for each question. Your responses are anonymous and will be reported in group form only.

### 1. Please rate each of the following aspects of quality of life in Scottsdale:

	Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor	Don't know
Scottsdale as a place to live	1	2	3	4	5
Your neighborhood as a place to live	1	2	3	4	5
Scottsdale as a place to raise children	1	2	3	4	5
Scottsdale as a place to work	1	2	3	4	5
Scottsdale as a place to visit	1	2	3	4	5
Scottsdale as a place to retire	1	2	3	4	5
The overall quality of life in Scottsdale	1	2	3	4	5

### 2. Please rate each of the following characteristics as they relate to Scottsdale as a whole:

	Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor	Don't know
Overall feeling of safety in Scottsdale	1	2	3	4	5
Overall ease of getting to the places you usually have to visit	1	2	3	4	5
Quality of overall natural environment in Scottsdale	1	2	3	4	5
Overall "built environment" of Scottsdale (including overall design,					
buildings, parks and transportation systems)	1	2	3	4	5
Health and wellness opportunities in Scottsdale	1	2	3	4	5
Overall opportunities for education and enrichment	1	2	3	4	5
Overall economic health of Scottsdale	1	2	3	4	5
Sense of community	1	2	3	4	5
Overall image or reputation of Scottsdale	1	2	3	4	5

### 3. Please indicate how likely or unlikely you are to do each of the following:

	Very	Somewhat	Somewhat	Very	Don't
	likely	likely	unlikely	unlikely	know
Recommend living in Scottsdale to someone who asks	1	2	3	4	5
Remain in Scottsdale for the next five years	1	2	3	4	5

### 4. Please rate how safe or unsafe you feel:

Very safe	Somewhat safe	Neither safe nor unsafe	Somewhat unsafe	Very unsafe	Don't <u>know</u>
In your neighborhood during the day1	2	3	4	5	6
In Scottsdale's downtown/commercial					
area during the day1	2	3	4	5	6

### 5. Please rate each of the following characteristics as they relate to Scottsdale as a whole:

	Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor	Don't know
Traffic flow on major streets	1	2	3	4	5
Ease of public parking	1	2	3	4	5
Ease of travel by car in Scottsdale	1	2	3	4	5
Ease of travel by public transportation in Scottsdale	1	2	3	4	5
Ease of travel by bicycle in Scottsdale	1	2	3	4	5
Ease of walking in Scottsdale	1	2	3	4	5
Availability of paths and walking trails	1	2	3	4	5
Air quality	1	2	3	4	5
Cleanliness of Scottsdale	1	2	3	4	5
Overall appearance of Scottsdale	1	2	3	4	5
Public places where people want to spend time		2	3	4	5
Variety of housing options	1	2	3	4	5
Availability of affordable quality housing		2	3	4	5
Fitness opportunities (including exercise classes and paths or trails, etc.)		2	3	4	5
Recreational opportunities		2	3	4	5
Availability of affordable quality food	1	2	3	4	5
Availability of affordable quality health care		2	3	4	5
Availability of preventive health services	1	2	3	4	5
Availability of affordable quality mental health care		2	3	4	5



Please rate each of the following characteristics as they relate to Scottsdale as a whole:						
Excelle	ent Good	Fair	Poor	Don't know		
Availability of affordable quality child care/preschool1	2	3	4	5		
K-12 education1	2	3	4	5		
Adult educational opportunities1	2	3	4	5		
Opportunities to attend cultural/arts/music activities1	2	3	4	5		
Opportunities to participate in religious or spiritual events and activities1	2	3	4	5		
Employment opportunities1	2	3	4	5		
Shopping opportunities1	2	3	4	5		
Cost of living in Scottsdale	2	3	4	5		
Overall quality of business and service establishments in Scottsdale1	2	3	4	5		
Vibrant downtown/commercial area (Old Town Scottsdale)1	2	3	4	5		
Overall quality of new development in Scottsdale1	2	3	4	5		
Opportunities to participate in social events and activities	2	3	4	5		
Opportunities to volunteer1	2	3	4	5		
Opportunities to participate in community matters1	2	3	4	5		
Openness and acceptance of the community toward people of						
diverse backgrounds1	2	3	4	5		
Neighborliness of residents in Scottsdale1	2	3	4	5		
Helping new residents feel connected and integrated 1	2	3	4	5		
Demonstrating respect for residents of different cultures and belief systems 1	2	3	4	5		

### 7. Please indicate whether or not you have done each of the following in the last 12 months.

	No	Yes
Made efforts to conserve water	1	2
Made efforts to make your home more energy efficient	1	2
Observed a code violation or other hazard in Scottsdale (weeds, abandoned buildings, etc.)	1	2
Household member was a victim of a crime in Scottsdale	1	2
Reported a crime to the police in Scottsdale	1	2
Stocked supplies in preparation for an emergency	1	2
Campaigned or advocated for an issue, cause or candidate	1	2
Contacted the City of Scottsdale (in-person, phone, email or web) for help or information	1	2
Contacted Scottsdale elected officials (in-person, phone, email or web) to express your opinion	1	2

# 8. In the last 12 months, about how many times, if at all, have you or other household members done each of the following in Scottsdale?

	2 times a week or more	2-4 times a month	Once a month or less	Not at all
Used Scottsdale recreation centers or their services	1	2	3	4
Visited a neighborhood park or City park	1	2	3	4
Used Scottsdale public libraries or their services	1	2	3	4
Participated in religious or spiritual activities in Scottsdale		2	3	4
Attended a City-sponsored event		2	3	4
Used bus or other public transportation instead of driving	1	2	3	4
Carpooled with other adults or children instead of driving alone	1	2	3	4
Walked or biked instead of driving		2	3	4
Volunteered your time to some group/activity in Scottsdale	1	2	3	4
Participated in a club	1	2	3	4
Talked to or visited with your immediate neighbors		2	3	4
Done a favor for a neighbor		2	3	4
Visited or used a City pool		2	3	4
Used a City fitness facility	1	2	3	4
Visited downtown for shopping, dining or to attend an event	1	2	3	4

# 9. Thinking about local public meetings (of local elected officials like City Council or County Commissioners, advisory boards, town halls, HOA, neighborhood watch, etc.), in the last 12 months, about how many times, if at all, have you or other household members attended or watched a local public meeting?

	2 times a	2-4 times	Once a month	Not
	week or more	a month	or less	at all
Attended a local public meeting	1	2	3	4
Watched (online or on television) a local public meeting	1	2	3	4

# The City of Scottsdale 2018 Citizen Survey

# III. Please rate the quality of each of the following services in Scottsdale: Excellent Good Police services 1 2 Fire services 1 2 Ambulance or emergency medical services 1 2 Crime prevention 1 2 Fire prevention and education 1 2 Traffic enforcement 1 2 Street repair 1 2 Street cleaning 1 2 Street lighting 1 2 Sidewalk maintenance 1 2 Traffic signal timing 1 2

Traffic enforcement1	2	3	4	5
Street repair1	2	3	4	5
Street cleaning	2	3	4	5
Street lighting	2	3	4	5
Sidewalk maintenance	2	3	4	5
Traffic signal timing1	2	3	4	5
Bus or transit services	2	3	4	5
Garbage collection1	2	3	4	5
Recycling 1	2	3	4	5
Yard waste pick-up1	2	3	4	5
Storm drainage	2	3	4	5
Drinking water	2	3	4	5
Sewer services	2	3	4	5
Utility billing	2	3	4	5
City parks1	2	3	4	5
Recreation programs or classes	2	3	4	5
Recreation centers or facilities	2	3	4	5
Land use, planning and zoning1	2	3	4	5
Code enforcement (weeds, abandoned buildings, etc.)	2	3	4	5
Animal control1	2	3	4	5
Economic development	2	3	4	5
Public library services	2	3	4	5
Public information services	2	3	4	5
Cable television1	2	3	4	5
Emergency preparedness (services that prepare the community for				
natural disasters or other emergency situations)1	2	3	4	5
Preservation of natural areas such as open space, farmlands and greenbelts1	2	3	4	5
Scottsdale McDowell Sonoran Preserve	2	3	4	5
City-sponsored special events1	2	3	4	5
Overall customer service by Scottsdale employees (police,				
receptionists, planners, etc.)	2	3	4	5
Scottsdale website (www.ScottsdaleAZ.gov)	2	3	4	5
City Cable Channel 11 or Scottsdale Video Network	2	3	4	5
Water services provided by Scottsdale Water Department1	2	3	4	5
Drinking water taste	2	3	4	5
Senior services	2	3	4	5
Oronally how would not not a the smaller of the semicore married ad here				

Fair

Don't know

Poor

### 11. Overall, how would you rate the quality of the services provided by each of the following?

	Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor	Don't know
The City of Scottsdale	1	2	3	4	5
The Federal Government	1	2	3	4	5
The State Government		2	3	4	5
Maricopa County Government	1	2	3	4	5

### 12. Please rate the following categories of Scottsdale government performance:

Excel	lent Good	l Fair	Poor	Don't know
The value of services for the taxes paid to Scottsdale1	2	3	4	5
The overall direction that Scottsdale is taking1	2	3	4	5
The job Scottsdale government does at welcoming citizen involvement 1	2	3	4	5
Overall confidence in Scottsdale government1	2	3	4	5
Generally acting in the best interest of the community1	2	3	4	5
Being honest1	2	3	4	5
Treating all residents fairly1	2	3	4	5
Law enforcement treating all residents fairly 1	2	3	4	5



# 13. Please rate how important, if at all, you think it is for the Scottsdale community to focus on each of the following in the coming two years:

		Very	Somewhat	Not at all
<i>E</i>	Essential	important	important	important
Overall feeling of safety in Scottsdale	1	2	3	4
Overall ease of getting to the places you usually have to visit	1	2	3	4
Quality of overall natural environment in Scottsdale	l	2	3	4
Overall "built environment" of Scottsdale (including overall design,				
buildings, parks and transportation systems)	1	2	3	4
Health and wellness opportunities in Scottsdale	1	2	3	4
Overall opportunities for education and enrichment	1	2	3	4
Overall economic health of Scottsdale	1	2	3	4
Sense of community	1	2	3	4

# 14. Please rate how important, if at all, you think it is for the City of Scottsdale to invest in each of the following over the next 10 years:

<i>I</i>	Essential	Very important	Somewhat important	Not at all important	Don't know
Repair or replace aging parks, libraries and arts facilities	1	2	3	4	5
Repave roads and improve roadside appearance	1	2	3	4	5
Build new public parking garages and improve event					
spaces downtown	1	2	3	4	5
Upgrade outdated City technology such as the 911 dispatch and					
building permit systems	1	2	3	4	5
Build structures to better manage storm-related flooding and run-off	1	2	3	4	5
Remodel fire and police stations and training facilities to meet					
current safety and operational standards	1	2	3	4	5

# 15. Please indicate how often, if at all, you have done each of the following in the last 12 months in the Scottsdale McDowell Sonoran Preserve.

	2 times a	2-4 times	Once a month	Not
	week or more	a month	or less	at all
Walked or hiked in the Preserve	1	2	3	4
Rode a mountain bike in the Preserve	1	2	3	4
Rock climbed in the Preserve	1	2	3	4
Ridden a horse in the Preserve	1	2	3	4
Visited a Preserve trailhead	1	2	3	4
Taken out of town visitors on any of these activities in the Preserve	1	2	3	4

### 16. To what extent do you agree or disagree with each of the following statements?

Strongly	Somewhat	Neither agree	Somewhat	Strongly	Don't	
agree	agree	or disagree	disagree	disagree	know	
1	2	3	4	5	6	
1	2	3	4	5	6	
1	2	3	4	5	6	
	Strongly agree 1 1	Strongly Somewhat agree agree 	Strongly         Somewhat         Neither agree           agree         agree         or disagree	Strongly         Somewhat agree         Neither agree         Somewhat disagree	Strongly         Somewhat         Neither agree         Somewhat         Strongly agree         Strongly disagree         Strongly disagree	Strongly         Somewhat         Neither agree         Somewhat         Strongly         Don't           agree         or disagree         disagree         disagree         disagree         know          1         2         3         4         5         6          1         2         3         4         5         6

# 17. Please indicate if each of the following is a major source, minor source or not a source of information for you about the City of Scottsdale and its activities:

	Major source	Minor source	Not at all a source
Scottsdale Update utility bill newsletter	1	2	3
Scottsdale Life (Parks, Recreation, Library and Human Services newsletter)	1	2	3
City website (ScottsdaleAZ.gov)		2	3
Scottsdale Update weekly email newsletter (or other City email newsletters)	1	2	3
City Cable Channel 11 or Scottsdale Video Network	1	2	3
Local newspapers, television or radio news	1	2	3
Facebook	1	2	3
Twitter	1	2	3
Next Door	1	2	3
YouTube	1	2	3
Word of mouth	1	2	3

# The City of Scottsdale 2018 Citizen Survey

# Our last questions are about you and your household. Again, all of your responses to this survey are completely anonymous and will be reported in group form only.

D1	How often if at all do you do each of the following		• • • • • • • • • • •	لواسمه سم	2	
<b>D</b> 1.	How often, if at all, do you do each of the following	Never	Rarely	Sometimes	• Usually	Always
	Recycle at home		2	3	4	5
	Purchase goods or services from a business located in Scotts		2	3	4	5
	Eat at least 5 portions of fruits and vegetables a day		2	3	4	5
	Participate in moderate or vigorous physical activity		2	3	4	5
	Read or watch local news (via television, paper, computer, e		2	3	4	5
	Vote in local elections	1	2	3	4	5
D2.	Would you say that in general your health is:					
	O Excellent O Very good O Good	<b>O</b> Fair	O Po	or		
D3.	What impact, if any, do you think the economy will think the impact will be:	have on your family	income i	n the nex	t 6 month	s? Do you
	<b>O</b> Very positive <b>O</b> Somewhat positive <b>O</b> Ne	utral <b>O</b> Somewhat	at negative	C	• Very neg	ative
D4.	<ul> <li>What is your employment status?</li> <li>O Working full time for pay</li> <li>O Working part time for pay</li> <li>O Unemployed, looking for paid work</li> <li>O Unemployed, not looking for paid work</li> <li>O Fully retired</li> </ul>	D12. How much of total income befor (Please include in sources for all per O Less than \$ O \$25,000 to	re taxes v your tota rsons livit \$25,000 \$49,999	vill be for al income	the curr money fi	ent year? rom all
D5.	<b>Do you work inside the boundaries of</b> <b>Scottsdale?</b> <b>O</b> Yes, outside the home	<ul> <li>\$50,000 to</li> <li>\$100,000 t</li> <li>\$150,000 c</li> </ul>	o \$149,999	)		
	O Yes, from home O No	Please respond				
D6.	How many years have you lived in Scottsdale?O Less than 2 yearsO 11-20 yearsO 2-5 yearsO More than 20 yearsO 6-10 yearsO 10 years	O Yes, I or La	ot Spanish, consider m atino	Hispanic o syself to be	or Latino Spanish, H	Iispanic
D7.	<ul> <li>Which best describes the building you live in?</li> <li>O One family house detached from any other houses</li> <li>O Building with two or more homes (duplex, townhome, apartment or condominium)</li> <li>O Mobile home</li> <li>O Other</li> </ul>	to be.) O Ameri O Asian, O Black	<b>ate what i</b> can Indian	or Alaska an or Paci	consider	yourself
D8.	Is this house, apartment or mobile home	O White O Other				
	O Rented					
	<b>O</b> Owned	<b>D15.</b> In which car $\bigcirc$ 10.04				
D9.	About how much is your monthly housing cost for the place you live (including rent, mortgage payment, property tax, property insurance and	<ul> <li>O 18-24 year</li> <li>O 25-34 year</li> <li>O 35-44 year</li> <li>O 45-54 year</li> </ul>	s $\mathbf{O} 6$ s $\mathbf{O} 7$	5-64 years 5-74 years 5 years or		
	homeowners' association (HOA) fees)?	D16. What is you	r sex?			
	<ul> <li>Less than \$300 per month</li> <li>\$300 to \$599 per month</li> </ul>	• Female	OM	ſale		
	• \$600 to \$999 per month	D17. Do you cons	sider a cel	ll phone o	or land lii	ne your
	<b>O</b> \$1,000 to \$1,499 per month	primary tel		-		•
	<ul> <li>\$1,500 to \$2,499 per month</li> <li>\$2,500 or more per month</li> </ul>	O Cell		and line	0	Both
D10.	Do any children 17 or under live in your					
	household?	Thank you for c	ompletir	ng this s	urvey. Pl	ease
	O No O Yes	return the comp	-	0	•	
D11.	Are you or any other members of your household aged 65 or older? O No O Yes	envelope to: Nat PO Box 549, Bel	tional Re	search	Center, I	



Presorted First Class Mail US Postage PAID Boulder, CO Permit NO.94

City of Scottsdale 3939 N. Drinkwater Blvd. Scottsdale, AZ 85251